

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD.,
TSMC NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION,
FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR LIMITED,
FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC.,
ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., RENESAS ELECTRONICS
CORPORATION, RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
GLOBAL FOUNDRIES U.S., INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN
MODULE ONE LLC & CO. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN
MODULE TWO LLC & CO. KG, TOSHIBA AMERICA ELECTRONIC
COMPONENTS, INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INC., TOSHIBA
AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC., TOSHIBA
CORPORATION, and THE GILLETTE COMPANY
Petitioners,

v.

ZOND, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00828
Patent 6,805,779 B2¹

Before SUSAN L. C. MITCHELL, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Michael D. Sadowitz
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This Decision addresses the same issues in the *inter partes* reviews listed in the Appendix. Therefore, we issue one Decision to be filed in all of the cases. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style of filing in subsequent papers.

Patent Owner Zond, LLC (“Zond”) filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Michael D. Sadowitz in each of the proceedings identified in the Appendix. Paper 19 (“Mot.”).² Zond has represented that Petitioners do not oppose the motions. Mot. 2. For the reasons provided below, Zond’s Motions are *granted*.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. The Order authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission requires a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice*, and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceedings identified in the Appendix. Paper 3, 2.

In the proceedings at issue, lead counsel for Zond, Dr. Gregory J. Gonsalves, is a registered practitioner. Mot. 2. Zond’s Motions indicate that there is good cause for us to recognize Mr. Sadowitz *pro hac vice* during these proceedings, and is supported by the Declaration of Mr. Sadowitz (Ex. 2001). Mot. 2–4.

In particular, Mr. Sadowitz declares that he is an experienced litigation attorney, with experience in many litigations involving patent infringement in district court. Ex. 2001 ¶ viii. Mr. Sadowitz also declares that he has established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the

² For the purpose of clarity and expediency, we treat IPR2014-00828 as representative, and all citations are to IPR2014-00828 unless otherwise noted.

proceedings identified in the Appendix, as he has been representing Zond, in the related district court litigation that involves the same patents being challenged in the proceedings before us. *Id.* ¶ ix. Additionally, Mr. Sadowitz's Declaration complies with the requirements set forth in the Board's Order authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission. *Id.* ¶¶ i–ix.

On this record, we determine that Mr. Sadowitz has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Zond in the proceedings identified in the Appendix. We further recognize that there is a need for Zond to have its counsel in the co-pending litigation involved in the proceedings before us. Accordingly, Zond has established that there is good cause for Mr. Sadowitz's admission.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Zond's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Michael D. Sadowitz are *granted*; Mr. Sadowitz is authorized to represent Zond as back-up counsel in the proceedings identified in the Appendix;

FURTHER ORDERED that Zond is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for those proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Sadowitz is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Sadowitz is to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the

IPR2014-00828
Patent 6,805,779 B2

USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–
11.901.

IPR2014-00828
Patent 6,805,779 B2

APPENDIX

U.S. Patent Numbers	<i>Inter Partes</i> Reviews
6,805,779 B2	IPR2014-00828 IPR2014-00829 IPR2014-00917 IPR2014-01073 IPR2014-01076

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.