	Page
1	IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
3	
4	TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR
5	MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD.
6	AND TSMC NORTH AMERICA CORP., Case IPR2014-00799
7	Petitioners, Patent 7,808,184
8	v. Case IPR2014-00803
9	ZOND, LLC, Patent 7,808,184 B2
10	Patent Owner.
11	
12	
13	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of RICHARD DeVITO
14	Boston, Massachusetts
15	November 21, 2014
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Reported by:
23	Dana Welch, CSR, RPR, CRR, CBC, CCP
24	Job #87398
25	

1

	Page 2		Page 3
1		1	APPEARANCES:
2		2	For Zond, LLC:
3		3	RADULESCU
4		4	350 Fifth Avenue
5	November 21, 2014	5	New York, NY 10118
6	8:13 a.m.	6	BY: ETAI LAHAV, ESQ.
7	0.15 a.m.	7	B1. ETAILAHAV, ESQ.
8		8	- AND -
9	Videotaped deposition of RICHARD DeVITO,	9	- AND -
10	held at the offices of WilmerHale, 60 State Street,	10	CHAO HADIDI STARK & BARKER
11	Boston, Massachusetts, before Dana Welch, Certified		
12	Shorthand Reporter, Registered Professional	12	176 East Main Street Weatherough MA 01581
13	Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary	13	Westborough, MA 01581
	Public of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.	13 14	BY: BRUCE BARKER, ESQ.
14	Public of the Commonwearth of Massachuseus.		
15 16		15	
16 17		16	For The Cillette Commence
		17	For The Gillette Company:
18		18	WILMERHALE
19		19	60 State Street
20		20	Boston, MA 02109
21		21	BY: LARISSA BIFANO PARK, ESQ.
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	appearances continue
	Page 4		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES (continued)	1	APPEARANCES (continued)
2	For Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company,	2	For Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company,
3	Ltd. and TSMC North America Corporation and	3	Ltd. And TSMC North America Corporation and
4	Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited and Fujitsu	4	Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited and Fujitsu
5	Semiconductor America, Inc.:	5	Semiconductor America, Inc.:
б	HAYNES AND BOONE	6	HAYNES AND BOONE
7	2323 Victory Avenue	7	2505 North Plano Road
8	Dallas, TX 75219	8	Richardson, TX 75082
9	BY: DAVID McCOMBS, ESQ.	9	BY: GREGORY HUH, ESQ.
10		10	
11		11	
12		12	
13	For Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company,	13	For Toshiba:
14	Ltd. And TSMC North America Corporation and	14	BAKER BOTTS
15	Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited and Fujitsu	15	One Shell Plaza
16	Semiconductor America, Inc.:	16	910 Louisiana Street
17	HAYNES AND BOONE	17	Houston, TX 77002
18	1221 McKinney	18	BY: ROBINSON VU, ESQ.
19	Houston, TX 77010	19	
20	BY: DONALD JACKSON, ESQ.	20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	appearances continue
25	appearances continue	25	

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	Page 6		Page 7
1		1	
1 2	APPEARANCES (continue)	1	APPEARANCES (continued)
⊿ 3	For GLOBALFOUNDRIES, Inc. (by telephone): WHITE & CASE	23	For Advanced Micro Devices (by telephone): O'MELVENY & MYERS
3 4			
	701 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	4	400 South Hope Street
5	Washington, D.C. 20005	5	Los Angeles, CA 90071
6 7	BY: DAVID TENNANT, ESQ.	6	BY: VINCENT ZHOU, ESQ.
-		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10	For Renesas Electronics Corporation and Renesas	10	
11	Electronics America Inc. (by telephone):	11	Also Present: David Woodford, Videographer
12	FOLEY & LARDNER	12	
13	3000 K Street, N.W.	13	
14	Washington, D.C. 20007	14	
15	BY: JOHN FELDHAUS, ESQ.	15	
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23	appearances continue	23	
24		24	
25		25	
		<u> </u>	
	Page 8		
1	DeVITO	1	DeVITO
2	DeVITO P R O C E E D I N G S	2	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi
2 3	DeVITO P R O C E E D I N G S THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled	2 3	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond.
