

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

-----

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

-----

EASTMAN KODAK CO., AGFA CORP.,  
ESKO SOFTWARE BVBA, and HEIDELBERG, USA,

Petitioner,

v.

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC,

Patent Owner.

-----

Cases IPR2014-00788 and -00789  
Patent 6,738,155

Cases IPR2014-00790 and -00791  
Patent 6,611,349

Technology Center 2600

-----

Oral Hearing Held on Tuesday, June 30, 2015

Before: HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, BENJAMIN D. M. WOOD,  
and BRIAN J. McNAMARA, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, June 30,  
2015, at 1:00 p.m., in Hearing Room D, taken at the U.S. Patent and  
Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

Cases IPR2014-00788 and -00789 (Patent 6,738,155)

Cases IPR2014-00790 and -00791 (Patent 6,611,349)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

SCOTT A. McKEOWN, ESQ.  
CHRISTOPHER RICCIUTI, ESQ.  
Oblon, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, LLP  
1940 Duke Street  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
703-413-3000

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

W. EDWARD RAMAGE, ESQ.  
Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell and Berkowitz, PC  
Baker Donelson Center, Suite 800  
211 Commerce Street  
Nashville, Tennessee 37201  
615-726-5771

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 (1:00 p.m.)

3 JUDGE McNAMARA: Please be seated. Good  
4 afternoon, everyone. This is the consolidated hearing in  
5 IPR2014-00788 and 789. Those two involve U.S. Patent  
6 6,738,155. And cases IPR2014-00790 and 791. And those  
7 involve Patent 6,611,349.

8 Let me ask counsel to please begin by introducing  
9 themselves. We will start with the Patent Owner, please.

10 MR. RAMAGE: Edward Ramage with Baker  
11 Donelson. I represent the Patent Owner.

12 MR. McKEOWN: Scott McKeown and Chris  
13 Ricciuti for Petitioners, Kodak, AGFA, ESKO and Heidelberg.

14 JUDGE McNAMARA: Thank you very much.  
15 Welcome to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.

16 Today each side will have 90 minutes of total  
17 argument time. And we will begin with the Petitioner because  
18 he has the burden to present his case, so he will present his  
19 case with respect to the challenged claims on the basis on  
20 which we instituted trial. After that the Patent Owner will  
21 argue its opposition to the Petitioner's case.

22 The Patent Owner also has, I think, presented some  
23 motions to exclude. And since the burden of persuasion is on  
24 the Patent Owner there, as to its motions, he will argue those  
25 at that time.

1           The Petitioner may then use any time it reserved to  
2 rebut the Patent Owner's opposition and oppose the motions to  
3 exclude. And we will conclude with the Patent Owner's  
4 rebuttal to the opposition to the motions to exclude.

5           One other thing as a preliminary matter, I note that  
6 neither side has filed any demonstratives in this case, so none  
7 will be permitted at this hearing.

8           If a party does display a document or a part of a  
9 document that is of record, the party must identify where in  
10 the pleadings, the pleading and the page number, that  
11 displayed document is cited, otherwise you will be asked to  
12 remove any documents displayed.

13           Is everybody ready to proceed? Okay.

14           We will begin with the Petitioner. And would you  
15 like me to alert you as to any time?

16           MR. McKEOWN: I don't think that's necessary,  
17 Your Honor, but thank you.

18           Good afternoon, Your Honors. As you mentioned,  
19 this is a consolidated hearing across four different  
20 proceedings, two of which are directed to each patent. I'm  
21 unlikely to take the full 90 minutes but I will reserve whatever  
22 I don't use in this brief introduction as rebuttal so I will  
23 probably go about 15 or 20 minutes here.

24           I know the Board has read the briefs, and judging  
25 from the institution order understands the issues well so I will

Cases IPR2014-00788 and -00789 (Patent 6,738,155)

Cases IPR2014-00790 and -00791 (Patent 6,611,349)

1 get right to the important issues. Both the '349 and '155  
2 patents are directed to pre-press work flow. And as the Board  
3 is aware, what that is, is everything that happens up until  
4 press, which is up until printing.

5 So it is color correction. It is proofing. It is all of  
6 the things that you would do and position OPI to a document  
7 in order to get it ready to be printed.

8 The '155 and the '349 patent, what they present as  
9 the invention is the sort of automating of what are essentially  
10 all well-known pre-press processes, whether it is typesetting,  
11 et cetera. So what we are talking about here is an assembly of  
12 known processes that are interconnected via network.

13 Specifically claims 10 through 20 of the '155 are  
14 directed towards accessing images at a server, that aspect of a  
15 pre-press process. There is some corresponding apparatus  
16 claims that present similar features but in a pre-press  
17 architecture.

18 '349 similarly has method and apparatus claims  
19 where the method claims are generally talking about image  
20 manipulation, whereas apparatus claims 1 through 3 get to the  
21 architecture.

22 So we have two main grounds in both of these  
23 patents, references common to both of these patents, and that's  
24 the Jebens plus the Apogee reference, and the Dorfman plus  
25 Apogee, and there are a couple other references that are mixed

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.