

# Exhibit 2011

1           IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
2                           BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

3           \_\_\_\_\_  
4           TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR  
5           MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD.

6           AND TSMC NORTH AMERICA CORP.,

Case Nos.

IPR2014-00818

7                           Petitioners,

IPR2014-00819

IPR2014-00821

8           -vs-

IPR2014-00827

IPR2014-01098

9           ZOND, LLC,

10                           Patent Owner.

11                           \_\_\_\_\_  
12                           VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of DR. UWE KORTSHAGEN  
13                           Minneapolis, Minnesota  
14                           December 4th, 2014

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24           Reported by:

Amy L. Larson, RPR

25           Job No. 87858

1 APPEARANCES:  
 2 RADULESCU  
 3 350 Fifth Avenue  
 4 New York, New York 10118  
 5 By: Etai Lahav, Esq.  
 6 Maria Granovsky, Esq.  
 7 For: Zond, LLC  
 8  
 9 GONSALVES LAW FIRM  
 10 2216 Beacon Lane  
 11 Falls Church, Virginia 22043  
 12 By: Gregory Gonsalves, Esq.  
 13 For: Zond, LLC  
 14  
 15 DUANE MORRIS  
 16 100 High Street  
 17 Boston, Massachusetts 02110  
 18 By: Anthony Fitzpatrick, Esq.  
 19 For: Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing  
 20 Company Limited and  
 21 TSMC North America  
 22  
 23 HAYNES AND BOONE  
 24 2323 Victory Avenue  
 25 Dallas, Texas 75219  
 By: David McCombs, Esq.  
 By: Gregory Huh, Esq.  
 For: Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing  
 Company Limited and  
 TSMC North America  
 ///

1 APPEARANCES: (CONT'D.)  
 2 WHITE & CASE  
 3 701 Thirteenth Street, N.W.  
 4 Washington, D.C. 20005  
 5 By: David Tennant, Esq.  
 6 By: Brett Rismiller, Esq.  
 7 For: Global Foundries  
 8  
 9  
 10  
 11 O'MELVENY & MYERS  
 12 400 South Hope Street  
 13 Los Angeles, California 90071  
 14 By: Vincent Zhou, Esq. (By telephone)  
 15 For: Advanced Micro Devices  
 16  
 17  
 18 FOLEY & LARDNER  
 19 321 North Clark Street  
 20 Chicago, Illinois 60654  
 21 By: Michael Houston, Esq. (By telephone)  
 22 For: Renesas Electronics Corporation and  
 23 Renesas Electronics America, Inc.  
 24  
 25  
 26 BAKER BOTTS  
 27 One Shell Plaza  
 28 910 Louisiana Street  
 29 Houston, Texas 77002  
 30 By: Michael Silliman, Esq.  
 31 For: Toshiba  
 32  
 33  
 34 ALSO PRESENT: Dean Hibben, Videographer  
 35

1 INDEX:  
 2 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE  
 3 Mr. Lahav.....8  
 4 EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION:  
 5 Exhibit 2004.....112  
 6 Catholic Arcs  
 7 No Bates  
 8 PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS:  
 9 Exhibit TSMC 1001  
 10 U.S. Patent No. 6,853,142 B2  
 11 No Bates  
 12 Exhibit INTEL 1002  
 13 Kortshagen Declaration - '759 Patent  
 14 No Bates  
 15 Exhibit TSMC 1003  
 16 High-Current Low-Pressure Quasi-Stationary  
 17 Discharge in a Magnetic Field  
 18 Experimental Research  
 19 No Bates  
 20 Exhibit TSMC 1004  
 21 U.S. Patent No. 6,190,512 B1  
 22 No Bates  
 23 Exhibit TSMC 1201  
 24 U.S. Patent No. 7,147,759 B2  
 25 No Bates  
 Exhibit TSMC 1202  
 Kortshagen Declaration - '142 Patent  
 No Bates  
 Exhibit TSMC 1204  
 Ionization Relaxation in a plasma produced  
 by a pulsed inert-gas discharge  
 No Bates  
 Exhibit TSMC 1205  
 U.S. Patent 6,413,382 B1  
 No Bates

