```
Page 1
1
          UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 2
           BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
 3
      THE GILLETTE COMPANY,
      et al.,
                Petitioners,
 5
                                       Patent No. 6,896,775
                                           IPR 2014-00578
6
                                           IPR 2014-00604
      vs.
                                           IPR 2014-01482
7
      ZOND, LLC,
                                           IPR 2014-01494
               Patent Owner.
9
10
11
12
13
      VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF LARRY D. HARTSOUGH, Ph.D.
14
                      Berkeley, California
15
                  Thursday, February 19, 2015
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
     REPORTED BY:
     TAVIA MANNING, CSR No. 13294, CLR, CCRR, RPR
25
     JOB NO. 90259
```



	Page 2		Page 3
1	February 19, 2015	1	APPEARANCES:
2	10:08 A.M.	2	
3		3	FOR TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY
4		4	LIMITED and TSMC NORTH AMERICA and FUJITSU:
5		5	HAYNES AND BOONE
6	Deposition of LARRY D. HARTSOUGH, Ph.D.,	6	BY: GREGORY HUH, ESQ.
7	taken on behalf of Petitioners at 200	7	2505 North Plano Road
8	Marina Boulevard, Berkeley, California,	8	Richardson, Texas 75082
9	before Tavia Manning, Certified Shorthand	10	
10	Reporter No. 13294, Certified LiveNote	11	
11 12	Reporter, California Certified Realtime	12	
13	Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter.	13	
14		14	EOD THE CHILETTE COMPANY.
15		15	FOR THE GILLETTE COMPANY: WILMERHALE
16		16	BY: COSMIN MAIER, ESQ.
17		17	7 World Trade Center
18		18	250 Greenwich Street
19		19	New York, New York 10007
20		20	16W 16W 16W 16W 16007
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 4		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):	1	BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA;
2	THE HUMINELS (CONTINUED).	2	THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 2015; 10:08 A.M.
3		3	
4	FOR THE PATENT OWNER ZOND, LLC:	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the start of
5	RADULESCU	5	tape labeled Number 1, of the videotaped deposition
6	BY: ETAI LAHAV, ESQ.	6	of Hartsough in the matter of Gillette Company
7	TIGRAN VARDANIAN, ESQ.	7	versus Zond, in the Court of the Patent Trial and
8	The Empire State Building	8	Appeal Board, and Case Numbers IPR 2014-01482, IPR
9	350 Fifth Avenue	9	2014-01494, IPR 2014-00578, IPR 2014-00604.
10	New York, New York 10118	10	This deposition is being held at 200 Marina
11		11	Boulevard in Berkeley, California on February 19th,
		12	2014 at approximately 10:09 a.m.
12			
12 13		13	My name is Jeff Manly from TSG Reporting,
12 13 14		14	and I am the legal video specialist.
12 13 14 15	Also proceeds Loff Morely, Wideo greats	14 15	and I am the legal video specialist. The court reporter is Tavia Manning in
12 13 14 15 16	Also present: Jeff Manly, Videographer	14 15 16	and I am the legal video specialist. The court reporter is Tavia Manning in association with TSG Reporting.
12 13 14 15 16 17		14 15 16 17	and I am the legal video specialist. The court reporter is Tavia Manning in association with TSG Reporting. Will counsel please introduce yourself.
