

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY

Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 to Severinsky et al.

IPR Case No.: IPR2014-00884

**DECLARATION OF DR. GREGORY W. DAVIS IN SUPPORT
OF *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 311 *ET SEQ.*
AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.* (CLAIMS 1, 7, 10, 21, 23, 24 OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,104,347)**

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT LIST	6
I. QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE	9
II. RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS	16
III. QUALIFICATIONS OF ONE OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	17
IV. STATE OF THE ART	18
A. “Series” Hybrid Vehicle.....	22
B. “Parallel” Hybrid Vehicle	25
C. Hybrid Vehicle “Control Strategies”	39
V. THE ’347 PATENT	50
A. Effective Filing Date of the ’347 Patent	50
B. Prosecution History of the ’347 Patent	52
VI. CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE ’347 PATENT AND PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS.....	57
VII. OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	58
A. “Hybrid Power Unit Development for Fiat Multipla Vehicle” by Caraceni et. al (“Caraceni”)	58
B. U.S. Patent No. 5,841,201 to Tabata et al.	60
C. U.S. Patent No. 6,158,541 to Tabata et al.	62
D. U.S. Patent No. 6,081,042 to Tabata et al.	64
VIII. GROUND 1 – CLAIMS 1, 7, 10 and 21 ARE OBVIOUS OVER CARACENI.....	65
A. Claim 1	66
... [1.0] A hybrid vehicle, comprising:.....	67

...	[1.1] an internal combustion engine controllably coupled to road wheels of said vehicle;.....	68
...	[1.2] a first electric motor connected to said engine and [sic] operable to start the engine responsive to a control signal;	72
...	[1.3] a second electric motor connected to road wheels of said vehicle, and operable as a motor, to apply torque to said wheels to propel said vehicle, and as a generator, for accepting torque from at least said wheels for generating current	74
...	[1.4] a battery, for providing current to said motors and accepting charging current from at least said second motor; and	87
...	[1.5] a controller for controlling the flow of electrical and mechanical power between said engine, first and second motors, and wheels,	90
...	[1.6] wherein said controller starts and operates said engine when torque require to be produced by said engine to propel the vehicle and/or to drive either one or both said electric motor(s) to charge said battery is at least equal to a setpoint (SP) above which said engine torque is efficiently produced, and	94
...	[1.7] wherein the torque produced by said engine when operated at said setpoint (SP) is substantially less than the maximum torque output (MTO) of said engine.....	108
B.	Claim 7	112
...	[7.0] The vehicle of claim 1, wherein said vehicle is operated in a plurality of operating modes responsive to the value for the road load (RL) and said setpoint SP, both expressed as percentages of the maximum torque output of the engine when normally-aspirated (MTO), and said operating modes include:.....	113

...	[7.1] a low-load mode I, wherein said vehicle is propelled by torque provided by said second electric motor in response to energy supplied from said battery, while $RL < SP$,	126
...	[7.2] a highway cruising mode IV, wherein said vehicle is propelled by torque provided by said internal combustion engine, while $SP < RL < MTO$, and	128
...	[7.3] an acceleration mode V, wherein said vehicle is propelled by torque provided by said internal combustion engine and by torque provided by either or both electric motor(s) in response to energy supplied from said battery, while $RL > MTO$	130
C.	Claim 10	132
D.	Claim 21	135
IX.	GROUND 2 – Claim 23 Is Obvious Over U.S. Patent No. 5,841,201 (Tabata '201) In View Of U.S. Patent No. 6,158,541 (Tabata '541) and General Knowledge of a Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	137
A.	Claim 23	137
...	[23.0] A method of control of a hybrid vehicle, said vehicle comprising	140
...	[23.1] an internal combustion engine capable of efficiently producing torque at loads between a lower level SP and a maximum torque output MTO ,	143
...	[23.2] a battery, and	151
...	[23.3] one or more electric motors being capable of providing output torque responsive to supplied current, and of generating electrical current responsive to applied torque,	153
...	[23.4] said engine being controllably connected to wheels of said vehicle for applying propulsive torque thereto and to said at least one motor for applying torque thereto,	156

...	[23.5] determining the instantaneous torque RL required to propel said vehicle responsive to an operator command;.....	161
...	[23.6] monitoring the state of charge of said battery;.....	168
...	[23.7] employing said at least one electric motor to propel said vehicle when the torque RL required to do so is less than said lower level SP;	172
...	[23.8] employing said engine to propel said vehicle when the torque RL required to do so is between said lower level SP and MTO;.....	176
...	[23.9] employing both said at least one electric motor and said engine to propel said vehicle when the torque RL required to do so is more than MTO; and.....	180
...	[23.10] employing said engine to propel said vehicle when the torque RL required to do so is less than said lower level SP and using the torque between RL and SP to drive said at least one electric motor to charge said battery when the state of charge of said battery indicates the desirability of doing so; and.....	186
...	[23.11] wherein the torque produced by said engine when operated at said setpoint (SP) is substantially less than the maximum torque output (MTO) of said engine.....	190
B.	Claim 24	192
...	[24] The method of claim 23, comprising the further step of employing said controller to monitor patterns of vehicle operation over time and vary said setpoint SP accordingly.....	192
X.	OBJECTIVE EVIDENCE OF NONOBVIOUSNESS	198
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	199

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.