

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TWITTER, INC. AND YELP INC.
Petitioners

v.

EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,010,536

Filing Date: January 28, 1999

Issue Date: March 7, 2006

Inventor: Michael De Angelo

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CREATING AND MANIPULATING
INFORMATION CONTAINERS WITH DYNAMIC REGISTERS

Inter Partes Review No. Unassigned

**DECLARATION OF HENRY HOUH REGARDING
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,010,536**

I, Henry Houh, do hereby declare and state, that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Dated: May 23, 2014

Henry Houh
Henry Houh

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
A.	Engagement	1
B.	Background and Qualifications	1
C.	Compensation and Prior Testimony	4
D.	Information Considered.....	5
II.	LEGAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY	6
A.	Anticipation	7
B.	Obviousness.....	9
III.	THE ‘536 PATENT	14
A.	Prosecution History Of The ‘536 Patent and Effective Filing Date of the ‘536 Patent	14
B.	The Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	15
IV.	GENERAL ISSUES RELATED TO MY PATENTABILITY ANALYSIS	15
A.	The Claims of the ‘536 Patent I Am Addressing in this Report	15
B.	Interpretation of Certain Claim Terms	21
i.	Container	21
ii.	Register	22
iii.	Gateway.....	24
C.	Prior Art References	25
1.	Exhibit 1006 – U.S. Patent No. U.S. Patent	

	No. 5,836,529 to Gibbs	25
V.	PATENTABILITY ANALYSIS OF CLAIMS 2-14 AND 16 OF THE ‘536 PATENT IN VIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,836,529 (GIBBS)	26
A.	Overview of Gibbs	26
B.	Comparison of Gibbs to Claims 2-14 and 16 of the ‘536 Patent.....	55
2.	Claim 2	55
3.	Claim 3	63
4.	Claim 4	64
5.	Claim 5	65
6.	Claim 6	66
7.	Claim 7	67
8.	Claim 8	67
9.	Claim 9	68
10.	Claim 10	69
11.	Claim 11	70
12.	Claim 12	72
13.	Claim 13	73
14.	Claim 14	73
15.	Claim 16	74
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	75
VII.	APPENDIX A: Materials Considered by Henry Houh	76

TABLE OF APPENDICES

Appendix A:

List of Materials Considered

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.