

Patent No. 8,214,873
Petition For *Inter Partes* Review

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Yamaha Corporation of America
Petitioner

v.

Black Hills Media, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,214,873 (Claims 4, 5, 33 and 34)

Issue Date: July 3, 2012

Title: METHOD, SYSTEM, AND COMPUTER-
READABLE MEDIUM FOR EMPLOYING A FIRST DEVICE TO
DIRECT A NETWORKED AUDIO DEVICE TO RENDER A PLAYLIST

Inter Partes Review No. _____

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. NOTICES AND STATEMENTS.....	1
II. INTRODUCTION	2
III. CO-PENDING LITIGATIONS.....	5
A. IPR2013-00598	5
B. Prior And Pending Litigation Against Petitioner.....	6
1. Black Hills’ Prior Litigation Against Petitioner And Others Was Dismissed Without Prejudice And Was A Legal Nullity	7
2. The Dismissed Prior Lawsuit Against Petitioner Does Not Constitute A Bar To The Present Petition	8
C. Other Co-Pending Litigation On The '873 Patent.....	13
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	14
V. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE.....	15
A. Statutory Grounds For The Challenge Of Each Claim	15
1. Grounds Based On Primary Reference US2002/0087996 A1 To Bi <i>et al.</i>	15
2. Ground Based On Primary Reference U.S. Patent No. 6,502,194 To Berman <i>et al.</i>	16
VI. GROUNDS BASED ON BI.....	17
A. Ground 1 – Obviousness Of Claims 5 And 34 Based On Bi In View Of Ereksen And Further In View Of Ausems	17
1. Base Independent Claims 1 And 30 Are Being Reviewed In IPR2013-00598 Based On The Combination Of Bi And Ereksen.....	17
2. The Disclosure Of Bi	18
3. The Disclosure Of Ereksen.....	21
4. The Combined Disclosure Of Bi And Ereksen	23
5. Combination Of Bi And Ereksen With Ausems.....	26

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
B. Ground 2 – Obviousness Of Claims 5 And 34 Based On Bi In View Of Yumoto	30
C. Ground 3 – Obviousness Of Claims 4 And 33 Based On Bi In View Of Erekson And Further In View Of Safadi.....	37
VII. GROUND BASED ON BERMAN	39
A. Ground 4 – Obviousness Of Claims 5 And 34 Based On Berman In View Of Yumoto.....	39
1. Base Independent Claims 1 And 30 Are Obvious Based On The Combination Of Berman And Yumoto	39
2. Claims 5 And 34 Are Obvious Based On The Combination Of Berman And Yumoto.....	44
VIII. CONCLUSION.....	46

Exhibit List for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873

Exhibit Description	Exhibit #
<i>U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873 to Weel</i>	1001
<i>Declaration of Dr. V. Michael Bove, Jr.</i>	1002
<i>Decision Instituting Inter Partes Review of '873 Patent in IPR2013-00598 dated March 20, 2014 (Paper No. 19)</i>	1003
<i>Dismissal Order in Black Hills Media, LLC v. Yamaha Corp. of America, Case No. 2:13-cv-06054 (C.D. Cal.) dated January 14, 2014</i>	1004
<i>Decision Instituting Inter Partes Review in IPR2013-00175 dated July 3, 2013 (Paper No. 15)</i>	1005
<i>Decision Instituting Inter Partes Review in IPR2012-00004 dated January 24, 2013 (Paper No. 18)</i>	1006
<i>Decision Denying Patent Owner's Request for Rehearing in IPR2013-00312 dated December 18, 2013 (Paper No. 40)</i>	1007
<i>Order Staying Black Hills Media, LLC v. Yamaha Corp. of America, Case No. 8:14-cv-00101 (C.D. Cal.) dated May 8, 2014</i>	1008
<i>U.S. Patent Publication No. US2002/0087996 A1 to Bi et al.</i>	1009
<i>U.S. Patent No. 6,622,018 to Erekson</i>	1010
<i>U.S. Patent Publication No. US2001/0044321 A1 to Ausems et al.</i>	1011
<i>U.S. Patent Publication No. US2003/0080874 A1 to Yumoto et al.</i>	1012
<i>U.S. Patent Publication No. US2002/0173339 A1 to Safadi</i>	1013
<i>U.S. Patent No. 6,502,194 to Berman et al.</i>	1014
<i>Petition for Inter Partes Review of '873 Patent in IPR2013-00598 dated September 19, 2013 (Paper No. 1)</i>	1015

Petitioner Yamaha Corporation of America (“Petitioner”) respectfully petitions for *inter partes* review of claims 4, 5, 33 and 34 of U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873 (“the '873 patent” (Ex. 1001)) in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

I. NOTICES AND STATEMENTS

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1), Petitioner identifies Yamaha Corporation of America as the real party-in-interest.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2), Petitioner identifies the related matters in Section III.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3), Petitioner identifies the following counsel (and a power of attorney accompanies this Petition):

Lead Counsel	Backup Counsel
David L. Fehrman dfehrman@mofo.com Registration No.: 28,600 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 6000 Los Angeles, California 90017-3543 Tel: (213) 892-5601 Fax: (213) 892-5454	Mehran Arjomand marjomand@mofo.com Registration No.: 48,231 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 6000 Los Angeles, California 90017-3543 Tel: (213) 892-5630 Fax: (323) 210-1329

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.