DOCKET NO.: 52055.2

Filed on behalf of: Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited and Fujitsu Semiconductor

America, Inc.

By: David M. O'Dell, Reg. No. 42,044

David L. McCombs, Reg. No. 32,271

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR LIMITED AND FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC. Petitioner

V.

ZOND, INC. Patent Owner

Case IPR_____

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,805,779 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 30-40 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

I.	Mandatory Notices 1		
	A.	Real Party-in-Interest 1	
	B.	Related Matters1	
	C.	Counsel 1 -	
	D.	Service Information2	
II.	Certification of Grounds for Standing2		
III.	Over	view of Challenge and Relief Requested 2 -	
	A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications 2 -	
	B.	Grounds for Challenge 3 -	
IV.	Brief Description of Technology 4		
	A.	Plasma 4 -	
	B.	Ions, excited atoms, and metastable atoms 4	
V.	Overview of the '779 Patent6		
	A.	Summary of Alleged Invention of the '779 Patent 6	
	B.	Prosecution History10	
	C.	Claims 30 and 40 12 -	
VI.	Overview of the primary prior art references 14		
	A.	Summary of the Prior Art14	
	B.	Overview of Mozgrin14	
	C.	Overview of Kudryavtsev 16	
	D.	Overview of Iwamura 16 -	
	E.	Overview of Pinsley and Angelbeck 17	
VII.	Claim construction 18		
	A.	"multi-step ionization" - 19	
VIII.	Spec	Specific Grounds for Petition 1	



U.S. Patent 6,805,779 Claims 30-40 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

	A.	Ground I: Claims 30-33, 35, 37, and 40 would have been obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin, Kudryavtsev and Pinsley 20 -
		1. Independent claim 30 20 -
		2. Independent claim 4032 -
	B.	Ground II: Claims 34, and 39 would have been obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin, Kudryavtsev, Pinsley, and Wells 38 -
	C.	Ground III: Claim 36 would have been obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin, Kudryavtsev, Pinsley, and Lovelock 39 -
	D.	Ground IV: Claim 30-33, 35, 37, and 40 would have been obvious in view of Iwamura and Angelbeck41 -
		1. Independent claim 3041 -
		2. Independent claim 40 52 -
		3. Dependent claims 31-33, 35, and 37 53 -
	E.	Ground V: Claims 34, and 39 are obvious in view of the combination of Iwamura, Angelbeck, and Wells57 -
	F.	Ground VI: Claim 36 would have been obvious in view of the combination of Iwamura, Angelbeck and Lovelock 58 -
IX	Conc	lusion - 60 -



I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited and Fujitsu Semiconductor America, Inc. are the real parties-in-interest ("Petitioner").

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 6,805,779 ("779 Patent") (Ex. 1201) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (Zond v. Intel); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.) ; 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (Zond v. Gillette, Co.). Petitioner has also filed IPR 2014-00598 and IPR 2014-00686 for other claims of the '779 Patent.

The below-listed claims of the '142 Patent are presently the subject of a substantially identical petition for *inter partes* review styled *Intel Corporation v*.

Zond, Inc., which was filed May 16, 2014 and assigned Case No. IPR2014-00765.

Petitioner will seek joinder with that *inter partes* review under 35 U.S.C. § 315(c), 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b).

C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: David M. O'Dell (Registration No. 42,044)

Backup Counsel: David L. McCombs (Registration No. 32,271)



D. Service Information

E-mail: David.odell.ipr@haynesboone.com

david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com

Post and hand delivery: David M. O'Dell

Haynes and Boone, LLP 2323 Victory Ave., Suite 700

Dallas, Texas 75219

Telephone: 972-739-8635 Fax: 214-200-0853

Counsel agrees to service by email.

II. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING

Petitioner certifies pursuant to Rule 42.104(a) that the patent for which review is sought is available for *inter partes* review and that Petitioner is not barred or estopped from requesting an *inter partes* review challenging the patent claims on the grounds identified in this Petition.

III. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to Rules 42.22(a)(1) and 42.104(b)(1)-(2), Petitioner challenges claims 30-40 of the '779 Patent.

A. Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications

The following references, and others listed in the Table of Exhibits, are pertinent to the grounds of unpatentability explained below, and are each prior art under 102(b):



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

