

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MACRONIX INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD., MACRONIX ASIA LIMITED,
MACRONIX (HONG KONG) CO., LTD. and MACRONIX AMERICA, INC.
Petitioners

v.

SPANSION LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2014-00107
Patent Number 7,018,922

Before KRISTEN L. DROESCH, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and
RICHARD E. RICE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Claim Construction	4
	A. Petitioner Improperly Relies on Unstated Definitions not Provided to the Board	6
	B. “depth of focus” (Claim 1)	8
	C. “stacked gate layer[s]” (Claims 1-3 and 5).....	12
	D. “overlay margin” (Claim 1).....	14
III.	Petitioner Fails to Meet Its Burden to Prove that Two of its Exhibits are Prior Art Publications (Grounds 3, 4 and 5)	15
	A. Petitioner Fails to Meet Its Burden to Prove Exhibit 1005 (“Kim”) is a Prior Art Publication (Grounds 3 and 5)	15
	B. Petitioner Fails to Meet Its Burden to Prove Exhibit 1006 (“Toshiba”) is a Prior Art Publication (Grounds 4 and 5)	17
IV.	There is No Reasonable Likelihood Petitioner Would Prevail on Its Contention that Goda, Alone or in Any Proposed Combination, Discloses the Depth of Focus Limitations of Claims 1-7 (All Grounds).....	19
V.	There is No Reasonable Likelihood Petitioner Would Prevail on Its Contention that Goda, Alone or in Any Proposed Combination, Discloses the Shape of an Ellipse Limitations of Claim 6 (All Grounds)	31
VI.	Petitioner’s Further Unsupported and Unarticulated Arguments Cannot Demonstrate a Reasonable Likelihood of Prevailing.....	40
VII.	Conclusion	42

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc. v. Avia Group International, Inc.</i> , 222 F.3d 951 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	34, 35
<i>In re Cronyn</i> , 890 F.2d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	18
<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	5
<i>In re Wright</i> , 569 F.2d 1124 (C.C.P.A. 1977)	35
<i>Nystrom v. Trex Co. Inc.</i> , 424 F.3d 1136,1149 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	35
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	5
<i>Voter Verified, Inc. v. Premier Election Solutions, Inc.</i> , 698 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	18
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 102.....	15, 17, 19
35 U.S.C. § 311(b).....	15
35 U.S.C. § 314.....	passim
37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c).....	40
37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a).....	26, 28
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	5, 6, 7, 15, 32, 35
37 C.F.R. § 42.107	1, 5

..

37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c)..... 3
37 C.F.R. § 42.120 1, 5
77 Fed. Reg. 48680, 48694 (Aug. 14, 2012)..... 3

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Cardiocom, LLC v. Robert Bosch Healthcare Systems, Inc., IPR2013-00439..... 28
In re Flash Memory Chips and Products Containing Same,
Inv. No. 337-TA-893 7
Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co., CBM2012-00003 16, 39, 41, 42
Synopsys, Inc. v. Mentor Graphics Corp., IPR2012-00041 8, 13

EXHIBITS

Ex. 2001	<i>In re Flash Memory Chips and Products Containing Same</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-893, filed August 1, 2013, before the U.S. International Trade Commission (Joint Claim Construction Statement)
Ex. 2002	Excerpt from Chris Mack, <i>Fundamental Principles of Optical Lithography: The Science of Microfabrication</i> (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., London 2007)
Ex. 2003	Chris A. Mack, <i>Lithography: If you want to improve CD control, you must identify sources of focus and dose errors</i> , MICRO: The Hot Button, http://micromagazine.fabtech.org/archive/06/06/hotbutton.html (last visited February 14, 2014)
Ex. 2004	Chris A. Mack, <i>Optical Proximity Effects</i> , Microlithography World, Spring 1996
Ex. 2005	Chris A. Mack, <i>Optical Proximity Effects Part 2</i> , Microlithography World, Summer 1996
Ex. 2006	Teardowns, TechInsights, http://www.techinsights.com/ip-teardowns/ (last visited Feb. 14, 2014)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.