Filed on behalf of The Petitioners

By: David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476 (Lead Counsel) David M. Tennant, Reg. No. 48,362 (Back-up Counsel)

Wilman Cytlan Dieltoning Hele and Donn II D

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20006

Tel: (202) 663-6025

Email: David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE GILLETTE COMPANY, FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR LIMITED, ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., RENESAS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE ONE LLC & CO. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE TWO LLC & CO. KG, TOSHIBA AMERICA ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS, INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC., and TOSHIBA CORPORATION

Petitioners

v.

ZOND, LLC

Patent Owner of U.S. Patent No. 7,808,184 IPR Trial No. IPR2014-00803¹

PETITIONER'S REPLY

Claims 6-10 and 16-20

¹ Cases IPR 2014-00858, IPR 2014-00996, and IPR 2014-01061 have been joined with the instant proceeding.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTROL	OUCTION	1
		ONCEDES THAT INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1 AND 11	
ARE	TAUGHT	BY THE PRIOR ART	2
III.	ZOND'S	NEWLY PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION IS ERRONEOU	S 4
IV.	WANG T	EACHES THE CLAIM LIMITATIONS EVEN UNDER	
ZON	D'S NEW	LY PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	7
V.	THE AL	LEGED "INCOMPATIBILITIES BETWEEN	
KUD	RYAVTSE	EV AND WANG" ARE BASED ON	
MISU	U NDERS T	CANDINGS OF BOTH FACT AND LAW	9
VI.	ZOND'S	ALLEGED EVIDENCE OF SECONDARY	
CON	SIDERAT	TIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS IS FLAWED	.12
VII.	DEPENI	DENT CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS	.13
	A.	Dependent Claims 7 and 17	.13
VIII.	CONCLU	J SION	.15



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	Page(s)
In re Mouttet, 686 F.3d 1322, 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	12
<i>In re Etter</i> , 756 F.2d 852, 859 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (en banc)	12



I. INTRODUCTION

In its Decision on Institution ("DI"), the Board recognized there is a reasonable likelihood that the challenged claims 1-5 and 11-15 are unpatentable. *See* IPR2014-803 DI at p. 31. The Board reached its conclusion after adopting the constructions proposed by Zond. Belatedly recognizing that the Board correctly reached its conclusion even under Zond's earlier proposed construction, Zond now attempts to distinguish the prior art by proposing a new, but flawed, construction. In fact, Zond failed to even address why the challenged claims are valid under the previous construction adopted by the Board, effectively conceding that the challenged claims are unpatentable under the construction adopted by the Board.

None of the arguments raised by Zond is sufficient to alter the determination of the Board in its Decision on Institution. First, Zond's newly proposed construction is not supported by the patent specification itself and therefore should not be adopted. Even if the newly proposed construction were adopted, the cited prior art nevertheless render the claims unpatentable.

The Petition, supported by Mr. DeVito's declaration, demonstrates why one of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the teachings of the cited references.

The cross examination testimony of Dr. Hartsough, Zond's declarant, further confirms that the references were in the same art and would have been combined.

Petitioner also provides the declaration of Dr. John Bravman, who reached the same



conclusion: that the references would have been combined by one of ordinary skill in the art and that the challenged claims are unpatentable.²

II. ZOND CONCEDES THAT INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1 AND 11 ARE TAUGHT BY THE PRIOR ART

The only dispute remaining as to independent claim 1 is whether the cited references "teach the claimed control of voltage amplitude or rise time to avoid arc when rapidly forming a strongly ionized plasma." IPR2014-803, Patent Owner Response ("PO Resp.") at p. 26. However, it is clear from Dr. Hartsough's concessions that this was well-known.

Avoiding Arcing

Wang teaches that "the chamber *impedance changes relatively little* between the two power levels P_B, P_P since a plasma always exist in the chamber." Ex. 1105 ("Wang") at 7:49-51. Dr. Hartsough conceded as follows:

Q: But if *impedance changes relatively little* during the transition from a low-to a high-density plasma, then it's *indicative of no short circuit or arcing*; right?

. .

A: That's indicative of no – certainly no unipolar arc...")

Ex. 1125 ("'775 Hartsough Depo.") at 89:8-24 (emphases added). Accordingly, Wang, which explicitly teaches that impedance changes relatively little between $P_{\rm B}$ and

² Mr. DeVito is no longer available to provide testimony.



2

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

