

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR LIMITED, FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC., ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., RENESAS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., GLOBAL FOUNDRIES U.S., INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE ONE LLC & CO. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE TWO LLC & CO. KG, TOSHIBA AMERICA ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS, INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC., TOSHIBA CORPORATION, and THE GILLETTE COMPANY,
Petitioner,

v.

ZOND, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00781
Patent 7,147,759 B2¹

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, DEBRA K. STEPHENS, JONI Y. CHANG, SUSAN L.C. MITCHELL, and JENNIFER M. MEYER,
Administrative Patent Judges.

CHANG, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER

Granting Petitioner's Request for a Ten-Page Extension
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.5 and 42.24

¹ Because this Order addresses the same issue in the proceedings listed in the Appendix, we enter this Order in this case as representative.

A conference call was held on April 13, 2015, between respective counsel for the parties and Judges Turner, Stephens, Chang, Mitchell, and Meyer. During the conference call, Petitioner requested an additional ten (10) pages for its Reply to Patent Owner’s Response—a total of twenty-five (25) pages for a Reply—consistent with the United States Patent and Trademark Office Director Michelle K. Lee’s Posting on March 27, 2015 (Ex. 3001², “the Director’s Posting”), for each proceeding listed in the Appendix.

Patent Owner opposed Petitioner’s Request, arguing that no additional pages would be necessary, because Petitioner filed multiple Petitions challenging each of its patents, the *inter partes* reviews at issue involve overlapping issues, and Petitioner also may file a Response to Observation in each proceeding. As noted by Petitioner, however, each *inter partes* review involves different claims, addressing different substantive issues. We also observed that Petitioner may file a Response to a Motion for Observation, *only if* Patent Owner files a Motion for Observation, and Petitioner’s Response to the Motion for Observation is limited to the issues raised in the Motion. Moreover, as indicated in the Director’s Posting, fifteen (15) pages for a Reply is not a commensurate number of pages to respond to a sixty-page (60) Patent Owner’s Response. Upon consideration

² The Director’s Posting is also available at:
http://www.uspto.gov/blog/director/entry/ptab_s_quick_fixes_for

IPR2014-00781
Patent 7,147,759 B2

of the facts before us, we are not persuaded by Patent Owner's argument that a ten-page extension is not necessary.

For the foregoing reasons, we, hereby, exercising our discretion under 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(b), grant Petitioner's Request for a ten-page extension, consistent with the Director's Posting.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that, notwithstanding the page limit set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42.24(c), the page limit for Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response is increased to a total of twenty-five (25) pages, for each proceeding listed in the Appendix of this Order. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(b).

APPENDIX

U.S. Patent Numbers	<i>Inter Partes</i> Reviews
6,805,779 B2	IPR2014-00828 IPR2014-00829 IPR2014-00917 IPR2014-01073 IPR2014-01076
6,806,652 B1	IPR2014-00861 IPR2014-01088 IPR2014-01089
6,853,142 B2	IPR2014-00818 IPR2014-00819 IPR2014-00821 IPR2014-00827 IPR2014-01098
7,147,759 B2	IPR2014-00781 IPR2014-00782 IPR2014-01083 IPR2014-01086 IPR2014-01087
7,604,716 B2	IPR2014-00807 IPR2014-00808 IPR2014-01099 IPR2014-01100
7,811,421 B2	IPR2014-00800 IPR2014-00802 IPR2014-00805

IPR2014-00781
Patent 7,147,759 B2

For PATENT OWNER:

Gregory J. Gonsalves
gonsalves@gonsalveslawfirm.com

Bruce J. Barker
bbarker@chsblaw.com

Tarek Fahmi
tarek.fahmi@ascendalaw.com

For PETITIONER:

Fujitsu:

David M. O'Dell
david.odell.ipr@haynesboone.com

David L. McCombs
david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com

Richard C. Kim
rckim@duanemorris.com

GlobalFoundries:

David M. Tennant
dtennant@whitecase.com

Dohm Chankong
dohm.chankong@whitecase.com

Gillette:

David L. Cavanaugh
david.cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com

Larissa B. Park
larissa.park@wilmerhale.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.