Date Entered: December 22, 2014

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC., TWITTER, INC., AND YELP INC., Petitioner,

v.

EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00086 Case IPR2014-00812 Patent 7,101,536 B1

Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, BRIAN J. McNAMARA, and GREGG I. ANDERSON, *Administrative Patent Judges*

McNAMARA, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER
Trial Hearing
37C.F.R. § 42.70



A trial in this proceeding was instituted in IPR2014-00086 on April 25, 2014. Paper 8 ("Decision to Institute"). A Scheduling Order, also entered on April 25, 2014, set the date for oral hearing to January 6, 2015, if hearing is requested by the parties and granted by the Board. Paper 9. ("Scheduling Order"). An Order joining IPR2014-00812 to this proceeding was entered on June 25, 2014. Paper 16. The parties have requested oral hearing pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70. The request is GRANTED.

Each party will have 60 minutes of total argument time. Apple, Inc., Twitter, Inc., and Yelp Inc. ("Petitioner") bears the ultimate burden of proof that the claims at issue in this review are unpatentable. Therefore, at oral hearing Petitioner will proceed first to present its case with regard to the challenged claims on which basis we instituted trial. Although Petitioner includes three separate entities, the total time allotted to Petitioner is 60 minutes. Thereafter, Evolutionary Intelligence ("Patent Owner") will argue its opposition to Petitioner's case. Patent Owner will also present its own case with regard to its Motion to Exclude Evidence, Paper 34 ("Motion to Exclude"). Petitioner may then use any time Petitioner reserved to rebut to Patent Owner's opposition and to oppose Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude. Finally, Patent Owner may use any time it reserved solely to rebut Petitioner's opposition to Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude.

There is a strong public policy interest in making all information presented in these proceedings public, as the review determines the patentability of claims in an issued patent and thus affects the rights of the public. This policy is reflected in part, for example, in 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(1) and 35 U.S. C. § 326(a)(1) which provide that the file of any inter partes review or post grant review be made available to the public, except that any petition or document filed with the intent



that it be sealed shall, if accompanied by a motion to seal, be treated as sealed pending the outcome of the ruling on the motion.

There are no motions to seal in the present proceeding. Accordingly, the Board exercises its discretion to make the oral hearing publically available via inperson attendance.

Specifically, the hearing will commence at 1:00 PM, on January 6, 2015 on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulaney Street, Alexandria, Virginia and it will be open to the public for in-person attendance. In-person attendance will be accommodated on a first come first serve basis.

The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served five business days before the hearing. The parties are directed to *CBS Interactive Inc. v. Helferich Patent Licensing, LLC*, IPR2013-00033, Paper 118 (Oct. 23, 2013), regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits. Any issue regarding demonstrative exhibits should be resolved at least two days prior to the hearing by way of a joint telephone conference call to the Board. The parties are responsible for requesting such a conference sufficiently in advance of the hearing to accommodate this requirement. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not timely presented will be considered waived. Demonstratives should be filed at the Board no later than two days before the hearing. A hard copy of the demonstratives should be provided to the court reporter at the hearing.

Questions regarding specific audio-visual equipment should be directed to the Board at (571) 272-9797. Requests for audio-visual equipment are to be made 5 days in advance of the hearing date. The request is to be sent to Trials@uspto.gov. If the request is not received timely, the equipment may



not be available on the day of the hearing. The parties are reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript. The parties also should note that one member of the panel for this proceeding will be attending the hearing electronically from a remote location and that if a demonstrative is not filed or otherwise made fully available or visible to the judge presiding over the hearing remotely, that demonstrative will not be considered. If the parties have questions as to whether demonstrative exhibits would be sufficiently visible and available to all of the judges, the parties are invited to contact the Board at 571-272-9797.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the oral hearing. However, lead or backup counsel may present the party's argument. If either party anticipates that its lead counsel will not be attending the oral argument, the parties should initiate a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than two business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.



Case IPR2014-00086, IPR2014-00812 Patent 7,101,536 B1

PETITIONER:

Vaibhav P. Kadaba wkadaba@kilpatricktownsend.com

Robert J. Artuz rartuz@kilpatricktownsend.com

PATENT OWNER:

Anthony Patek pto@gutridesafier.com

Todd Kennedy todd@guttridesafier.com