2 3 4	DeVITO P R O C E E D I N G S THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of	2 3 4	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and
2 3 4 5	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan	2 3 4 5	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu.
2 3 4	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC	2 3 4 5 6	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and
2 3 4 5 6 7	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus	2 3 4 5 6 7	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent	2 3 4 5 6	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu.
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm of WilmerHale at 60 State Street, Boston,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts representing Toshiba.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm of WilmerHale at 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts on November 21st, 2014, beginning at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts representing Toshiba. MR. JACKSON: Can we make a statement for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm of WilmerHale at 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts on November 21st, 2014, beginning at 8:13 a.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts representing Toshiba. MR. JACKSON: Can we make a statement for the record about our agreement before we get
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm of WilmerHale at 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts on November 21st, 2014, beginning at 8:13 a.m. My name is David Woodford, I'm the legal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts representing Toshiba. MR. JACKSON: Can we make a statement for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm of WilmerHale at 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts on November 21st, 2014, beginning at 8:13 a.m. My name is David Woodford, I'm the legal video specialist from TSG Reporting Inc.,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts representing Toshiba. MR. JACKSON: Can we make a statement for the record about our agreement before we get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm of WilmerHale at 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts on November 21st, 2014, beginning at 8:13 a.m. My name is David Woodford, I'm the legal video specialist from TSG Reporting Inc., headquartered at 747 Third Avenue, New York, New	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts representing Toshiba. MR. JACKSON: Can we make a statement for the record about our agreement before we get started?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm of WilmerHale at 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts on November 21st, 2014, beginning at 8:13 a.m. My name is David Woodford, I'm the legal video specialist from TSG Reporting Inc., headquartered at 747 Third Avenue, New York, New York. The court reporter is Dana Welch, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts representing Toshiba. MR. JACKSON: Can we make a statement for the record about our agreement before we get started? MR. LAHAV: Absolutely. Sure. MR. JACKSON: TSMC is presenting the witness, and there are other parties who have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm of WilmerHale at 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts on November 21st, 2014, beginning at 8:13 a.m. My name is David Woodford, I'm the legal video specialist from TSG Reporting Inc., headquartered at 747 Third Avenue, New York, New	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts representing Toshiba. MR. JACKSON: Can we make a statement for the record about our agreement before we get started? MR. LAHAV: Absolutely. Sure. MR. JACKSON: TSMC is presenting the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm of WilmerHale at 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts on November 21st, 2014, beginning at 8:13 a.m. My name is David Woodford, I'm the legal video specialist from TSG Reporting Inc., headquartered at 747 Third Avenue, New York, New York. The court reporter is Dana Welch, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts representing Toshiba. MR. JACKSON: Can we make a statement for the record about our agreement before we get started? MR. LAHAV: Absolutely. Sure. MR. JACKSON: TSMC is presenting the witness, and there are other parties who have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm of WilmerHale at 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts on November 21st, 2014, beginning at 8:13 a.m. My name is David Woodford, I'm the legal video specialist from TSG Reporting Inc., headquartered at 747 Third Avenue, New York, New York. The court reporter is Dana Welch, in association with TSG Reporting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts representing Toshiba. MR. JACKSON: Can we make a statement for the record about our agreement before we get started? MR. LAHAV: Absolutely. Sure. MR. JACKSON: TSMC is presenting the witness, and there are other parties who have joined in the proceeding, and I'm going to read the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm of WilmerHale at 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts on November 21st, 2014, beginning at 8:13 a.m. My name is David Woodford, I'm the legal video specialist from TSG Reporting Inc., headquartered at 747 Third Avenue, New York, New York. The court reporter is Dana Welch, in association with TSG Reporting. Will counsel present please introduce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts representing Toshiba. MR. JACKSON: Can we make a statement for the record about our agreement before we get started? MR. LAHAV: Absolutely. Sure. MR. JACKSON: TSMC is presenting the witness, and there are other parties who have joined in the proceeding, and I'm going to read the other proceedings that are joined with this one:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DeVITO PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape labeled number one in the videotaped deposition of Richard DeVito. This is in the matter of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD and TSMC North America Corporation, petitioners, versus Zond, LLC, patent owner. This is the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case IPR2014-00799, also case IPR2014-00803, for Patent 7,808,184 B2. This deposition is being held at the firm of WilmerHale at 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts on November 21st, 2014, beginning at 8:13 a.m. My name is David Woodford, I'm the legal video specialist from TSG Reporting Inc., headquartered at 747 Third Avenue, New York, New York. The court reporter is Dana Welch, in association with TSG Reporting. Will counsel present please introduce yourselves and your affiliations and the witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	DeVITO MR. BARKER: Bruce Barker from Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker, also for Zond. MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson of Haynes and Boone for TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh from Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs with Haynes and Boone representing TSMC and Fujitsu. MS. PARK: Larissa Park from WilmerHale representing Gillette. MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker Botts representing Toshiba. MR. JACKSON: Can we make a statement for the record about our agreement before we get started? MR. LAHAV: Absolutely. Sure. MR. JACKSON: TSMC is presenting the witness, and there are other parties who have joined in the proceeding, and I'm going to read the other proceedings that are joined with this one: It's 2014-00855, 2014-00858, 2014-01042,

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

1	rage io		rage II
1	DeVITO	1	DeVITO
2	other parties, the other nonpatent owners in those	2	Sorry.
3		3	Q. So yesterday we had a deposition on two
4		4	cases for the '155 patent that went all day, right?
5	• • • •	5	A. Yes.
6	5	6	Q. Do you have any corrections or amendments
7	0	7	to make to your testimony from yesterday?
8	MR. JACKSON: Thank you.	8	A. I do not right now, no.
9	-	9	Q. What did you do to prepare for your
10		10	deposition today?
11		11	A. What did I do?
12		12	Q. Yes.
13	6	13	A. I read the patents; I read my declaration.
14	e	14	Q. Did you meet with any of the lawyers
15		15	present here or otherwise involved in these
16		16	proceedings?
17	e	17	A. Yes.
18		18	Q. With whom did you meet?
19	5 17 5 5	19	A. I met with all of them prior to today.
20		20	Q. Can you name the ones that you can recall?
21		21	A. All of the well, I don't know their
22		22	names, but Greg, I met with this gentleman here, I
23		23	met with Larissa, and the other gentleman from
24		24	TSMC.
25	•	25	Q. In preparation for this deposition, when
	Page 12		Page 13
1		1	DeVITO
2	5	2	handed them out yesterday.
3	e	3	MR. JACKSON: That's fine.
4	8	4	Q. And I also want to hand you Exhibit 1103,
5	A. Maybe 45 minutes to half an hour.	1 3	which is Mozgrin. And Exhibit 1103 is the Mozgrin
6	•		
	Q. Any other preparation for this deposition?	6	reference that you discuss in your declarations,
7	Q. Any other preparation for this deposition?A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe	6 7	reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct?
7 8	Q. Any other preparation for this deposition?A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours.	6 7 8	reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't
7 8 9	Q. Any other preparation for this deposition?A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours.Q. In preparation for this week's	6 7 8 9	reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other
7 8 9 10	Q. Any other preparation for this deposition?A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours.Q. In preparation for this week's depositions?	6 7 8 9 10	reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration.
