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| 9 | Deposition of LAWRENCE J. OVERZET, PH.D., | 9 | Washington, D.C. 20005 |
| 10 | held at the offices of Baker Botts, 2001 Ross | 10 |  |
| 11 | Avenue, Dallas, Texas, before Susan S. Klinger, | 11 | Attorneys for Toshiba: |
| 12 | a Registered Merit Reporter and Certified | 12 | Mr. Robinson Vu, Esq. |
| 13 | Realtime Reporter of the State of Texas. | 13 | BAKER BOTTS |
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| 15 |  | 15 | Houston, Texas 77002 |
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| 2 | VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the start of | 2 | Tennant of White \& Case. I represent |
| 3 | tape labeled number 1 in the deposition of | 3 | GlobalFoundries. |
| 4 | Dr. Overzet in the matter of Gillette | 4 | VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court |
| 5 | Company versus Zond, Incorporated in the | 5 | reporter please swear in the witness. Oh, |
| 6 | court of the United States Patent and | 6 | I'm sorry. Other parties may introduce |
| 7 | Trademark Office, patent number 7,811,421 | 7 | themselves. |
| 8 | B2, IPR case numbers 2014-8 -- I'm sorry, | 8 | MR. RISMILLER: My name is Brett |
| 9 | -00800, 00802, 00805. This deposition is | 9 | Rismiller with White \& Case, also for |
| 10 | being held at Baker Botts, 2001 Ross | 10 | GlobalFoundries. |
| 11 | Avenue, Dallas, Texas on May 7th, 2015 at | 11 | MR. VU: Robinson Vu with Baker |
| 12 | approximately 9:09 a.m. | 12 | Botts representing Toshiba. |
| 13 | My name is Jay Wiggins. I'm a | 13 | MR. RISMILLER: Is there anyone |
| 14 | representative of -- I am a legal video | 14 | online? |
| 15 | specialist representing TSG Reporting, | 15 | MR. TENNANT: Bruce, just real |
| 16 | Incorporated headquartered at 747 Third | 16 | quick, any objections that are made today |
| 17 | Avenue, New York, New York. The court | 17 | will apply to all petitioners. |
| 18 | reporter is Susan Klinger in association | 18 | MR. BARKER: That's fine. |
| 19 | with TSG Reporting. | 19 | DR. LAWRENCE OVERZET, |
| 20 | Will counsel please introduce | 20 | having been first duly sworn, testified as |
| 21 | yourself. | 21 | follows: |
| 22 | MR. BARKER: My name is Bruce Barker | 22 | EXAMINATION |
| 23 | of Chao Hadidi Stark \& Barker, and I | 23 | BY MR. BARKER: |
| 24 | represent the patent owner, Zond. | 24 | Q. Good morning, Dr. Overzet. As you |
| 25 | MR. TENNANT: My name is David | 25 | heard, my name is Bruce Barker. I represent |
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| 2 | Zond, and I'm going to be asking you some | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | questions today about a declaration you | 3 | Q. You'll have to answer audibly so |
| 4 | prepared in connection with the '421 patent | 4 | the -- for the record. |
| 5 | that the videographer just identified. | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | Let me start by asking you whether | 6 | Q. Okay. And if you don't understand |
| 7 | you've ever been deposed before? | 7 | my questions along the way, will you mention |
| 8 | A. I have not. | 8 | that to me so we can clarify the record? |
| 9 | Q. Okay. So let me make sure then that | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | you're familiar with the procedure. Do you | 10 | Q. Okay. On paragraph 11 of your |
| 11 | understand that I'll be asking questions, and | 11 | declaration, you say that you have been |
| 12 | that your counsel may offer an objection, but | 12 | retained by Toshiba; is that correct? |
| 13 | you're still obligated to answer the question | 13 | A. Paragraph 11? |
| 14 | over his objection. Do you understand that? | 14 | Q. Yes. |
| 15 | A. I do. | 15 | A. That's correct. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. | 16 | Q. Now, have you been retained by any |
| 17 | A. Except in the case where -- | 17 | of the other Petitioners in the IPRs against |
| 18 | Q. Unless he instructs you not to | 18 | the Zond patents? |
| 19 | answer. | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | Q. Would you identify those Petitioners |
| 21 | Q. Okay. You understand that. So | 21 | for me? |
| 22 | fine, I just wanted to make sure that you're | 22 | A. I need to see my other two |
| 23 | aware that if counsel poses an objection, | 23 | declarations. |
| 24 | that's to preserve his rights, and that you | 24 | Q. Okay. I don't have them available. |
| 25 | then answer the question. | 25 | Why don't you just share with me whatever |
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| 2 | recollection you have. | 2 | Q. Okay. And when did you first begin |
| 3 | A. I honestly do not recall which of | 3 | representing Toshiba in this matter? |
| 4 | the companies were primarily involved in which | 4 | A. In the IPRs? |
