

DOCKET NO.: 52055.2

Filed on behalf of: Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited and Fujitsu Semiconductor
America, Inc.

By: David L. McCombs, Reg. No. 32,271
David M. O'Dell, Reg. No. 42,044
Richard C. Kim, Reg. No. 40,046

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR LIMITED AND
FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

ZOND, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR _____

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,811,421
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1, 2, 8, 10-13, 15-17, 22-25, 27-30, 33, 34, 38, 39,
42, 43 AND 46-48
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Mandatory Notices	- 1 -
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	- 1 -
B.	Related Matters.....	- 1 -
C.	Counsel.....	- 1 -
D.	Service Information.....	- 2 -
II.	Certification of Grounds for Standing	- 2 -
III.	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested	- 2 -
A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications.....	- 3 -
B.	Grounds for Challenge	- 3 -
IV.	Brief Description of Technology.....	- 4 -
A.	Plasma.....	- 4 -
B.	Ions and Excited Atoms	- 5 -
V.	Overview of the '421 Patent	- 7 -
A.	Summary of Alleged Invention of the '421 Patent	- 7 -
B.	Prosecution History	- 7 -
VI.	Overview of the primary prior art references.....	- 8 -
A.	Summary of the prior art	- 8 -
B.	Overview of Mozgrin	- 8 -
C.	Overview of Wang	- 10 -
VII.	Claim Construction.....	- 11 -
A.	“weakly-ionized plasma” and “strongly-ionized plasma”	- 12 -
VIII.	Specific Grounds for Petition.....	- 14 -
A.	Ground I: Claims 1, 2, 8, 10-13, 15, 16, 34, 38, 39, 43 and 46-48 are anticipated by Mozgrin	- 14 -
1.	Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Mozgrin.....	- 15 -
2.	Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Mozgrin.....	- 23 -
3.	Independent claims 46-48 are anticipated by Mozgrin.....	- 25 -
4.	Dependent claims 2, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 38, 39 and 43 are anticipated by Mozgrin	- 27 -

B. Ground II: Claims 1, 2, 8, 10-13, 16, 17, 22-25, 28-30, 33, 34, 39, 42, 43 and 46-48 are anticipated by Wang - 33 -

- 1. Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Wang - 33 -
- 2. Independent claim 17 is anticipated by Wang - 39 -
- 3. Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Wang - 41 -
- 4. Independent claims 46-48 are anticipated by Wang - 42 -
- 5. Dependent claims 2, 8, 10-13, 16, 22-25, 28, 29, 30, 33, 39, 42 and 43 are anticipated by Wang..... - 44 -

C. Ground III: Claims 17, 22-25, 27-30, 33, and 42 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin and Lantsman..... - 50 -

- 1. Independent claim 17 - 50 -
- 2. Dependent claims 22-25, 27-30, 33 and 42 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin with Lantsman - 54 -

D. Ground IV: Claims 15, 27 and 38 are obvious over the combination of Wang and Mozgrin..... - 56 -

IX. Conclusion - 59 -

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).

I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited and Fujitsu Semiconductor America, Inc. are the real parties-in-interest (“Petitioner”).

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,811,421 (“’421 Patent”) (Ex. 1001) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*) (Ex. 1022). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related¹ to the ’421 Patent.

The below-listed claims of the ’421 Patent are presently the subject of a substantially identical petition for *inter partes* review styled *Intel Corporation v. Zond, Inc.*, which was filed March 7, 2014 and assigned Case No. IPR2014-00468. Petitioner plans to seek joinder with that *inter partes* review.

C. Counsel

¹ The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.