

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, AGFA CORPORATION,  
ESKO SOFTWARE BVBA, and HEIDELBERG, USA

Petitioners,

v.

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC

Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2014-00788

U.S. Patent No. 6,738,155

---

**PETITIONERS' UPDATED MANDATORY NOTICES  
UNDER 37 C.F.R. 42.8(a)(3)**

Petitioners, EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, AGFA CORPORATION,  
ESKO SOFTWARE BVBA, and HEIDELBERG, USA, hereby file updated  
mandatory notices pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.8(a)(3).

The appeal in related IPR2014-00789 (*Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent  
No. 6,738,155) to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, *CTP  
Innovations, LLC v. Eastman Kodak Company, et al.*, Case No. 16-1665, has been  
affirmed.

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board is hereby authorized to charge any fees  
associated with this proceeding to Deposit Account 15-0030 (Customer ID No.  
22850).

Respectfully submitted,  
Oblon, McClelland, Maier &  
Neustadt, LLP

Dated: January 3, 2017

/Scott A. McKeown/  
Scott A. McKeown  
Reg. No. 42,866

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), the undersigned certifies service of PETITIONERS' UPDATED MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. 42.8(a)(3) on the counsel of record for the Patent Owner by filing this document through the PTAB E2E System as well as delivering a copy via electronic mail to the following addresses:

W. Edward Ramage  
L. Clint Crosby  
BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN, CALDWELL  
& BERKOWITZ, P.C.  
[eramage@bakerdonelson.com](mailto:eramage@bakerdonelson.com)  
[ccrosby@bakerdonelson.com](mailto:ccrosby@bakerdonelson.com)

Dated: January 3, 2017

/Scott A. McKeown/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Scott A. McKeown  
Reg. No. 42,866

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT****NOTICE OF ENTRY OF  
JUDGMENT WITHOUT OPINION**

JUDGMENT ENTERED: 12/21/2016

The judgment of the court in your case was entered today pursuant to Rule 36. This Court affirmed the judgment or decision that was appealed. None of the relief sought in the appeal was granted. No opinion accompanied the judgment. The mandate will be issued in due course.

Information is also provided about petitions for rehearing and suggestions for rehearing en banc. The questions and answers are those frequently asked and answered by the Clerk's Office.

Costs are taxed against the appellant in favor of the appellee under Rule 39. The party entitled to costs is provided a bill of costs form and an instruction sheet with this notice.

The parties are encouraged to stipulate to the costs. A bill of costs will be presumed correct in the absence of a timely filed objection.

Costs are payable to the party awarded costs. If costs are awarded to the government, they should be paid to the Treasurer of the United States. Where costs are awarded against the government, payment should be made to the person(s) designated under the governing statutes, the court's orders, and the parties' written settlement agreements. In cases between private parties, payment should be made to counsel for the party awarded costs or, if the party is not represented by counsel, to the party pro se. Payment of costs should not be sent to the court. Costs should be paid promptly.

If the court also imposed monetary sanctions, they are payable to the opposing party unless the court's opinion provides otherwise. Sanctions should be paid in the same way as costs.

Regarding exhibits and visual aids: Your attention is directed to FRAP 34(g) which states that the clerk may destroy or dispose of the exhibits if counsel does not reclaim them within a reasonable time after the clerk gives notice to remove them. (The clerk deems a reasonable time to be 15 days from the date the final mandate is issued.)

FOR THE COURT

/s/ Peter R. MarksteinerPeter R. Marksteiner  
Clerk of Court

cc: Scott Anthony McKeown  
Samuel Flint Miller  
Wayne Edward Ramage  
Christopher Ricciuti  
Joshua Tropper  
Maia T. Woodhouse

16-1665 - CTP Innovations, LLC v. Eastman Kodak Company  
United States Patent and Trademark Office, Case No. IPR2014-00789

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit**

---

**CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC,**  
*Appellant*

v.

**EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY, AGFA CORP., ESKO  
SOFTWARE BVBA, HEIDELBERG, USA,**  
*Appellees*

---

2016-1665

---

Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark  
Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in No. IPR2014-  
00789.

---

**JUDGMENT**

---

SAMUEL FLINT MILLER, Baker, Donelson, Bearman,  
Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, Nashville, TN, argued for  
appellant. Also represented by WAYNE EDWARD RAMAGE,  
MAIA T. WOODHOUSE; JOSHUA TROPPER, Atlanta, GA.

SCOTT ANTHONY MCKEOWN, Oblon, McClelland, Maier  
& Neustadt, LLP, Alexandria, VA, argued for appellees.  
Also represented by CHRISTOPHER RICCIUTI.

---

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.