1 INDEX: (CONT'D.)  
 2 PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS:  
 3 Exhibit TSMC 1216  
 4 U.S. Patent 6,306,265 B1  
 5 No Bates  
 6 Exhibit TSMC 1221  
 7 U.S. Patent 5,247,531  
 8 No Bates  
 9 Exhibit TSMC 1222  
 10 European Patent Application  
 11 No Bates  
 12 Exhibit TSMC 1302  
 13 Kortshagen Declaration - '759 Patent  
 14 No Bates  
 15 Exhibit  
 16 Paper 13 - No Bates  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25

1 THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DR. UWE KORTSHAGEN,  
2 taken on this 4th day of December, 2014, at The  
3 Commons Hotel, 615 Washington Avenue, S.E.,  
4 Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at  
5 approximately 10:30 a.m.

6  
7 PROCEEDINGS

8  
9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is video  
10 number 1 in the deposition of Dr. Uwe  
11 Kortshagen in the matter of Taiwan  
12 Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, Ltd.,  
13 et al., vs. Zond, LLC, in the United States  
14 Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent  
15 Trial and Appeal Board, numbers  
16 IPR2014-00818, 00819, 00821, 00827 and 01098.

17 The deposition is being held at The  
18 Commons Hotel in Minneapolis, Minnesota on  
19 December 4th, 2014, at approximately  
20 10:29 a.m. My name is Dean Hibben, I'm the  
21 legal video specialist from TSG Reporting  
22 Incorporated, headquartered at 747 Third  
23 Avenue, New York, New York. The court  
24 reporter is Amy Larson in association with  
25 TSG Reporting.

1 DR. UWE KORTSHAGEN  
2 Haynes & Boone representing Taiwan  
3 Semiconductor Manufacturing Limited and  
4 North -- TSMC North America and Fujitsu.

5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Anyone on the  
6 phone, please?

7 MR. HOUSTON: Yes, Michael Houston  
8 of Foley & Lardner representing Renesas.

9 MR. SILLIMAN: Michael Silliman of  
10 Baker, Botts, LLC, on behalf of Toshiba.

11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And would the  
12 court reporter please swear in the witness.

13  
14 DR. UWE KORTSHAGEN,  
15 a witness in the above-entitled action,  
16 after having been first duly sworn, was  
17 deposed and says as follows:

18 EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. LAHAV:

20 Q. Good morning.

21 A. Good morning.

22 Q. What did you do to prepare for this  
23 deposition?

24 A. I, over the past two weeks, reread my  
25 declarations and many of the references.

1 DR. UWE KORTSHAGEN

2 Will counsel please introduce yourselves.

3 MR. LAHAV: Etai Lahav of  
4 Radulescu, LLP, representing patent owner  
5 Zond.

6 MS. GRANOVSKY: Maria Granovsky,  
7 Radulescu, LLP, representing Zond.

8 MR. GONSALVES: Gregory Gonsalves  
9 representing Zond.

10 MR. FITZPATRICK: Anthony  
11 Fitzpatrick from Duane Morris, LLP, on behalf  
12 of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company  
13 Limited and TSMC North America.

14 MR. TENNANT: David Tennant of  
15 White & Case, I'm here with my colleague  
16 Brett Rismiller of White & Case, we are  
17 representing Global Foundries U.S. Inc.,  
18 Global Foundries Dresden Module One LLC & Co.  
19 KG, Global Foundries Dresden Module Two LLC &  
20 Co. KG.

21 MR. MCCOMBS: David McCombs  
22 representing Taiwan Semiconductor  
23 Manufacturing Limited, TSMC North America and  
24 Fujitsu.

25 MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from

1 DR. UWE KORTSHAGEN

2 Q. Anything else?

3 A. I had breakfast this morning.

4 Q. In preparation for your deposition?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. In order to be -- to have nutrition for it,  
7 is that your statement?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. Okay. Did you meet with any counsel in  
10 preparation for your deposition?

11 A. I did.

12 Q. When?

13 A. We met on December 2nd, and I think we had a  
14 number of discussions before that. I don't  
15 at this point recall the exact dates.