7 8 9 10 11	Q. Any other preparation for this deposition?A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours.Q. In preparation for this week's depositions?A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over	6 7 8 9 10 11	reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which
7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Any other preparation for this deposition?A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours.Q. In preparation for this week's depositions?A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months.	6 7 8 9 10 11	reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Any other preparation for this deposition? A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours. Q. In preparation for this week's depositions? A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months. Q. Okay. So four to eight hours a week for 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the A. You're right, excuse me, yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Any other preparation for this deposition? A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours. Q. In preparation for this week's depositions? A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months. Q. Okay. So four to eight hours a week for the past four weeks? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the A. You're right, excuse me, yes. Q in the '184, correct?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Any other preparation for this deposition? A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours. Q. In preparation for this week's depositions? A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months. Q. Okay. So four to eight hours a week for the past four weeks? A. Approximately. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the A. You're right, excuse me, yes. Q in the '184, correct? A. Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Any other preparation for this deposition? A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours. Q. In preparation for this week's depositions? A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months. Q. Okay. So four to eight hours a week for the past four weeks? A. Approximately. Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to prepare 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the A. You're right, excuse me, yes. Q in the '184, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I'll just remind you to allow me to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Any other preparation for this deposition? A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours. Q. In preparation for this week's depositions? A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months. Q. Okay. So four to eight hours a week for the past four weeks? A. Approximately. Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to prepare for your deposition today? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the A. You're right, excuse me, yes. Q in the '184, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I'll just remind you to allow me to finish my questions before you answer
7 8 9 10 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Any other preparation for this deposition? A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours. Q. In preparation for this week's depositions? A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months. Q. Okay. So four to eight hours a week for the past four weeks? A. Approximately. Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to prepare for your deposition today? A. I read some of the background material 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the A. You're right, excuse me, yes. Q in the '184, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I'll just remind you to allow me to finish my questions before you answer A. Sorry.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Any other preparation for this deposition? A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours. Q. In preparation for this week's depositions? A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months. Q. Okay. So four to eight hours a week for the past four weeks? A. Approximately. Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to prepare for your deposition today? A. I read some of the background material that I had referenced in my declaration. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the A. You're right, excuse me, yes. Q in the '184, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I'll just remind you to allow me to finish my questions before you answer A. Sorry. Q even if you know what the answer is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Any other preparation for this deposition? A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours. Q. In preparation for this week's depositions? A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months. Q. Okay. So four to eight hours a week for the past four weeks? A. Approximately. Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to prepare for your deposition today? A. I read some of the background material that I had referenced in my declaration. Q. Anything else? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the A. You're right, excuse me, yes. Q in the '184, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I'll just remind you to allow me to finish my questions before you answer A. Sorry. Q even if you know what the answer is going to be. Thank you. Just for purposes of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Any other preparation for this deposition? A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours. Q. In preparation for this week's depositions? A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months. Q. Okay. So four to eight hours a week for the past four weeks? A. Approximately. Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to prepare for your deposition today? A. I read some of the background material that I had referenced in my declaration. Q. Anything else? A. That's it that I can recall. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the A. You're right, excuse me, yes. Q in the '184, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I'll just remind you to allow me to finish my questions before you answer A. Sorry. Q even if you know what the answer is going to be. Thank you. Just for purposes of keeping the record straight.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Any other preparation for this deposition? A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours. Q. In preparation for this week's depositions? A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months. Q. Okay. So four to eight hours a week for the past four weeks? A. Approximately. Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to prepare for your deposition today? A. I read some of the background material that I had referenced in my declaration. Q. Anything else? A. That's it that I can recall. Q. Okay. I want to hand you what we marked 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the A. You're right, excuse me, yes. Q in the '184, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I'll just remind you to allow me to finish my questions before you answer A. Sorry. Q even if you know what the answer is going to be. Thank you. Just for purposes of keeping the record straight. And at the end of the day yesterday, you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Any other preparation for this deposition? A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours. Q. In preparation for this week's depositions? A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months. Q. Okay. So four to eight hours a week for the past four weeks? A. Approximately. Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to prepare for your deposition today? A. I read some of the background material that I had referenced in my declaration. Q. Anything else? A. That's it that I can recall. Q. Okay. I want to hand you what we marked yesterday as Exhibit 2008. It's Kudryavtsev in the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the A. You're right, excuse me, yes. Q in the '184, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I'll just remind you to allow me to finish my questions before you answer A. Sorry. Q even if you know what the answer is going to be. Thank you. Just for purposes of keeping the record straight. And at the end of the day yesterday, you referenced Mozgrin a number of times in reference
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Any other preparation for this deposition? A. Prior to that, we had met off and on maybe every other week for four to eight hours. Q. In preparation for this week's depositions? A. Yes. Over the course of a month, not over the last eight months. Q. Okay. So four to eight hours a week for the past four weeks? A. Approximately. Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to prepare for your deposition today? A. I read some of the background material that I had referenced in my declaration. Q. Anything else? A. That's it that I can recall. Q. Okay. I want to hand you what we marked yesterday as Exhibit 2008. It's Kudryavtsev in the '799 petition, it's Exhibit 1004. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 reference that you discuss in your declarations, correct? A. Well, I believe that the board hasn't ruled on that, but we do discuss it in other grounds within the declaration. Q. There are actually two claims for which Mozgrin is at issue in the A. You're right, excuse me, yes. Q in the '184, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I'll just remind you to allow me to finish my questions before you answer A. Sorry. Q even if you know what the answer is going to be. Thank you. Just for purposes of keeping the record straight. And at the end of the day yesterday, you

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