| 5 | of the declarations. My primary contact has | 5 | Q. Yes. |
| 6 | been with the counsel. | 6 | A. That would have been in March of |
| 7 | Q. Let's go that route then. Maybe you | 7 | this year for the IPR. |
| 8 | can identify for me the names of counsel you | 8 | Q. Okay. You mean just a few months |
| 9 | have dealt with, and I can figure it out from | 9 | ago? |
| 10 | there. | 10 | A. Yes, for the specific purpose of the |
| 11 | A. Okay. Mr. Tennant, David Tennant, | 11 | IPR now. |
| 12 | Mr. Rismiller, Rismiller -- Rismiller, Mr. Ho, | 12 | Q. Okay. So did you have any -- did |
| 13 | Mr. Feldhouse, Mr. Rooney. There was -- I'm | 13 | you -- did you have any relationship with them |
| 14 | trying to remember lines in emails, because the | 14 | prior to March when you began representing them |
| 15 | primary contact has been through email, but | 15 | on the IPR? |
| 116 | I've met with those five in person. | 16 | MR. TENNANT: Objection to the |
| 17 | Q. And when did you -- when were you | 17 | extent that your answer calls for |
| 18 | first retained? | 18 | privileged information. I just caution you |
| 19 | A. I'm sorry, I now remember. Mr. Hugh | 19 | that you can answer the question to the |
| 20 | and Mr. O'Dell. There may have been others as | 20 | extent you are not revealing any privileged |
| 21 | well. | 21 | communication. |
| 22 | Q. But you specifically recall meeting | 22 | A. I also had discussions with lawyers |
| 23 | with each of the individuals that you just | 23 | from Duane Morris as part of some consulting. |
| 24 | listed? | 24 | Actually with Duane Morris was not specifically |
| 25 | A. Correct. | 25 | consulting. It was a little bit odd. It was |
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| 2 | an agreement through my university to -- for | 2 | Can you identify any other party |
| 3 | teaching and for providing information. | 3 | that this representation or consulting related |
| 4 | Q. Can you elaborate on that? Can you | 4 | to? |
| 5 | identify the subject matter that this | 5 | A. Could you explain to me what you |
| 6 | consulting related to? | 6 | mean by "participants"? |
| 7 | MR. TENNANT: Again, I'll caution | 7 | Q. Fair enough. I'm imagining a |
| 8 | the witness. I object to the extent it's | 8 | situation where Duane Morris actually |
| 9 | calling for you to reveal privileged | 9 | represents a company, for example, in the |
| 110 | communication. | 10 | semiconductor space. |
| 11 | Also to the extent you have any | 11 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 12 | confidentiality agreements in place, you | 12 | Q. And so I'm trying to get at the |
| 13 | may want to be careful how you answer and | 13 | identity of that company. So when I made |
| 14 | not to violate any confidentiality | 14 | reference to other parties, that's what I had |
| 15 | agreements. | 15 | in mind. So can you identify for me any other |
| 16 | A. I don't wish to violate any | 16 | entities to whom this consulting related? |
| 17 | confidentiality in that. | 17 | MR. TENNANT: Same objection. |
| 18 | Q. Fine, and I'm just exploring | 18 | A. I don't know that I'm -- I don't |
| 19 | nonconfidential information. So, for example, | 19 | know that I am allowed to speak about whoever |
| 20 | you said you were consulting with Duane Morris; | 20 | the counsel there were representing or not. |
| 21 | correct? | 21 | They asked me for help with some technical |
| 22 | A. Uh-huh. | 22 | understanding. I provided them with some help |
| 23 | Q. Do you know whether the matter | 23 | in technical understanding and face-to-face |
| 24 | related to any one of the Petitioners -- strike | 24 | meetings. |
| 25 | that. | 25 | Q. Okay. Do you know whether the |
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| 2 | technical material had any relationship to the | 2 | information? |
| 3 | Zond patents? | 3 | MR. TENNANT: Same objection. |
| 4 | A. I'm not -- yes, I do. | 4 | A. Would you please repeat that for me? |
| 5 | Q. And is the -- | 5 | MR. BARKER: Would you read that |
| 6 | A. I do know whether it related or not. | 6 | back, please. |
| 7 | Q. And did it relate to the Zond | 7 | (Record read.) |
| 8 | patents? | 8 | MR. TENNANT: Same objection. |
| 9 | A. I'm worried about confidentiality in | 9 | A. I have concerns. I have concern |
| 10 | that space. | 10 | regarding that. I'm not a lawyer to know |
| 11 | Q. Do you know whether the | 11 | precisely where that boundary occurs. I, |
| 12 | representation was related to any -- any | 12 | therefore, will listen to my counsel. |
| 13 | litigation, any patent litigation? | 13 | Q. Well, let me take a different |
| 14 | MR. TENNANT: Again, I object to | 14 | approach then. Have you ever done any |