12 13 14 15 16 17	Also present: Jeff Manly, Videographer ***	14 15 16 17 18	and I am the legal video specialist. The court reporter is Tavia Manning in association with TSG Reporting. Will counsel please introduce yourself. MR. MAIER: Cosmin Maier of WilmerHale on
12 13 14 15 16 17		14 15 16 17 18 19	and I am the legal video specialist. The court reporter is Tavia Manning in association with TSG Reporting. Will counsel please introduce yourself. MR. MAIER: Cosmin Maier of WilmerHale on behalf of The Gillette Company, and on the phone is
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and I am the legal video specialist. The court reporter is Tavia Manning in association with TSG Reporting. Will counsel please introduce yourself. MR. MAIER: Cosmin Maier of WilmerHale on behalf of The Gillette Company, and on the phone is my colleague Sam Ha.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		14 15 16 17 18 19	and I am the legal video specialist. The court reporter is Tavia Manning in association with TSG Reporting. Will counsel please introduce yourself. MR. MAIER: Cosmin Maier of WilmerHale on behalf of The Gillette Company, and on the phone is my colleague Sam Ha. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh, from Haynes and
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and I am the legal video specialist. The court reporter is Tavia Manning in association with TSG Reporting. Will counsel please introduce yourself. MR. MAIER: Cosmin Maier of WilmerHale on behalf of The Gillette Company, and on the phone is my colleague Sam Ha. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh, from Haynes and Boone, on behalf of TSMC and Fujitsu.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and I am the legal video specialist. The court reporter is Tavia Manning in association with TSG Reporting. Will counsel please introduce yourself. MR. MAIER: Cosmin Maier of WilmerHale on behalf of The Gillette Company, and on the phone is my colleague Sam Ha. MR. HUH: Gregory Huh, from Haynes and



	Page 6		Page 7
1	please swear in the witness.	1	A. Met with counsel and
2	picase swear in the withess.	2	Q. Okay.
3	LARRY D. HARTSOUGH, Ph.D.,	3	A and reviewed some of the documents of
4	having been first duly sworn by the court reporter,	4	the associated with this case.
5	testified as follows:	5	Q. Who did you meet with?
6	connect as follows.	6	A. Mr. Lahav and Mr. Vardanian.
7	EXAMINATION	7	Q. About how long did you meet with them?
8	BY MR. MAIER:	8	A. Well, we spent some time on Sunday, not
9	Q. Good morning, sir.	9	not the entire time that we met, and some time on
10	A. Good morning.	10	Tuesday, during that day, and about a couple of
11	Q. Any reason you can't give your best and	11	hours last night after the deposition was over
12	truthful testimony here today?	12	yesterday.
13	A. No.	13	Q. About how many hours did you spend last
14	Q. And, again, as we discussed yesterday, if I	14	night?
15	ask a question and you answer it, I'll assume you	15	A. Two.
16	understood it; is that fair?	16	Oh, meaning with them?
17	A. Fair.	17	Q. Yes.
18	Q. And, again, we'll do our best to to not	18	A. That's what you meant?
19	talk over each other.	19	Yes.
20	Okay?	20	Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been
21	A. Right.	21	marked as Exhibit 2006 in the 604 IPR, which is your
22	Q. Did you do anything to prepare for today's	22	declaration.
23	deposition?	23	Do you recognize Exhibit 2006?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. Yes.
25	Q. What did you do?	25	Q. This is a declaration you submitted in
		1	- 0
	Page 8		Page 9
1	connection with the '775 IPRs?	1	So your question was
2	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes.	2	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are
2	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR	2	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term
2 3 4	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover,	2 3 4	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim
2 3 4 5	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is	2 3 4 5	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36
2 3 4 5	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted;	2 3 4 5	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent? A. Yes. Q. Turn to page 12 of your declaration.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent'? A. Yes. Q. Turn to page 12 of your declaration. It's page 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms; correct? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent? A. Yes. Q. Turn to page 12 of your declaration. It's page 12 A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms; correct? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That was I I that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent? A. Yes. Q. Turn to page 12 of your declaration. It's page 12 A. Yes. Q not paragraph.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms; correct? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That was I I that was the Board's opinion, I guess.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent? A. Yes. Q. Turn to page 12 of your declaration. It's page 12 A. Yes. Q not paragraph. A. I am there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms; correct? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That was I I that was the Board's opinion, I guess. BY MR. MAIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent? A. Yes. Q. Turn to page 12 of your declaration. It's page 12 A. Yes. Q not paragraph. A. I am there. Q. On this page, you're discussing the board's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms; correct? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That was I I that was the Board's opinion, I guess. BY MR. MAIER: Q. And the Board concluded that the structure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent? A. Yes. Q. Turn to page 12 of your declaration. It's page 12 A. Yes. Q not paragraph. A. I am there. Q. On this page, you're discussing the board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms; correct? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That was I I that was the Board's opinion, I guess. BY MR. MAIER: Q. And the Board concluded that the structure for these terms is a power supply electrically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent? A. Yes. Q. Turn to page 12 of your declaration. It's page 12 A. Yes. Q not paragraph. A. I am there. Q. On this page, you're discussing the board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas" and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms; correct? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That was I I that was the Board's opinion, I guess. BY MR. MAIER: Q. And the Board concluded that the structure for these terms is a power supply electrically connected to a cathode, an anode, and/or an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent? A. Yes. Q. Turn to page 12 of your declaration. It's page 12 A. Yes. Q not paragraph. A. I am there. Q. On this page, you're discussing the board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms; correct? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That was I I that was the Board's opinion, I guess. BY MR. MAIER: Q. And the Board concluded that the structure for these terms is a power supply electrically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent? A. Yes. Q. Turn to page 12 of your declaration. It's page 12 A. Yes. Q not paragraph. A. I am there. Q. On this page, you're discussing the board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas" and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas" in connection with Claims 36 and 37 respectively;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms; correct? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That was I I that was the Board's opinion, I guess. BY MR. MAIER: Q. And the Board concluded that the structure for these terms is a power supply electrically connected to a cathode, an anode, and/or an electrode; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent? A. Yes. Q. Turn to page 12 of your declaration. It's page 12 A. Yes. Q not paragraph. A. I am there. Q. On this page, you're discussing the board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas" and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas" in connection with Claims 36 and 37 respectively; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms; correct? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That was I I that was the Board's opinion, I guess. BY MR. MAIER: Q. And the Board concluded that the structure for these terms is a power supply electrically connected to a cathode, an anode, and/or an electrode; correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	connection with the '775 IPRs? A. Yes. Q. And I notice that there's only one IPR number listed for the Gillette matters on the cover, but I understand that that this declaration is your opinion for both IPRs that Gillette submitted; right? A. That that is my understanding, yes. Q. Okay. And you'll understand if I refer to U.S. Patent 6,896,775 as the '775 patent? A. Yes. Q. Turn to page 12 of your declaration. It's page 12 A. Yes. Q not paragraph. A. I am there. Q. On this page, you're discussing the board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas" and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas" in connection with Claims 36 and 37 respectively; correct? A. Let let me just that that's what I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So your question was Q. On page 12 of your declaration, you are discussing the Board's construction for the term "means for ionizing a feed gas," which was in Claim 36 A. Yes. Q and "means for ionizing a volume of feed gas," which was in Claim 37. A. I'm discussing those, yes. Q. And the Board adopted the broadest reasonable interpretation of these claim terms; correct? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That was I I that was the Board's opinion, I guess. BY MR. MAIER: Q. And the Board concluded that the structure for these terms is a power supply electrically connected to a cathode, an anode, and/or an electrode; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you disagree with the Board's

	Page 10		Page 11
1	second sentence, "In my opinion, the Board's	1	anode and/or an electrode by a gap there
2	construction of this term is flawed"; right?	2	between."
3	A. Yeah, insofar as it fails to account for	3	BY MR. MAIER:
4	the important cathode-to-anode arrangement that's	4	Q. And you disagreed, and, therefore, did not
5	described by Dr. Chistyakov in this patent in	5	apply the Board's construction, because it fails to
6	this patent.	6	account for the important cathode/anode arrangement
7	Q. You think the Board's construction is	7	that is described by Dr. Chistyakov; right?
8	flawed; right?	8	MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.
9	A. Yes.	9	THE WITNESS: Correct.
10	Q. So you did not apply the construction	10	BY MR. MAIER:
11	adopted by the Board to your analysis of the '775	11	Q. This important cathode/anode arrangement
12	patent; correct?	12	that you think is missing from the Board's
13	MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.	13	construction is the notion of the gap between the
14	THE WITNESS: I disagreed with it, yes, and	14	anode and cathode; right?
15	I did not apply that.	15	MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.
16	BY MR. MAIER:	16	THE WITNESS: As Doctor as as
17	Q. You applied a different construction to	17	described in the patent.
18	your analysis of the '775 patent; correct?	18	BY MR. MAIER:
19	MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.	19	Q. I'm not sure that quite answers the
20	THE WITNESS: I disagreed with the Board,	20	question.
21	yes. And I applied this the construction that I	21	So the important cathode arrangement that
22	quote at the bottom of on page 12:	22	you think is missing from the Board's construction
23	" means for ionizing a volume of feed	23	is the notion of the gap and the volume resulting
24	gas as a power supply electrically	24	therefrom between the anode and cathode; right?
