IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Baek *et al.*U.S. Patent No.: 6,978,346

Issue Date: December 20, 2005

Appl. Serial No.: 09/753,245

Filing Date: December 29, 2000

Title: APPARATUS FOR REDUNDANT INTERCONNECTION

BETWEEN MULTIPLE HOSTS AND RAID

IPR No: 2014-00949

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SECOND CORRECTED PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES*REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,978,346
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319, 37 C.F.R. § 42



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. I | MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8 (a) (1) | 1 |
|------------|--|----|
| A. | Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 (b) (1) | 1 |
| B. | Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 (b) (2) | 1 |
| C. | Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 (b) (3) | 2 |
| D. | Service Information | 2 |
| II. | PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 | 2 |
| III. | REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 | 3 |
| A. | Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 | 3 |
| B. | Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested | 3 |
| C. | Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(3) | |
| 1 | . "RAID" (Claims 1-9) | 5 |
| 2 | 2. "RAID controller/RAID controlling unit" (Claims 1-9) | 7 |
| 3 | 3. "exchange/exchanges information" (Claims 1-9) | 7 |
| 4 | . "connection unit" (Claims 1-9) | 7 |
| _ | 6. "network interface controller," "network controlling unit," and "network nterface controlling unit" (Claims 1-9) | 7 |
| IV. | SUMMARY OF THE '346 PATENT | 8 |
| A. | Brief Description | 8 |
| B. | Prosecution History | 9 |
| FOR REA | MANNER OF APPLYING CITED PRIOR ART TO EVERY CLAIM R WHICH AN IPR IS REQUESTED, THUS ESTABLISHING A SONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE | |
| | PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE | |
| | Brief Description of the References | |
| i. | Mylex | |
| ii. | Hathorn | |
| iii. | Delly | 1. |



| VT. | CONCLUSION | 59 |
|-------------------------------------|--|----|
| i. | Detailed Disclosure | 44 |
| DeKoning Renders Obvious Claims 1-9 | | |
| | [GROUND 3] – The Combination of Deitz or Mylex with Griffith or | |
| i. | Detailed Disclosure | 20 |
| | [GROUND 1 and GROUND 2] – The Combination of Mylex and Hathor and Combination of Mylex and Combinatio | |
| B. | Motivation to Combine | 14 |
| v. | DeKoning | 14 |
| iv. | Griffith | 12 |



EXHIBITS

IBM-ORACLE-1001: U.S. Patent No. 6,978,346 to Baek et al., foreign application priority date 9/19/2000 ("the '346 patent");

IBM-ORACLE-1002: Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the '346 Patent;

IBM-ORACLE-1003: Expert Declaration of Dr. Robert Horst;

IBM-ORACLE-1004: Dr. Robert Horst Curriculum Vitae;

IBM-ORACLE-1005: U.S. Patent No. 5,574,950 to Hathorn et al., issued 11/12/1996 ("Hathorn");

IBM-ORACLE-1006: Smith, Kevin J., "Storage Area Networks: Unclogging LANs and Improving Data Accessibility," Mylex Corporation White Paper (published 5/29/1998) ("Mylex paper");

IBM-ORACLE-1007: U.S. Patent No. 6,401,170 to Griffith et al., filed on 8/18/1999 ("Griffith");

IBM-ORACLE-1008: U.S. Patent No. 6,578,158 to Deitz et al., filed on 10/28/1999 ("Deitz");

IBM-ORACLE-1009: Affidavit of Mr. Chris Butler, on behalf of Internet Archive;

IBM-ORACLE-1010: U.S. Patent No. 6,073,218 to DeKoning et al., filed on 12/23/1996 ("DeKoning");

IBM-ORACLE-1011: Clark, "Designing Storage Area Networks," 1st Edition, Addison-Wesley Professional (1999);

IBM-ORACLE-1012: Spainhower, "Design for Fault-Tolerance in System ES /9000 Model 900," IEEE (1992);

IBM-ORACLE-1013: IEEE 100: Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, 7th Edition (2000); and

IBM-ORACLE-1014: Siewiorek, D and Swarz R., "Reliable Computer Systems, Design and Evaluation," Digital Press (1992).



International Business Machines Corporation and Oracle America, Inc. (collectively "Petitioners") petition for *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42 of claims 1-9 ("the Challenged Claims") of U.S. Patent No. 6,978,346 ("the '346 patent" or "the Baek patent"). As explained in this petition, there exists a reasonable likelihood that Petitioners will prevail with respect to each of the Challenged Claims.

The Challenged Claims are unpatentable based on teachings set forth in the references presented in this petition. Petitioners submit that an IPR should be instituted, and that the Challenged Claims should be cancelled as unpatentable.

I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 (a)(1)

A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 (b)(1)

The real parties-in-interest are International Business Machines Corporation and Oracle America, Inc.

B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 (b)(2)

The '346 patent is the subject of a number of civil actions in the District Court for Delaware: Civil Action Nos. 1-13-cv-01152; 1-13-cv-01151; 1-13-cv-01150; 1-13-cv-01088; 1-13-cv-01089; 1-13-cv-01090; 1-13-cv-00928; 1-13-cv-00927; 1-13-cv-00931; 1-13-cv-00932; 1-13-cv-00930; 1-13-cv-00929; 1-13-cv-00926; 1-12-cv-01629; 1-12-cv-01625; 1-12-cv-01627; 1-12-cv-01624; 1-12-cv-01628; and 1-12-cv-01626.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

