

DOCKET NO.: 0107131-00270US3

Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation

By: Michael A. Diener, Reg. No. 37,122
Michael H. Smith, Reg. No. 71,190
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
Tel: (617) 526-6000
Email: Michael.Diener@wilmerhale.com
MichaelH.Smith@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

ZOND, INC.
Patent Owner

IPR Trial No. TBD

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,806,652
CHALLENGING CLAIM 35
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES	1
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	1
B.	Related Matters.....	1
C.	Counsel	1
D.	Service Information.....	1
II.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING	2
III.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	2
A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications.....	2
B.	Grounds for Challenge	3
C.	Legal Principles.....	3
IV.	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF TECHNOLOGY	5
A.	Plasma.....	6
B.	Excited atoms	7
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '652 PATENT	8
A.	Summary of Alleged Invention of the '652 Patent	8
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	12
A.	Introduction	12
B.	“means for generating an initial plasma and excited atoms from a volume of feed gas”.....	13
C.	“means for transporting the initial plasma and excited atoms proximate to a cathode assembly”.....	15
D.	“means for super-ionizing the initial plasma proximate to the cathode assembly”	16
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIMARY PRIOR ART REFERENCES.....	17
A.	Summary of the prior art	17
B.	Overview of Mozgrin	17
C.	Overview of Kudryavtsev	20
D.	Overview of Fahey	22
E.	Overview of Iwamura.....	22

VIII. SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION.....23

A. Ground I: Claim 35 would have been obvious over Mozgrin,
Kudryavtsev, and Fahey24

B. Ground II: Claim 35 would have been obvious over Mozgrin,
Kudryavtsev, Fahey, and Iwamura.....38

C. Ground III: Claim 35 would have been obvious over Mozgrin and
Iwamura.....42

D. Ground IV: Claim 35 would have been obvious over Mozgrin,
Iwamura, and Fahey50

IX. CONCLUSION.....53

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

In KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398, 415 (2007).....4
KSR..... 4, 5, 29, 32, 38, 42, 47, 49, 50, 52
In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007)12
Rockwell Int’l Corp. v. United States, 147 F.3d 1358, 1364 (Fed. Cir. 1998)4

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 1033, 4
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)3

Rules

Rule 42.104(a).....2
Rules 42.22(a)(1) and 42.104(b)(1)-(2)2

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)12
77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).12

I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Intel Corporation (“Petitioner”) is the real party-in-interest.

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 6,806,652 (“the ’652 Patent”) (Ex. 1201) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review of the ’652 Patent, including IPR2014-00843, and in several patents by the same named inventor as the ’652 Patent.

C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: Michael A. Diener (Registration No. 37,122)

Backup Counsel: Michael H. Smith, (Registration No. 71,190)

D. Service Information

E-mail: Michael.Diener@wilmerhale.com

MichaelH.Smith@wilmerhale.com

Post and hand delivery: Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale and Dorr, LLP

60 State Street

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.