

DOCKET NO.: 52055.2

Filed on behalf of: Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited and Fujitsu Semiconductor
America, Inc.

By: David M. O'Dell, Reg. No. 42,044
David L. McCombs, Reg. No. 32,271

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR LIMITED AND
FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC..
Petitioner

v.

ZOND, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR _____

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,808,184
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1-5 AND 11-15
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Mandatory Notices	1
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	1
B.	Related Matters.....	1
C.	Counsel.....	2
D.	Service Information.....	2
II.	Certification of Grounds for Standing	2
III.	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested	3
A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications	3
B.	Grounds for Challenge.....	4
IV.	Brief Description of Technology	4
A.	Plasma.....	4
B.	Ions and Excited Atoms.....	5
V.	Overview of the ‘184 Patent.....	7
A.	Summary of Alleged Invention of the ‘184 Patent.....	7
B.	Prosecution History	7
VI.	Overview of the Primary Prior Art References	8
A.	Summary of the Prior Art	8
B.	Overview of Mozgrin	9
C.	Overview of Kudryavtsev.....	11
D.	Overview of Wang.....	11
VII.	Claim Construction.....	13
A.	“Strongly-ionized plasma” and “weakly-ionized plasma”.....	14
VIII.	Specific Ground for Petition	15
A.	Ground I: Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 11, 12, 14 and 15 are obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin and Kudryavtsev	15
1.	Independent claim 1	16
2.	Independent claim 11	27

3.	Dependent claims 2, 4, 5 and 12, 14 and 15 are obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin and Kudryavtsev	30
B.	Ground II: Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 11, 12, 14 and 15 are obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin and the Mozgrin Thesis	33
1.	Independent claim 1	34
2.	Independent claim 11	37
3.	Dependent claims 2, 4, 5, 12, 14 and 15	38
C.	Ground III: Claim 3 and 13 are obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin, Kudryavtsev and Wang.....	39
D.	Ground IV: Claim 3 and 13 are obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin, Mozgrin Thesis and Wang.....	40
E.	Ground V: Claims 1-5 and 11-15 are obvious in view of the combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev	42
1.	Independent claim 1	42
2.	Independent claim 11	51
3.	Dependent claims 2-5 and 12-15 are obvious in view of the combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev	52
IX.	Conclusion.....	58

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).

I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited and Fujitsu Semiconductor America, Inc. are the real parties-in-interest (“Petitioner”).

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,808,184 (“’184 Patent”) (Ex. 1001) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*) ; 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*) (Ex. 1024). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related¹ to the ‘184 Patent.

The below-listed claims of the ‘184 Patent are presently the subject of a substantially identical petition for inter partes review styled Intel Corporation v. Zond, Inc., which was filed March 7, 2014 and assigned Case No. IPR2014-00455. Petitioner will seek joinder with that *inter partes* review under 35 U.S.C. § 315(c), 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b).

¹ The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.