

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Eastman Kodak Company, AGFA Corporation,  
Esko Software BVBA, and Heidelberg, USA,  
Petitioners,

v.

CTP Innovations, LLC  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2014-00790  
Patent 6,611,349

---

**CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC'S  
REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

Filed on behalf of CTP Innovations, LLC

By:

W. Edward Ramage (Lead Counsel)  
Reg. No. 50,810  
BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN,  
CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, P.C.  
Baker Donelson Center  
211 Commerce Street, Suite 800  
Nashville, Tennessee 37201  
Tel: (615) 726-5771  
Fax: (615) 744-5771  
Email: eramage@bakerdonelson.com

L. Clint Crosby (Back-up Counsel)  
Reg. No. 47,508  
BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN,  
CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, P.C.  
Monarch Plaza, Suite 1600  
3414 Peachtree Ave., N.E.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30326  
Tel: (678) 406-8702  
Fax: (678) 406-8802  
Email: ccrosby@bakerdonelson.com

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Board's Scheduling Order, Patent Owner CTP Innovations, LLC ("Patent Owner") respectfully requests oral argument. Specifically, Patent Owner requests oral argument on the following:

1. Each ground of unpatentability on which trial was instituted.
  - a. Whether the Jebens reference in combination with other prior art renders some or all of the subject claims obvious.
  - b. Whether the Dorfman reference in combination with other prior art renders some or all of the subject claims obvious.
  - c. Whether the Apogee reference in combination with other prior art renders some or all of the subject claims obvious.
2. Whether Patent Owner's motions to exclude evidence should be granted.
  - a. Whether the Apogee reference (Ex. 1008) should be excluded.
  - b. Whether the Suetens Declaration (Ex. 1023) should be excluded.
  - c. Whether the Jahns Declaration (Ex. 1024) should be excluded.
  - d. Whether the Supplemental Suetens Declaration (Ex. 1025) should be excluded.
  - e. Whether the Jahns Declaration or the Supplemental Suetens Declaration comprise supplemental evidence or supplemental information.

3. Any arguments made by Petitioners in support of any motion to exclude evidence, or set forth in Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument.

Patent Owner further requests the ability to use audio-visual projection equipment to display demonstrative exhibits via a PowerPoint presentation.

Dated: June 11, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN,  
CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, P.C.

/W. Edward Ramage/

W. Edward Ramage, Reg. No. 50,810  
(Lead Counsel)

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN,  
CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, P.C.  
211 Commerce Street, Suite 800  
Nashville, Tennessee 37201

Tel: (615) 726-5771

Fax: (615) 744-5771

Email: [eramage@bakerdonelson.com](mailto:eramage@bakerdonelson.com)

L. Clint Crosby, Reg. No. 47,508  
(Back-up Counsel)

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN,  
CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, P.C.  
Monarch Plaza, Suite 1600  
3414 Peachtree Ave., N.E.

Atlanta, Georgia 30326

Tel: (678) 406-8702

Fax: (678) 406-8802

Email: [ccrosby@bakerdonelson.com](mailto:ccrosby@bakerdonelson.com)

*Counsel for Patent Owner CTP  
Innovations, LLC*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on June 11, 2015, the foregoing Request for Oral Argument was served in its entirety via U.S. Express Mail, postage prepaid, and electronic mail upon the following:

Scott A. McKeown  
Michael L. Kiklis  
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, LLP  
1940 Duke Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

/W. Edward Ramage/  
W. Edward Ramage, Reg. No. 50,810