DOCKET NO.: 34789.106

Filed on behalf of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, Ltd.

By: David L. McCombs, Reg. No. 32,271 David M. O'Dell, Reg. No. 42,044 Richard C. Kim, Reg. No. 40,046

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD.
Petitioner

v.

ZOND, INC. Patent Owner

(	Case	IPR			
•	June				

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,147,759 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 22-33, 37, 46, 48 AND 50 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	Mandatory Notices	l
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	1
В.	-	
C.	. Counsel	2
D.	. Service Information	2
II.	Certification of Grounds for Standing	2
III.	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested	
A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications	3
B.	Grounds for Challenge	4
IV.	Brief Description of Technology	4
A.	. Plasma	4
В.	Ions and excited atoms	5
V.	Overview of the '759 Patent	7
A.	. Summary of Alleged Invention of the '759 Patent	7
B.	Prosecution History	7
	1. The Patent Owner mischaracterized the prior art Mozgrin reference	7
	2. Adding the "without forming an arc" limitation resulted in allowance	8
VI.	Overview of the Primary Prior Art References	9
A.	. Summary of the Prior Art	9
В.	Overview of Mozgrin	9
	1. Summary	9
	2. Mozgrin teaches avoiding arcs	11
C.	Overview of Kudryavtsev	13
D.	. Overview of Wang	13
VII.	Claim Construction	15
A.	. "weakly-ionized plasma" and "strongly-ionized plasma"	15
B.	"multi-step ionization process"	17
VIII.		



A. Ground I: Claims 22-33, 37, 46, 48, and 50 are obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin and Kudryavtsev	18
1. Independent claim 20	19
2. Dependent claims 22-33, 37, 46, 48 and 50 are obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin and Kudryavtsev	29
B. Ground II: Claims 22-26, 28-31, 37, 46 and 48 are obvious in view of the combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev	38
1. Independent claim 20	39
2. Dependent claims 22-26, 28-31, 37, 46 and 48 are obvious in view of the combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev	
C. Ground III: Claims 27, 32, 33 and 50 are obvious in view of the combinate of Wang, Kudryavtsev and Mozgrin	
X Conclusion	59



### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).



### I. MANDATORY NOTICES

### A. Real Party-in-Interest

Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, Ltd. ("Petitioner") is the real party-in-interest.

### B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,147,759 ("'759 Patent") (Ex. 1301) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*)<sup>1</sup>; and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*) (Ex. 1320). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related<sup>2</sup> to the '759 Patent.

The below-listed claims of the '759 Patent are presently the subject of a petition for *inter partes* review styled *Intel Corporation v. Zond, Inc.*, which was filed March 6, 2014 and assigned Case No. IPR2014-00446. Petitioner will seek joinder with that *inter partes* review under 35 U.S.C. § 315(c), 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Petitioner is a co-defendant with Fujitsu in this lawsuit.

# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

