DOCKET NO.: 34789.112

Filed on behalf of: Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, Ltd. and

TSMC North America Corp.

By: David L. McCombs, Reg. No. 32,271

David M. O'Dell, Reg. No. 42,044 Richard C. Kim, Reg. No. 40,046

UNITED	STATES	PATENT	AND TR	(ADEMA)	RK OF	FICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD. and TSMC NORTH AMERICA CORP.

Petitioner

v.

ZOND, INC. Patent Owner

IPR Trial No.

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,811,421 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 3-7, 18-20, 31, 32, 36, 40, 41, 44 and 45 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. M	landatory Notices	· 1 -
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	1 -
B.	Related Matters	1 -
C.	Counsel	2 -
D.	Service Information	2 -
II. C	ertification of Grounds for Standing	2 -
III. C	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested	3 -
A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications	3 -
B.	Grounds for Challenge	3 -
IV. E	Brief Description of Technology	4 -
A.	Plasma	4 -
B.	Ions and Excited Atoms	5 -
V. O	verview of the '421 Patent	7 -
A.	Summary of Alleged Invention of the '421 Patent	7 -
B.	Prosecution History	7 -
VI. (Overview of the primary prior art references	8 -
A.	Summary of the prior art	8 -
B.	Overview of Mozgrin	8 -
C.	Overview of Wang1	10 -
VII.	Claim construction 1	11 -
A.	"weakly-ionized plasma" and "strongly-ionized plasma"	12 -
VIII.	Specific Grounds for Petition1	14 -
A. Moz	Ground I: Claims 3-5, 36, 40 and 41 are obvious over the combination of agrin and Kawamata	
1.	Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Mozgrin	14 -
2.	Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Mozgrin2	22 -
3.	Dependent claims 3-5, 36, 40 and 412	24 -
B.	Ground II: Claims 3-5, 18-20, 36, 40 and 41 are obvious over the bination of Wang and Kawamata3	30 -



U.S. PATENT 7,811,421 Petition for Inter Partes Review

1. Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Wang 3	30 -
2. Independent claim 17 is anticipated by Wang 3	35 -
3. Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Wang 3	37 -
4. Dependent claims 3-5, 18-20, 36, 40 and 41 are obvious over the combination of Wang and Kawamata3	39 -
C. Ground III: Claims 6, 31, 44 and 45 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin and Lantsman4	
1. Independent claim 17 is obvious in view of Mozgrin and Lantsman 4	12 -
2. Dependent claims 6, 31, 44 and 45 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin and Lantsman4	
D. Ground IV: Claims 7, 18-20 and 32 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin, Lantsman and Kawamata	52 -
E. Ground V: Claims 6, 31, 44 and 45 are obvious over the combination of Wang and Lantsman	55 -
F. Ground VI: Claims 7 and 32 are obvious over the combination of Wang, Lantsman and Kawamata5	59 -
V Conclusion	50



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).



I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, Ltd. and TSMC North America Corp. are the real parties-in-interest ("Petitioner").

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,811,421 ("421 Patent") (Ex. 1101) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*)¹; and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related² to the '421 Patent.

The below-listed claims of the '421 Patent are presently the subject of a substantially identical petition for *inter partes* review styled *Intel Corporation v*.

Zond, Inc., which was filed March 7, 2014 and assigned Case No. IPR2014-00473.

Petitioner plans to seek joinder with that *inter partes* review.

² The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.



¹ The Petitioner is a co-defendant with Fujitsu in this lawsuit.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