16 Q. How long did you meet for on December 2nd?

17 A. Excuse me, can you repeat?

18 Q. Yes. How long did you meet for on  
19 December 2nd?

20 A. Excuse me. I think we met for six hours  
21 maybe.

22 Q. And with whom did you meet?

23 A. I met with the gentlemen who are here at the  
24 table. So I met with Mr. Fitzpatrick,  
25 Mr. Tennant, um --

1 DR. UWE KORTSHAGEN  
 2 Q. Did you meet with everybody sitting on this  
 3 other side of the table?  
 4 A. At which side of the table?  
 5 Q. The one opposite me.  
 6 A. Correct, yes.  
 7 Q. Okay.  
 8 A. I'm not very good with the last names because  
 9 we --  
 10 Q. I don't know all their names either yet.  
 11 A. Oh, okay.  
 12 Q. Did you spend any time this morning preparing  
 13 for your deposition?  
 14 A. I spent about 20 minutes looking at some  
 15 references, yes.  
 16 Q. Immediately preceding this session; is that  
 17 right?  
 18 A. That is correct, and also maybe 20 minutes at  
 19 home before I actually came here.  
 20 Q. Do you recall how many telephone  
 21 conversations you had to prepare for your  
 22 deposition this morning before the meeting on  
 23 December 2nd?  
 24 MR. FITZPATRICK: Objection to the  
 25 form.

1 DR. UWE KORTSHAGEN  
 2 Q. Is there any other petitioner's counsel whose  
 3 name you know who participated in a  
 4 preparation session with you for this  
 5 deposition on the phone?  
 6 MR. FITZPATRICK: Objection to  
 7 form.  
 8 THE WITNESS: I think I remember  
 9 that Larissa Park from Wilmer, Hale  
 10 participated at one point. And I believe,  
 11 I'm not a hundred percent certain, I believe  
 12 that the gentleman from Foley & Lardner was  
 13 present at one of the conversations via  
 14 telephone.  
 15 BY MR. LAHAV:  
 16 Q. Any other names that you can recall?  
 17 A. No.  
 18 Q. Please turn to the Kudryavtsev reference. It  
 19 should be in the pile in front of you.  
 20 A. Yes.  
 21 Q. In Kudryavtsev, in his experimental setup, so  
 22 I'm not right now talking about the math, I'm  
 23 talking about his experiment, there's no  
 24 secondary electron emission; is that correct?  
 25 A. I don't think that this is correct.

1 DR. UWE KORTSHAGEN  
 2 THE WITNESS: I do not recall the  
 3 exact number, no.  
 4 BY MR. LAHAV:  
 5 Q. Did you have telephone conversations to  
 6 prepare for this deposition with anyone other  
 7 than those sitting across from me at this  
 8 table?  
 9 MR. FITZPATRICK: Objection.  
 10 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the  
 11 question, please?  
 12 MR. LAHAV: Yes.  
 13 BY MR. LAHAV:  
 14 Q. Other than the people sitting across from me  
 15 at this table, did you have telephone  
 16 conversations with any other petitioner's  
 17 counsel in preparation for your deposition  
 18 this morning?  
 19 A. I think I should mention that at some of our  
 20 discussions there was a phone conference like  
 21 this and other counsel did call in. I  
 22 don't -- do not recall who actually called  
 23 in. So just for correctness --  
 24 Q. Okay.  
 25 A. -- I want to state that.

1 DR. UWE KORTSHAGEN  
 2 Q. Okay. What -- what is secondary electron  
 3 emission?  
 4 A. Secondary electron emission is a process by  
 5 which electrons are released, for instance,  
 6 at the cathode of a discharge system when the  
 7 cathode is bombarded by energetic species  
 8 such as ions.  
 9 Q. In a sputtering apparatus, when we talk about  
 10 secondary electron emission we are usually  
 11 referring to emission from the target,  
 12 correct?  
 13 MR. FITZPATRICK: Objection to  
 14 form.  
 15 THE WITNESS: I think that the  
 16 target is one of the electrons exposed to the  
 17 plasma from which secondary electrons can be  
 18 released.  
 19 BY MR. LAHAV:  
 20 Q. Isn't it the case that it is the main source  
 21 of secondary electrons in a sputtering  
 22 apparatus?  
 23 A. I do not think that the question can be  
 24 generalized like this. I believe that it  
 25 depends on the specific layout of the

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.