

DOCKET NO.: 34789.109

Filed on behalf of: Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, Ltd. and
TSMC North America Corp.

By: David M. O'Dell, Reg. No. 42,044

David L. McCombs, Reg. No. 32,271

Richard C. Kim, Reg. No. 40,046

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD. and
TSMC NORTH AMERICA CORP.

Petitioner

v.

ZOND, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR _____

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,808,184
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 6-10 AND 16-20
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Mandatory Notices	1
A.	Real Party-in-Interest.....	1
B.	Related Matters.....	1
C.	Counsel.....	2
D.	Service Information	2
II.	Certification of Grounds for Standing	2
III.	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested.....	3
A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications	3
B.	Grounds for Challenge.....	4
IV.	Brief Description of Technology	4
A.	Plasma	4
B.	Ions and Excited Atoms.....	6
V.	Overview of the ‘184 Patent.....	7
A.	Summary of Alleged Invention of the ‘184 Patent	7
B.	Prosecution History	8
VI.	Overview of the Primary Prior Art References	9
A.	Summary of the Prior Art	9
B.	Overview of Mozgrin	9
C.	Overview of Kudryavtsev	11
D.	Overview of Wang.....	12
VII.	Claim Construction.....	13
A.	“Strongly-ionized plasma” and “weakly-ionized plasma”	14
VIII.	Specific Ground For Petition	15
A.	Ground I: Claims 6-10 and 16-20 are obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin and Kudryavtsev.....	16
1.	Independent claim 1.....	16
2.	Independent claim 11.....	28

3. Dependent claims 6-10 and 16-20 are obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin and Kudryavtsev	31
B. Ground II: Claims 6-10 and 16-20 are obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin and the Mozgrin Thesis	38
1. Independent Claim 1	39
2. Independent claim 11	42
3. Dependent claims 6-10 and 16-20	43
C. Ground III: Claims 6, 7, 9, 10, 16, 17, 19 and 20 are obvious in view of the combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev	44
1. Independent claim 1	44
2. Independent claim 11	53
3. Dependent claims 6, 7, 9, 10, 16, 17, 19, and 20 are obvious in view of the combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev	54
D. Ground IV: Claims 8 and 18 are obvious in view of the combination of Wang, Kudryavtsev and Mozgrin	57
IX. Conclusion	59

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).

I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, Ltd. and TSMC North America Corp. are the real parties-in-interest (“Petitioner”).

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,808,184 (“’184 Patent”) (Ex. 1101) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*) ; 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*);¹ and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*) (Ex. 1123). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related² to the ‘184 Patent.

The below-listed claims of the ‘184 Patent are presently the subject of a substantially identical petition for inter partes review styled Intel Corporation v. Zond, Inc., which was filed March 7, 2014 and assigned Case No. IPR2014-00456. Petitioner will seek joinder with that *inter partes* review under 35 U.S.C. § 315(c), 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b).

¹ The Petitioner is a co-defendant with Fujitsu in this lawsuit.

² The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.