	Page 14		Page 15
1	DeVITO	1	DeVITO
2	Q. Please turn to Figure 3 of Mozgrin.	2	MR. LAHAV: Okay. We'll redo that.
3	A. Yes.	3	Actually, I'll just withdraw that
4	Q. And if you look at Figure well, there's	4	question.
5	labels 1, 2a, 2b, and 3 on Figure 3, correct?	5	Q. Do you see where we are in the top of 403?
6	A. Uh-huh. Yes.	6	A. I see it now.
7	Q. And part 3, the label 3 of Figure 3	7	Q. And do you agree that part 3 of Figure 3
8	A. Uh-huh, yes.	8	corresponds to a high current diffuse discharge
9	Q that corresponds to the high current	9	regime?
10	diffuse discharge regime, correct?	10	A. I do.
11	A. Um, I just have to read the part that	11	Q. Turn to Figure 6 of Mozgrin, page 405.
	talks about that.	12	So Figure 6 shows photographs of Mozgrin's
13	Q. I'll point you to the next page, 403, at	13	target, right?
	the top in the left column.	14	A. Correct.
15	A. (Perusing document.)	15	Q. And the Figure $6.(a)(1)$ on the top left is
16	I don't see it.	16	a picture of the target that corresponds to the
17	Q. You're on page 403 of Mozgrin?	17	high current magnetron discharge, correct?
18	A. The top left column?	18	A. Correct.
19	Q. Yes. The second sentence, "If the current	19	Q. And Figure 6.(a)(2) corresponds to high
	was increased and ranged from 15 to 1,000 amps,"	20	current diffusive discharge, right?
21	A. Yes.	21	A. Correct.
22	Q "a diffuse regime of high current"	22	Q. And Figure 6.(a)(3) corresponds to the arc
23	A. Okay. I just saw it.	23	regime, right?
24	Q "discharge	24	A. Correct.
25	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry.	25	Q. And do you see in Figure 6.(a)(3) at the
	· · · · ·		
	Page 16		Page 17
1	DeVITO	1	DeVITO
	edge of the target there are light spots?	2	appreciate that light spots such as this correspond
3	A. I do.	3	to arcs, right?
4	Q. And these light spots are due to arcing,	4	MR. JACKSON: Objection, lacks foundation.
	right?	5	A. They can.
6	A. Yes.	6	Just give me a second.
7	Q. Okay. And Figure 6.(a)(2) shows similar	7	(Perusing document.)
	light spots at the edge of the target, right?	8	I've read what the I read what refers
9	MR. JACKSON: Objection, form.	9	to the figure.
10	A. Well, I just want to say they appear to	10	Did you want to repeat the question or
	be. They're at the very edge, whereas, the other	11	Q. Sure.
	ones are actually in the body of the actual target.	12	The white spots in Figure (a) 2
	So it's tough to see if those are maybe at the	13	A. Uh-huh. Yes.
1 /	anoda Unit there are hught spots there at the	14	Q just like the white spots in Figure
	anode. But there are bright spots there at the		
15	very edge which are are similar to the spots in	15	(a) 3 correspond to arcs, right?
