DOCKET NO.: 52055.2

Filed on behalf of: Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited and Fujitsu Semiconductor

America, Inc.

By: David L. McCombs, Reg. No. 32,271

David M. O'Dell, Reg. No. 42,044 Richard C. Kim, Reg. No. 40,046

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR LIMITED AND FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC. Petitioner

V.

ZOND, INC. Patent Owner

IPR Trial No. TBD

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,811,421 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 9, 14, 21, 26, 35 AND 37 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Mandatory Notices1	-
A	. Real Party-in-Interest 1	-
В	Related Matters1	-
C	Counsel 2	-
D	. Service Information2	-
II.	Certification of Grounds for Standing2	-
III.	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested3	-
A	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications3	-
В	Grounds for Challenge4	-
IV.	Brief Description of Technology5	-
A	. Plasma 5	-
В	Ions and Excited Atoms6	-
V.	Overview of the '421 Patent7	-
A	. Summary of Alleged Invention of the '421 Patent7	-
В	Prosecution History8	-
VI.	Overview of the Primary Prior Art References8	-
A	Summary of the Prior Art8	-
В	Overview of Mozgrin9	-
C	Overview of Kudryavtsev 10	-
D	Overview of Wang11	-
VII.	Claim Construction 13	-
A	. "weakly-ionized plasma" and "strongly-ionized plasma" 13	-
VIII	. Specific Grounds for Petition15	-
A ar	Ground I: Claims 9 and 35 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin d Kudryavtsev15	_
	1. Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Mozgrin 15	-
	2. Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Mozgrin24	-
	3. Dependent claims 9 and 35 26	



B. Ground II: Claims 14 and 37 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrir and the Mozgrin Thesis33	
C. Ground III: Claim 21 is obvious over the combination of Mozgrin, Lantsman and Kudryavtsev36	-
1. Independent claim 17 is obvious in view of Mozgrin and Lantsman 36	-
2. Dependent claim 21 is obvious in view of Mozgrin, Lantsman and Kudryavtsev40	-
D. Ground IV: Claim 26 is obvious over the combination of Mozgrin, Lantsman and the Mozgrin Thesis41	-
E. Ground V: Claims 9, 21 and 35 are obvious over the combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev43	_
1. Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Wang 43	-
2. Independent claim 17 is anticipated by Wang 49	-
3. Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Wang 51	-
4. Dependent claims 9, 21 and 35 are obvious in view of Wang and Kudryavtsev52	-
F. Ground VI: Claims 14, 26 and 37 are obvious over the combination of Wang and the Mozgrin Thesis56	-
IX. Conclusion58	-



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).



I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited and Fujitsu Semiconductor America, Inc. are the real parties-in-interest ("Petitioner").

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,811,421 ("421 Patent") (Ex. 1201) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related to the '421 Patent.

The below-listed claims of the '421 Patent are presently the subject of a substantially identical petition for *inter partes* review styled *Intel Corporation v*.

Zond, Inc., which was filed March 7, 2014 and assigned Case No. IPR2014-00470.

Petitioner plans to seek joinder with that *inter partes* review.

¹ The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

