

By: Thomas Engellenner
Pepper Hamilton LLP
125 High Street
19th Floor, High Street Tower
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 204-5100 (telephone)
(617) 204-5150 (facsimile)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WAVEMARKET, INC. D/B/A LOCATION LABS
Petitioner

v.

CALLWAVE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2014-00920
U.S. Patent 6,771,970

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO LOCATION LABS'
PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,771,970**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE IT PRESENTS THE SAME PRIOR ART AND THE SAME ARGUMENTS PREVIOUSLY REJECTED BY THE BOARD.	4
A.	The Board Should Deny Petitions Based On Previously-Rejected And Cumulative Grounds.....	4
B.	The Petition Is Based On The Same Prior Art The Board Considered And Rejected In The First Petition.	5
C.	Petitioner Relies On The Same Arguments The Board Considered And Rejected In The First Petition.....	7
1.	Petitioner Argues That Fitch Discloses The Claimed “Location Determination System”	7
2.	Petitioner Previously Made The Same Argument Regarding Fitch And The Claimed “Location Determination System” To The Board.	9
3.	The Board Rejected Petitioner’s Argument And Determined That Fitch Fails To Disclose The Claimed “Location Determination System”	11
III.	THE PETITION FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONER WOULD PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE CLAIMS AT ISSUE.....	13
IV.	IPR IS BARRED BECAUSE THE PETITION WAS FILED MORE THAN ONE YEAR AFTER PETITIONER’S PRIVIES WERE SERVED WITH A COMPLAINT ALLEGING INFRINGEMENT.....	16
1.	Sprint, AT&T, and T-Mobile Are Privies of Petitioner.....	16
2.	Petitioner Filed The Petition More Than One Year After Its Privies Were Served With A Complaint Alleging Infringement of The ‘970 Patent.	20
V.	CONCLUSION.....	21

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

<i>Asahi Glass Co., Ltd. v. Toledo Eng'g Co.</i> , 505 F. Supp. 2d 423 (N.D. Ohio 2007).....	16
<i>In re Ochiai</i> , 71 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	13
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	13

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 313	1
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	3, 16, 20
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	2, 4, 12

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	18
37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c).....	13
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	13
37 C.F.R. § 42.107	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	2, 13
77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48759	17

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBITS

Ex. #	Description
2101	Corrected Petition For <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,771,970, IPR2014-00199, Paper 6.
2102	Decision Institution of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108, IPR2014-00199, Paper 18.
2103	Petitioner's Request For Rehearing Pursuant To 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.71(c)–(d) For Partial Reconsideration Of The Decision To Institute, IPR2014-00199, Paper 20.
2104	Decision On Request For Rehearing 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d), IPR2014-00199, Paper 24.
2105	U.S. Patent No. 6,321,092 issued to Fitch, IPR2014-00199, Exhibit 1004.
2106	April 17, 2013, Copy of email from Edward M. Abbati, Vice President of Finance for Location Labs, to Richard Sanders, Chief Executive Officer of Callwave Communications, LLC.
2107	Sprint's Answer to CallWave's Complaint in <i>CallWave Communications, LLC v. Sprint Nextel Corp. and Google, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-01702-RGA (D. Del.), Docket No. 71.
2108	AT&T's Answer to CallWave's Second Amended Complaint in <i>CallWave Communications, LLC v. AT&T Mobility, LLC, and Google, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-01701-RGA (D. Del.), Docket No. 76.
2109	T-Mobile's Answer to CallWave's Complaint in <i>CallWave Communications, LLC v. T-Mobile USA Inc. and Google, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-01703-RGA (D. Del.), Docket No. 68.

Ex. #	Description
2110	Petitioner's Opposition to Patent Owner's Motion for Additional Discovery, IPR2014-00199, Paper 33.
2111	Petitioner's Objections and Responses to CallWave's Subpoena in <i>CallWave Communications, LLC v. AT&T Mobility, LLC, and Google, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-01701-RGA (D. Del.).
2112	Defendants' Opening Brief In Support Of Motion To Stay Proceedings On The '970 Patent Pending <i>Inter Partes</i> Review By The Patent Trial And Appeal Board, Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-01702-RGA (D. Del.), Docket No. 104.
2113	Case Docket as of September 9, 2014, <i>CallWave Communications, LLC v. Sprint Nextel Corp. and Google, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-01702-RGA (D. Del.).
2114	Stipulation and Proposed Order Regarding Service and Extension of Time to Respond to Complaint, <i>CallWave Communications, LLC v. AT&T Mobility, LLC, and Google, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-01701-RGA (D. Del.), Docket No. 8.
2115	Case Docket as of September 9, 2014, <i>CallWave Communications, LLC v. T-Mobile USA Inc. and Google, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-01703-RGA (D. Del.).
2116	September 16, 2014 Hearing Transcript Excerpt, <i>CallWave Communications, LLC v. AT&T Mobility, LLC, and Google, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-01701-RGA (D. Del.).
2117	August 28, 2014 Hearing Transcript Excerpt, <i>Callwave Communications, LLC v. Wavemarket, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 14MC80112-JSW (LB) (N.D. Cal.).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.