15 16	very edge which are are similar to the spots in Figure (a) 3 but they're not directly in the body,	15 16	(a) 3 correspond to arcs, right?MR. JACKSON: Objection, foundation.
15 16 17	very edge which are are similar to the spots in Figure (a) 3 but they're not directly in the body, deep within the body of the target.	15 16 17	(a) 3 correspond to arcs, right?MR. JACKSON: Objection, foundation.A. In the description he's silent, but one
15 16 17 18	very edge which are are similar to the spots inFigure (a) 3 but they're not directly in the body,deep within the body of the target.Q. Okay. And those white spots correspond to	15 16 17 18	(a) 3 correspond to arcs, right?MR. JACKSON: Objection, foundation.A. In the description he's silent, but one skilled in the one skilled in the art would
15 16 17 18 19	very edge which are are similar to the spots inFigure (a) 3 but they're not directly in the body,deep within the body of the target.Q. Okay. And those white spots correspond toarcing, correct?	15 16 17 18 19	 (a) 3 correspond to arcs, right? MR. JACKSON: Objection, foundation. A. In the description he's silent, but one skilled in the one skilled in the art would presume in 3, they could possibly be arcs, but in
15 16 17 18 19 20	 very edge which are are similar to the spots in Figure (a) 3 but they're not directly in the body, deep within the body of the target. Q. Okay. And those white spots correspond to arcing, correct? MR. JACKSON: Objection, form. 	15 16 17 18 19 20	 (a) 3 correspond to arcs, right? MR. JACKSON: Objection, foundation. A. In the description he's silent, but one skilled in the one skilled in the art would presume in 3, they could possibly be arcs, but in 2, I know it's just that they look so if you
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 very edge which are are similar to the spots in Figure (a) 3 but they're not directly in the body, deep within the body of the target. Q. Okay. And those white spots correspond to arcing, correct? MR. JACKSON: Objection, form. A. Can I just read within the body? 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 (a) 3 correspond to arcs, right? MR. JACKSON: Objection, foundation. A. In the description he's silent, but one skilled in the one skilled in the art would presume in 3, they could possibly be arcs, but in 2, I know it's just that they look so if you notice the arcs in (a), how they occur, sort of a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 very edge which are are similar to the spots in Figure (a) 3 but they're not directly in the body, deep within the body of the target. Q. Okay. And those white spots correspond to arcing, correct? MR. JACKSON: Objection, form. A. Can I just read within the body? Q. Absolutely. 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 (a) 3 correspond to arcs, right? MR. JACKSON: Objection, foundation. A. In the description he's silent, but one skilled in the one skilled in the art would presume in 3, they could possibly be arcs, but in 2, I know it's just that they look so if you notice the arcs in (a), how they occur, sort of a random pattern, but in it's suspiciously almost
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 very edge which are are similar to the spots in Figure (a) 3 but they're not directly in the body, deep within the body of the target. Q. Okay. And those white spots correspond to arcing, correct? MR. JACKSON: Objection, form. A. Can I just read within the body? Q. Absolutely. But if you would you absolutely may, 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 (a) 3 correspond to arcs, right? MR. JACKSON: Objection, foundation. A. In the description he's silent, but one skilled in the one skilled in the art would presume in 3, they could possibly be arcs, but in 2, I know it's just that they look so if you notice the arcs in (a), how they occur, sort of a random pattern, but in it's suspiciously almost appearing like there's a there's a spacing
15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	 very edge which are are similar to the spots in Figure (a) 3 but they're not directly in the body, deep within the body of the target. Q. Okay. And those white spots correspond to arcing, correct? MR. JACKSON: Objection, form. A. Can I just read within the body? Q. Absolutely. 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 (a) 3 correspond to arcs, right? MR. JACKSON: Objection, foundation. A. In the description he's silent, but one skilled in the one skilled in the art would presume in 3, they could possibly be arcs, but in 2, I know it's just that they look so if you notice the arcs in (a), how they occur, sort of a random pattern, but in it's suspiciously almost

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.