25	connected to a cathode separated from an	25	MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.
	Page 12		Page 13
1	Page 12 THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire	1	Page 13 " because the gap and the volume
1 2		1 2	
	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire		" because the gap and the volume
2	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap.	2	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and
2	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER:	2	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode."
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from	2 3 4	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from?
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote:
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of the of the gap.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow Q. Well, you didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of the of the gap. BY MR. MAIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow Q. Well, you didn't A through a region 245 between the anode
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of the of the gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, sir, you understand that your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow Q. Well, you didn't A through a region 245 between the anode 238 and the cathode 216.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of the of the gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, sir, you understand that your opinions have to be in your declaration; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow Q. Well, you didn't A through a region 245 between the anode 238 and the cathode 216. Q. You didn't put that in your construction,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of the of the gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, sir, you understand that your opinions have to be in your declaration; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow Q. Well, you didn't A through a region 245 between the anode 238 and the cathode 216. Q. You didn't put that in your construction, did you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of the of the gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, sir, you understand that your opinions have to be in your declaration; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: I I said that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow Q. Well, you didn't A through a region 245 between the anode 238 and the cathode 216. Q. You didn't put that in your construction, did you? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of the of the gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, sir, you understand that your opinions have to be in your declaration; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: I I said that the structure is and the construction is separated by a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow Q. Well, you didn't A through a region 245 between the anode 238 and the cathode 216. Q. You didn't put that in your construction, did you? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. BY MR. MAIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of the of the gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, sir, you understand that your opinions have to be in your declaration; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: I I said that the structure is and the construction is separated by a gap there between, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow Q. Well, you didn't A through a region 245 between the anode 238 and the cathode 216. Q. You didn't put that in your construction, did you? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Look at your construction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of the of the gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, sir, you understand that your opinions have to be in your declaration; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: I I said that the structure is and the construction is separated by a gap there between, yes. And you talked about a gap. You just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow Q. Well, you didn't A through a region 245 between the anode 238 and the cathode 216. Q. You didn't put that in your construction, did you? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Look at your construction. A. It is not it is not in the construction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of the of the gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, sir, you understand that your opinions have to be in your declaration; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: I I said that the structure is and the construction is separated by a gap there between, yes. And you talked about a gap. You just you said a gap had a distance and a volume.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow Q. Well, you didn't A through a region 245 between the anode 238 and the cathode 216. Q. You didn't put that in your construction, did you? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Look at your construction. A. It is not it is not in the construction. Q. So what you've added to the Board's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of the of the gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, sir, you understand that your opinions have to be in your declaration; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: I I said that the structure is and the construction is separated by a gap there between, yes. And you talked about a gap. You just you said a gap had a distance and a volume. BY MR. MAIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow Q. Well, you didn't A through a region 245 between the anode 238 and the cathode 216. Q. You didn't put that in your construction, did you? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Look at your construction. A. It is not it is not in the construction. Q. So what you've added to the Board's construction, by way of your own construction, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: That that's not the entire description of the importance of that gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, what do you contend is missing from the Board's construction? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. Go ahead. I lodged my objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: You're done? MR. LAHAV: Yes. THE WITNESS: I would prefer to look at the patent to show to be able to accurately and precisely tell you the the other attribute of the of the gap. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Well, sir, you understand that your opinions have to be in your declaration; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: I I said that the structure is and the construction is separated by a gap there between, yes. And you talked about a gap. You just you said a gap had a distance and a volume.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	" because the gap and the volume resulting therefrom between the anode and cathode." Do you see where I am reading from? A. So let me I I can read it here from here. I would prefer to quote it directly, but since this is a quote: "So as to form a gap between the cathode or the anode that is sufficient to allow current to flow through a region between the anode and the cathode." So that's an additional attribute, that gap has to be sufficient to allow current to flow Q. Well, you didn't A through a region 245 between the anode 238 and the cathode 216. Q. You didn't put that in your construction, did you? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Look at your construction. A. It is not it is not in the construction. Q. So what you've added to the Board's

	Page 14		Page 15
1	electrode; correct?	1	And I will direct you to Column 8, Lines 63
2	MR. LAHAV: Objection; asked and answered.	2	to 65.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.	3	Same question:
4	BY MR. MAIER:	4	The gap in the embodiment shown in Figure 3
5	Q. Now, turn have I given you the '775	5	that you reference in your construction is labeled
6	patent?	6	244; correct?
