FOLEY & LARDNER
PAUL S HUNTER
FIRSTAR CENTER
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE
MILWAUKEE, WI 532025367

EXAMINER				
GRANT II, JEROME				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
2624	11			
DATE MAILED: 01/29/200	03 7			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



U.S. Patent and Trade PTO-326 (Rev.		Office Action Sumr	mary	Part of Paper No. 4
2) Notice of 3) Information	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Applic 6) Other:	
Attachment(s			 1	· num C
		• • •	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12	11. JEPA
1	The translation of the foreign	·	. , , , ,	And applications.
			under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a pro	ovisional application)
* Se	application from the Inte	ernational Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).	,
3.		•	ments have been received in this N	
		•	een received in Application No	
	Certified copies of the priori		een received.	
1	All b) Some * c) None o	- ,	2. 2. 2. 2. 3 (2) (2) (2)	
		im for foreian priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
1	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	,		
	e oath or declaration is objected			
1	f approved, corrected drawings are			- maniferent de la constant de la co
			approved b) disapproved by the	• •
			objected to by the Examiner. (s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR	1.85(a)
·	e specification is objected to by e drawing(s) filed on is/ar		Objected to by the Evaminer	
1 ''	e specification is objected to by	the Evaminer	•	
Application		andion and/or election	точинотноль.	
·	laim(s) <u>z,4 and o</u> is/are objected laim(s)are subject to res		requirement	
l	laim(s) <u>1,3,3 and 7-3</u> is/are rejected			
	laim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 7-9</u> is/are rejec	cted		
	laim(s) <u>10-20</u> is/are allowed.	oraio williawii iioiii (501151461 411011 .	
1	laim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in th i) Of the above claim(s) is	• •	consideration	,
·		ne application		
	closed in accordance with the pr		Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 2	
1		,—	ept for formal matters, prosecution	as to the merits is
· -	Γhis action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.	
1) 🔲 1	Responsive to communication(s)) filed on		
earned p	eatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)).		
after Si) - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure I	((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coriod for reply specified above is less than thirty riod for reply is specified above, the maximum or reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for remaining the result of the res	ommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the s n statutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the a	event, nowever, may a reply be timely lifed tatutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considulated will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing data application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § communication, even if timely filed, may reduce a	te of this communication. § 133).
- Extension	ons of time may be available under the provision	ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no	event, however, may a reply be timely filed	

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.



1.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nusbickel.



generates a printing plate-ready file from data provided remotely in real time using a communication network, the printing and publishing system comprising: an end user facility (109) coupled to a communication network 107, the end user facility providing page building operations (from Web Browser 111 according to col. 4, lines 16-46), the page building operations including the design and construction of pages from images, text, and data available via the communication network, see screen 200 in figure 2.

Nusbickel teaches a central service facility (101) coupled to said communication network 107, the central service facility providing storage, file processing, remote access (communication over the Internet), content management operations (WEB Browser applications); the file processing operations including generating a plate-ready file from pages designed at said end user facility (i.e., multimedia file) said plate-ready file having a file format capable of high resolution and ready for creation of a printing plate and a printing company facility (701 indirectly connected to said communication network), the printing company facility providing printing operations (fax operations), the printing operations including printing from the printing plate (file designated by the

With respect to claim 3, Nusbickel teaches wherein said file processing operations further comprise generating a Postscript file (GIFF) for operating over the communication network 107.

Browser) from said ready file (via format 713)



to the communication network, a server which performs content management operations, and storage devices which contain electronic files. See col. 5, lines 50-60 and col. 4, lines 7-15.

2.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 8 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nusbickel in view of the Well Known Prior Art 2144.03 (official notice).

Nusbickel teaches all of the subject matter upon which the claims depend except for the specific language that the communication network has to be a WAN, switched network or an ATM type network. Nusbickel clearly shows a network 107 which happens to be an Internet network. However, it is well known to one of ordinary skill



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

