

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
\_\_\_\_\_  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD  
\_\_\_\_\_

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD., TSMC  
NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION, FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR  
LIMITED, FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC., ADVANCED  
MICRO DEVICES, INC., RENESAS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,  
RENEASAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., GLOBAL FOUNDRIES U.S.,  
INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE ONE LLC & CO. KG,  
GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE TWO LLC & CO. KG, TOSHIBA  
AMERICA ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS, INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INC.,  
TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC., TOSHIBA  
CORPORATION, and THE GILLETTE COMPANY  
Petitioners,

v.

ZOND, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Case IPR2014-00781<sup>1</sup>  
Patent 7,147,759 B2  
\_\_\_\_\_

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION

Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Etai Lahav  
Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Dr. Maria Granovsky  
Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Tigran Vardanian

\_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>1</sup> This Decision addresses the same issues in the *inter partes* reviews listed in the Appendix. Therefore, we issue one Decision to be filed in all of the cases. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style of filing in subsequent papers.

IPR2014-00781 (Patent 7,147,759 B2) et al.

*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

Patent Owner Zond, LLC (hereafter “Zond”) filed Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Etai Lahav, Dr. Maria Granovsky, and Mr. Tigran Vardanian in each of the proceedings identified in the Appendix. Papers 25, 26, 27 (“Mot. 1,” “Mot. 2,” “Mot. 3,” respectively).<sup>2</sup> Zond indicates that these Motions were being filed without opposition. Mots. 1–3, 2. For the reasons provided below, Zond’s Motions are *granted*.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. The Order authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission requires a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice*, and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceedings identified in the Appendix.

In the proceedings at issue, lead counsel for Zond, Dr. Gregory J. Gonsalves, is a registered practitioner. Mots. 1–3, 2. Zond’s Motions indicate that there is good cause for us to recognize Mr. Etai Lahav, Dr. Maria Granovsky, and Mr. Tigran Vardanian *pro hac vice* during these proceedings, and is supported by a Declaration of each (Exs. 2001, 2002, 2003). Mots. 1–3, 4. We address each individual seeking admission below, in turn.

Mr. Lahav declares that he is an experienced patent litigation attorney and has been practicing law, with a focus on patent litigation and other

---

<sup>2</sup> For the purpose of clarity and expediency, we treat IPR2014-00781 as representative, and all citations are to IPR2014-00781 unless otherwise noted.

intellectual property matters. Ex. 2001 ¶ viii. Mr. Lahav also declares that he has established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceedings identified in the Appendix, as he has been representing Zond in the related district court litigation that involves the same patents being challenged in the proceedings before us. *Id.* ¶ ix. Additionally, Mr. Lahav's Declaration complies with the requirements set forth in the Board's Order authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission. *Id.* ¶¶ i–ix.

On this record, we determine that Mr. Lahav has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Zond in the proceedings identified in the Appendix. We further recognize that there is a need for Zond to have its counsel in the co-pending litigation involved in the proceedings before us. Accordingly, Zond has established that there is good cause for Mr. Lahav's admission.

Dr. Granovsky declares that she is an experienced patent litigation attorney and has been practicing law, with a focus on patent litigation and other intellectual property matters. Ex. 2002 ¶ viii. Dr. Granovsky also declares that she has established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceedings identified in the Appendix, as she has been representing Zond in the related district court litigation that involves the same patents being challenged in the proceedings before us. *Id.* ¶ ix. Additionally, Dr. Granovsky's Declaration complies with the requirements set forth in the Board's Order authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission. *Id.* ¶¶ i–ix.

On this record, we determine that Dr. Granovsky has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Zond in the proceedings identified in the Appendix. We further recognize that there is a need for Zond to have

its counsel in the co-pending litigation involved in the proceedings before us. Accordingly, Zond has established that there is good cause for Dr. Granovsky's admission.

Mr. Vardanian declares that he is an experienced patent litigation attorney and has been practicing law, with a focus on patent litigation and other intellectual property matters. Ex. 2003 ¶ viii. Mr. Vardanian also declares that he has established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceedings identified in the Appendix, as he has been representing Zond in the related district court litigation that involves the same patents being challenged in the proceedings before us. *Id.* ¶ ix. Additionally, Mr. Vardanian's Declaration complies with the requirements set forth in the Board's Order authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission. *Id.* ¶¶ i–ix.

On this record, we determine that Mr. Vardanian has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Zond in the proceedings identified in the Appendix. We further recognize that there is a need for Zond to have its counsel in the co-pending litigation involved in the proceedings before us. Accordingly, Zond has established that there is good cause for Mr. Vardanian's admission.

For the foregoing reasons, it is

ORDERED that Zond's motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Lahav, Dr. Granovsky, and Mr. Vardanian for the instant proceeding are *granted*; they are authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in the proceedings identified in the Appendix;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in the instant proceedings; and

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.