| 15 | this line of questioning to the extent your | 15 | consulting work for Toshiba outside the context |
| 16 | questions are calling -- requiring the | 16 | of any IPR litigation? |
| 17 | witness to reveal privileged communication | 17 | MR. TENNANT: Object to the form of |
| 18 | or any confidential communications subject | 18 | the question, and also to the extent it's |
| 19 | to confidentiality agreements. You can | 19 | requiring the witness to reveal privileged |
| 20 | answer the question to the extent you do | 20 | communication. I just caution you you can |
| 21 | not violate any of those two agreements. | 21 | answer the question to the extent you don't |
| 22 | A. I'm going to listen to my counsel. | 22 | reveal any privileged communication. |
| 23 | Q. So is it your position that whether | 23 | A. I do not recall doing consulting |
| 24 | or not the representation related to any patent | 24 | work for Toshiba outside of this. |
| 25 | litigation, that that is privileged | 25 | Q. And I'm going back in time |
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throughout your entire career. I'm trying to get a sense of whether you have done any consulting during the course of your career for Toshiba.

MR. TENNANT: Same objection.
A. If I turn to my -- to my CV, I've listed primary consulting work there that I can discuss under employment history, concurrent, temporary or visiting appointments, consultantships.
Q. So you're referring to the first page of your CV attached as Appendix A to your declaration; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And under the heading employment history: Concurrent, temporary and visiting appointments, consultantships, is that what you are referring to?
A. That is correct.
Q. So is it your testimony that this is a comprehensive list of every -- of all consulting work you have ever done in your -during your career?
A. This list does not include the
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of power supply design?
MR. TENNANT: Objection, form.
A. Because the electronic device courses discuss transistors and the operation of transistors, which provide amplification of a signal, they have -- they provide the basis for a power supply, although the design of a power supply today would involve multiple transistors, multiple systems.
Q. Understood. So other than your general teaching of transistors, did you teach any other material that involved power supply design?

MR. TENNANT: Objection, form.
A. Mostly the courses that I've taught have involved -- have been -- have involved teaching about the use of power supplies rather than the design of power supplies.
Q. Okay. And in what context were you discussing the use of power supplies?
A. In a teaching context?
Q. Let me rephrase that. Not a good question. What applications were involved when you were discussing the use of power supplies?

OVERZET - 5/7/15
present work.
Q. With that exception, are there any other consulting projects that you have ever had during your career that aren't listed here?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. So looking at your employment history in the heading above, it looks as if you have been a faculty member for the University of Texas at Dallas for your whole career. Did I get that right?
A. Yes, since -- since my -- obtaining my Ph.D.
Q. I understand. Now, in the years that you have been a professor at the University of Texas, have you ever taught any classes that involve the design of power supplies?
A. I have taught courses on electronic devices that are used in the design of power supplies, but not a course that is specifically devoted to the design of power supplies.
Q. Understood. But in the courses in which you were teaching electronic devices, did those courses ever touch on the subject matter
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MR. TENNANT: Objection, form.
A. Again, in the -- in the context of teaching at the University --
Q. Yeah, let's start there.
A. -- of Texas at Dallas?
Q. So for teaching, you mentioned that you addressed the use of power supplies, and I'm asking, for what uses?
A. Got it. In -- in coursework, the
use of power supplies as applied to electromagnetic waves, propagation of electromagnetic waves or the generation of electromagnetic waves and the use in generating plasmas primarily for semiconductor processing applications, but also for lighting applications in some instances.

In teaching at the university, not
in a classroom, but with my graduate students in the laboratory and in group meetings, in the context of pulsing the power supplies and making measurements of those pulsed waves. I'm sure that are there other contexts, but I'm not recalling them at the moment.
Q. Well, let's focus for a bit on the
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