UNITED STATI	ES PATENT	AND TRA	DEMARK	OFFICE
BEFORE THE	PATENT TR	RIAL AND	APPEAL I	BOARD

FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR LIMITED AND

FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC.

Petitioner

v.

ZOND, LLC Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 6,806,652

Inter Partes Review Case No. 2014-00864

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 42.107(a)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	IN'	TROD	UCTION	1
II.	TE	ECHN	OLOGY BACKGROUND	2
	A.	The l	Need for More Uniformly Distributed Plasmas	2
	В.		652 Patent: Dr. Chistyakov Invents a Technique for Generating Super nized Plasma Having A Uniform Charge Distribution	4
III	. St	JMMA	ARY OF PETITIONER'S PROPOSED GROUNDS	8
IV	. CI	LAIM	CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(3)	8
	A.		truction of "generating an initial plasma and excited ions from a lume of feed as"	9
	В.		truction of "transporting the initial plasma and excited atoms eximate to a cathode assembly"	10
	C.		truction of "super-ionizing the initial plasma proximate to the cathode embly"	11
V.			ONER HAS FAILED TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD VAILING ON INDEPENDENT CLAIM 18	12
	A.		cts In Ground I: Petitioner Failed To Demonstrate A Reasonable celihood That 18 is Obvious Over Mozgrin, Kudryavtsev, and Fahey	13
		a.	Overview of Mozgrin	13
		b.	Kudryavtsev	15
		c.	Overview of Fahey	20
		d.	Differences Between Claim 18 and the Ground I References	21
	e.		usion: Petitioner Has Not Shown a Reasonable Likelihood of Success at Claim 1 is Obvious for the Reasons Asserted in Ground I.	26
	В.	Lil	cts In Ground III: Petitioner Failed To Demonstrate A Reasonable kelihood That Claim 18 is Obvious Over Mozgrin, Kudryavtsev, Fahey d Iwamura.	26



C.	Defects In Ground V: Petitioner Failed To Demonstrate A Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 18 is Obvious Over Mozgrin and Iwamura	31		
	ETITIONER HAS FAILED TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD F PREVAILING ON DEPENDENT CLAIMS 31, 32	33		
a.	Subject Matter of Claims 31, 32.	33		
b.	Petitioner's Grounds Against Claims 31, 32.	34		
c.	The Primary References: Mozgrin, Kudryavtsev, Fahey, And Iwamura	36		
d.	The Secondary Reference: Campbell.	38		
e.	Conclusion.	41		
	ETITIONER HAS FAILED TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD F PREVAILING ON DEPENDENT CLAIMS 33, 34	41		
a.	Subject Matter of Claims 33, 34.	41		
b.	Overview of Petitioner's Grounds.	42		
c.	The Primary References.	42		
d.	The Secondary Reference: Fahey.	43		
e.	Conclusion.	44		
VIII.	III. PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING ON DEPENDENT CLAIMS 19 - 30			
IY C	ONCI LISION	18		



I. Introduction

The present petition for *inter partes* review is the first of three petitions that challenge the patentability of every claim of U.S. Patent No. 6,806,652 ("the '652 patent"). These petitions are part of a larger campaign by a consortium of companies seeking to annul ten Zond patents, and every one of hundreds of claims awarded to Zond. The present petition targets independent claim 18 of the '652 patent and its dependent claims 19 - 34.

The '652 patent is generally directed to a technique for generating a super-ionized plasma having a high density of ions. The patent proposes a method in which a volume of feed gas is converted to an initial plasma that is seeded with exited atoms. The plasma/excited atom mixture is then transported to a region that is proximate to a cathode assembly, where the plasma is then super-ionized. This technique allows the initial plasma to be created under a first condition that seeds the initial plasma with excited atoms, to facilitate the creation of a denser plasma in the next stage. The transportation of this mixture to another location exposes the mixture to a set of conditions that generate a super-ionized plasma from the mixture.

This staged process avoids the risk of arcing often associated with the formation of such dense plasmas. The claims at issue recite this method and various improvements and applications discussed below.



The present petition does not cite to any prior art reference that teaches the claimed methods. Instead it weaves together up to four different prior art references in an attempt to recreate the claims from carefully chosen excerpts. The selected references have publication dates that span nearly 20 years. Yet in all that time, not one reference wrote down or proposed the method patented by Zond. Thus, as explained in this statement, the Petitioner resorts to hindsight analysis in the hope of persuading the Board that the claim method was in fact obvious all along: Using the claims as a schematic, the Petitioner carefully selects a set of prior art references and assembles them to suit its objective.

II. Technology Background

A. The Need for More Uniformly Distributed Plasmas

The '652 patent explains that for certain plasma applications, such as plasma etching or plasma sputtering, it is undesirable for the plasma's ion concentration to vary significantly from one location to another. For example if the ion concentration is relatively high in one region, it can cause corresponding non-uniformities in the target.¹ The patent therefore is

¹ Ex. 1101, '652 Patent, col. 4, lines 23 – 30.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