7	A. No.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. This has been marked as Gillette 1001.	8	Q. In both Figures 2 and 3, the gap is
9	Sir, Gillette Exhibit 1001 is the '775	9	depicted as the distance between anode 238 and
10	patent that you studied in connection with the two	10	cathode 216; correct?
11	IPRs we're discussing today?	11	MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.
12	A. Yes.	12	THE WITNESS: It's yes.
13	Q. Turn to Figure 2.	13	BY MR. MAIER:
14	Now, the claimed gap in your construction	14	Q. Turn to Figure 10.
15	in the embodiment shown in Figure 2 is labeled 244;	15	Where is the claimed gap that you require
16	right?	16	in Figure 10?
17	And I will direct you to Column 5, Lines	17	MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.
18	15, 16.	18	THE WITNESS: It's excuse me, Figure 10.
19	A. This this is an embodiment of the	19	It's labeled as 244.
20	apparatus according to the present invention.	20	BY MR. MAIER:
21	Q. Right.	21	Q. Again, it's the distance labeled 244
22	And the gap in this embodiment of Figure 2	22	between cathode 216 and anode 238; correct?
23	is labeled 244; correct?	23	MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.
24	A. Yes.	24	THE WITNESS: It's pointing to the gap.
25	Q. Turn to Figure 3.	25	It it doesn't describe it as as as a
	,		
	Page 16		Page 17
1	Page 16	1	Page 17
1 2	distance.	1 2	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly
1 2 3	distance. BY MR. MAIER:	1 2 3	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7.
2	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer?	2	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7.Q. So where is the gap in label in
2	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes.	2	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that.
2 3 4	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not?	2 3 4	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7?
2 3 4 5	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing	2 3 4 5	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the
2 3 4 5	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is.	2 3 4 5 6	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient
2 3 4 5 6 7	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance. Q. Between the anode and cathode?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent. Q. And where is that in Figure 7?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance. Q. Between the anode and cathode? A. Yeah. There are other distances between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent. Q. And where is that in Figure 7? A. It in this region.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance. Q. Between the anode and cathode? A. Yeah. There are other distances between the anode and cathode and cathode and cathode as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent. Q. And where is that in Figure 7? A. It in this region. Q. Well, the court reporter can't tell where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance. Q. Between the anode and cathode? A. Yeah. There are other distances between the anode and cathode as well. Q. The one depicted by 244 is the gap; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent. Q. And where is that in Figure 7? A. It in this region. Q. Well, the court reporter can't tell where you're pointing. I'll give you a pen. A. I'm not going to mark it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance. Q. Between the anode and cathode? A. Yeah. There are other distances between the anode and cathode as well. Q. The one depicted by 244 is the gap; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent. Q. And where is that in Figure 7? A. It in this region. Q. Well, the court reporter can't tell where you're pointing. I'll give you a pen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance. Q. Between the anode and cathode? A. Yeah. There are other distances between the anode and cathode and cathode as well. Q. The one depicted by 244 is the gap; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That that's what yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent. Q. And where is that in Figure 7? A. It in this region. Q. Well, the court reporter can't tell where you're pointing. I'll give you a pen. A. I'm not going to mark it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance. Q. Between the anode and cathode? A. Yeah. There are other distances between the anode and cathode as well. Q. The one depicted by 244 is the gap; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That that's what yes, that's what it says. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Turn to Figure 7.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent. Q. And where is that in Figure 7? A. It in this region. Q. Well, the court reporter can't tell where you're pointing. I'll give you a pen. A. I'm not going to mark it. Q. Well, sir, you can't just refuse to mark it. So I'll give you a pen. Identify for me,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance. Q. Between the anode and cathode? A. Yeah. There are other distances between the anode and cathode as well. Q. The one depicted by 244 is the gap; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That that's what yes, that's what it says. