Trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822

DOCKET

Paper No. 54 Entered: July 14, 2015

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR, LIMITED, FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC., ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., RENESAS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., GLOBAL FOUNDRIES U.S., INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE ONE LLC & CO. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE TWO LLC & CO. KG, TOSHIBA AMERICA ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS, INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC., TOSHIBA CORPORATION, and THE GILLETTE COMPANY,

Petitioners,

v.

ZOND, LLC., Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2014-00781; IPR 2014-00782; IPR2014-01083; IPR2014-01086; IPR2014-01087 (Patent 7,147,759 B2)

Cases IPR2014-00800; IPR2014-00802; IPR2014-00805 (Patent 7,811,421 B2)

Held: June 8, 2015

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

BEFORE: KEVIN F. TURNER, DEBRA K. STEPHENS, JONI Y. CHANG, SUSAN L.C. MITCHELL, and JENNIFER MEYER CHAGNON, Administrative Patent Judges.

**APPEARANCES**:

DOCKET

### ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER (TOSHIBA):

ROBINSON VU, ESQ. Baker Botts, L.L.P. One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Street Houston, Texas 77002-4995

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER (GLOBAL FOUNDRIES):

DAVID M. TENNANT, ESQ. BRETT C. RISMILLER, ESQ. White & Case LLP 701 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER (ADVANCE MICRO DEVICES):

XIN-YI (VINCENT) ZHOU, ESQ. O'Melveny & Myers LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, California 90071-1899

2

### ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER (FUJITSU):

DAVID L. McCOMBS, ESQ. Haynes and Boone, LLP 2323 Victory Avenue, Suite 700 Dallas, Texas 75219

### ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER (RENESAS):

PAVAN K. AGARWAL, ESQ. Foley & Lardner LLP 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20007-5109

### ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

BRUCE J. BARKER, ESQ. GREGORY GONSALVES, ESQ. Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker LLP 176 East Main Street, Suite 6 Westborough, Massachusetts 01581

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Monday, June 8, 2015, commencing at 10:00 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

1

3

1 2	P R O C E E D I N G S
3	
4	JUDGE CHANG: Good morning. Please be seated.
5	Good morning. Thank you for the information this morning. We
6	got your email.
7	MR. BARKER: Oh, thank you.
8	JUDGE CHANG: At this time I would like to have the
9	counsel to introduce yourselves and the colleagues, beginning
10	with the Petitioner.
11	MR. VU: Thank you, Your Honor. My name is
12	Robinson Vu with the law firm of Baker Botts, and I represent the
13	lead Petitioner, Toshiba.
14	JUDGE CHANG: Thank you.
15	MR. TENNANT: My name is David Tennant with
16	White & Case, I represent Global Foundries, and here with me is
17	Brett Rismiller, also with White & Case.
18	MR. VU: Your Honor, I apologize, with me I have two
19	clients from Toshiba, Mr. Ishibashi and Ms. Yamanaka.
20	JUDGE CHANG: Welcome, thank you.
21	MR. McCOMBS: David McCombs for Fujitsu, with
22	Haynes and Boone. Thank you.
23	MR. AGARWAL: Pavan Agarwal with Foley &
24	Lardner for the Renesas entities.

4

DOCKET

1	MR. ZHOU: Xin-Yi Zhou for Petitioner Advanced
2	Micro Devices, I'm with the law firm O'Melveny & Myers.
3	JUDGE CHANG: Thank you, very much. And for
4	Patent Owner?
5	MR. BARKER: Good morning, Your Honor, Bruce
6	Barker from Chao, Hadidi, Stark & Barker for the Patent Owner
7	Zond.
8	JUDGE CHANG: Welcome back.
9	MR. GONSALVES: My name is Greg Gonsalves also
10	for Patent Owner Zond.
11	JUDGE CHANG: Okay, thank you. This is an oral
12	hearing for all cases, IPR2014-00781, 782, 1083, 1086 and 1087,
13	involving patent 7,147,759 B2, as well as for the three IPRs
14	IPR2014-00800, 802, 805 involving patent 7,811,421. The
15	transcript for this consolidated oral hearing will be entered into
16	each of the proceedings, and it will be usable for all cases.
17	Consistent with our previous order for each involved patent, each
18	party has one hour to present its arguments. Petitioner bears the
19	burden of proof that the claims at issue are unpatentable;
20	therefore, Petitioner will proceed first to present its case as to the
21	challenged claims as to the first involved patent. Petitioner may
22	reserve rebuttal time, thereafter the Patent Owner will respond to
23	the Petitioners' case. After the parties' presentation for the first

5

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.