

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EASTMAN KODAK CO., AGFA CORP.,
ESKO SOFTWARE BVBA, and HEIDELBERG, USA,

Petitioner,

v.

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC,

Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2014-00788 and -00789
Patent 6,738,155

Cases IPR2014-00790 and -00791
Patent 6,611,349

Technology Center 2600

Oral Hearing Held on Tuesday, June 30, 2015

Before: HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, BENJAMIN D. M. WOOD,
and BRIAN J. McNAMARA, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, June 30,
2015, at 1:00 p.m., in Hearing Room D, taken at the U.S. Patent and
Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

Cases IPR2014-00788 and -00789 (Patent 6,738,155)

Cases IPR2014-00790 and -00791 (Patent 6,611,349)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

SCOTT A. McKEOWN, ESQ.
CHRISTOPHER RICCIUTI, ESQ.
Oblon, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, LLP
1940 Duke Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
703-413-3000

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

W. EDWARD RAMAGE, ESQ.
Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell and Berkowitz, PC
Baker Donelson Center, Suite 800
211 Commerce Street
Nashville, Tennessee 37201
615-726-5771

Cases IPR2014-00788 and -00789 (Patent 6,738,155)

Cases IPR2014-00790 and -00791 (Patent 6,611,349)

1 The Petitioner may then use any time it reserved to
2 rebut the Patent Owner's opposition and oppose the motions to
3 exclude. And we will conclude with the Patent Owner's
4 rebuttal to the opposition to the motions to exclude.

5 One other thing as a preliminary matter, I note that
6 neither side has filed any demonstratives in this case, so none
7 will be permitted at this hearing.

8 If a party does display a document or a part of a
9 document that is of record, the party must identify where in
10 the pleadings, the pleading and the page number, that
11 displayed document is cited, otherwise you will be asked to
12 remove any documents displayed.

13 Is everybody ready to proceed? Okay.

14 We will begin with the Petitioner. And would you
15 like me to alert you as to any time?

16 MR. McKEOWN: I don't think that's necessary,
17 Your Honor, but thank you.

18 Good afternoon, Your Honors. As you mentioned,
19 this is a consolidated hearing across four different
20 proceedings, two of which are directed to each patent. I'm
21 unlikely to take the full 90 minutes but I will reserve whatever
22 I don't use in this brief introduction as rebuttal so I will
23 probably go about 15 or 20 minutes here.

24 I know the Board has read the briefs, and judging
25 from the institution order understands the issues well so I will

1 get right to the important issues. Both the '349 and '155
2 patents are directed to pre-press work flow. And as the Board
3 is aware, what that is, is everything that happens up until
4 press, which is up until printing.

5 So it is color correction. It is proofing. It is all of
6 the things that you would do and position OPI to a document
7 in order to get it ready to be printed.

8 The '155 and the '349 patent, what they present as
9 the invention is the sort of automating of what are essentially
10 all well-known pre-press processes, whether it is typesetting,
11 et cetera. So what we are talking about here is an assembly of
12 known processes that are interconnected via network.

13 Specifically claims 10 through 20 of the '155 are
14 directed towards accessing images at a server, that aspect of a
15 pre-press process. There is some corresponding apparatus
16 claims that present similar features but in a pre-press
17 architecture.

18 '349 similarly has method and apparatus claims
19 where the method claims are generally talking about image
20 manipulation, whereas apparatus claims 1 through 3 get to the
21 architecture.

22 So we have two main grounds in both of these
23 patents, references common to both of these patents, and that's
24 the Jebens plus the Apogee reference, and the Dorfman plus
25 Apogee, and there are a couple other references that are mixed

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.