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Turn to Figure 7. Where is the gap you require in Figure 7?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent. Q. And where is that in Figure 7? A. It in this region. Q. Well, the court reporter can't tell where you're pointing. I'll give you a pen. A. I'm not going to mark it. Q. Well, sir, you can't just refuse to mark it. So I'll give you a pen. Identify for me, as best you can, the gap in Figure 7.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance. Q. Between the anode and cathode? A. Yeah. There are other distances between the anode and cathode as well. Q. The one depicted by 244 is the gap; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That that's what yes, that's what it says. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Turn to Figure 7. Where is the gap you require in Figure 7? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent. Q. And where is that in Figure 7? A. It in this region. Q. Well, the court reporter can't tell where you're pointing. I'll give you a pen. A. I'm not going to mark it. Q. Well, sir, you can't just refuse to mark it. So I'll give you a pen. Identify for me, as best you can, the gap in Figure 7. MR. LAHAV: You may refuse to mark it, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance. Q. Between the anode and cathode? A. Yeah. There are other distances between the anode and cathode as well. Q. The one depicted by 244 is the gap; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That that's what yes, that's what it says. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Turn to Figure 7. Where is the gap you require in Figure 7? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. BY MR. MAIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent. Q. And where is that in Figure 7? A. It in this region. Q. Well, the court reporter can't tell where you're pointing. I'll give you a pen. A. I'm not going to mark it. Q. Well, sir, you can't just refuse to mark it. So I'll give you a pen. Identify for me, as best you can, the gap in Figure 7. MR. LAHAV: You may refuse to mark it, if you wish. You also may choose to comply. And you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance. Q. Between the anode and cathode? A. Yeah. There are other distances between the anode and cathode as well. Q. The one depicted by 244 is the gap; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That that's what yes, that's what it says. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Turn to Figure 7. Where is the gap you require in Figure 7? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. BY MR. MAIER: Q. We'll give you a hint: The gap isn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent. Q. And where is that in Figure 7? A. It in this region. Q. Well, the court reporter can't tell where you're pointing. I'll give you a pen. A. I'm not going to mark it. Q. Well, sir, you can't just refuse to mark it. So I'll give you a pen. Identify for me, as best you can, the gap in Figure 7. MR. LAHAV: You may refuse to mark it, if you wish. You also may choose to comply. And you can describe it in words, if you'd like.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	distance. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Are you done with your answer? A. Yes. Q. Is it a distance or not? A. Well, it's it's labeling it's showing what the gap is. Q. And is the gap a distance between the anode and cathode? A. Well, the gap has a distance. Q. Between the anode and cathode? A. Yeah. There are other distances between the anode and cathode as well. Q. The one depicted by 244 is the gap; right? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: That that's what yes, that's what it says. BY MR. MAIER: Q. Turn to Figure 7. Where is the gap you require in Figure 7? MR. LAHAV: Objection; form. BY MR. MAIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. And the answer is the gap isn't explicitly labeled in Figure 7. Q. So where is the gap in label in strike that. Where is the gap in Figure 7? A. It's a it's it's a space between the anode and the cathode that that is sufficient to as I told you earlier, is sufficient to perform the functions that are talked about in the Chistyakov patent. Q. And where is that in Figure 7? A. It in this region. Q. Well, the court reporter can't tell where you're pointing. I'll give you a pen. A. I'm not going to mark it. Q. Well, sir, you can't just refuse to mark it. So I'll give you a pen. Identify for me, as best you can, the gap in Figure 7. MR. LAHAV: You may refuse to mark it, if you wish. You also may choose to comply. And you



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

