

Patent No. 8,214,873
Petition For *Inter Partes* Review

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Yamaha Corporation of America
Petitioner

v.

Black Hills Media, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,214,873
Issue Date: July 3, 2012
Title: METHOD, SYSTEM, AND COMPUTER-
READABLE MEDIUM FOR EMPLOYING A FIRST DEVICE TO
DIRECT A NETWORKED AUDIO DEVICE TO RENDER A PLAYLIST

Inter Partes Review No. _____

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. NOTICES AND STATEMENTS.....	1
II. INTRODUCTION	2
III. CO-PENDING LITIGATIONS.....	2
IV. PROSECUTION HISTORY	4
A. Parent Application Issued As '323 Patent	4
B. Continuation Application Issued As Challenged '873 Patent	5
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	6
A. Identifier	6
B. Directing The Second Device To Receive A Media Item	7
C. Download And Stream	8
VI. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE.....	9
A. Statutory Grounds For The Challenge Of Each Claim	9
1. Grounds Based on US2002/0068558 A1 to Janik and US2002/0065902 A1 to Janik as Primary References.....	9
2. Grounds Based on US2002/0087996 A1 to Bi <i>et al.</i> and U.S. Patent No. 6,622,018 to Ereksen as Primary References	10
3. Ground Based on U.S. Patent No. 6,502,194 to Berman <i>et al.</i> and U.S. Patent No. 6,127,941 to Van Ryzin	10
VII. GROUNDS BASED ON JANIK '558 AND JANIK '902 AS PRIMARY REFERENCES	11
A. Ground 1 – Obviousness Of Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-12, 15-31, 33, 35- 41, And 44-46 Based On Janik '558 In View Of Janik '902	11
1. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 10-12, 15-23, 25-31, 33, 35-37, 39- 41, And 44-46	11
2. Claims 9, 24, And 38	26
B. Ground 2 – Obviousness Of Claims 9 And 38 Based On Janik '558 And Janik '902 In View Of Neoh	27

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
C. Ground 3 – Obviousness Of Claims 5 And 34 Based On Janik '558 And Janik '902 In View Of Cardoza	27
D. Ground 4 – Obviousness Of Claims 13 And 42 Based On Janik '558 And Janik '902 In View Of Janik '955.....	28
VIII. GROUNDS BASED ON BI AND EREKSON AS PRIMARY REFERENCES	28
A. Ground 5 – Obviousness Of Claims 1, 2, 6-12, 15-31, 35-41, And 44-46 Based On Bi In View Of Erekson.....	28
B. Ground 6 – Obviousness Of Claims 4-5 And 33-34 Based On Bi In View Of Erekson And Further In View Of P800	44
C. Ground 7 – Obviousness Of Claims 13 And 42 Based On Bi In View Of Erekson And Further In View Of Janik '955.....	45
IX. GROUND BASED ON BERMAN AND VAN RYZIN	46
A. Ground 8 – Obviousness Of Claims 1, 2, 6-13, 15-31, 33, 35-42, And 44-46 Based On Berman In View Of Van Ryzin.....	46
X. CONCLUSION.....	60

Exhibit List for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873

Exhibit Description	Exhibit #
<i>U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873 to Weel</i>	1001
<i>Declaration of Dr. V. Michael Bove, Jr.</i>	1002
<i>U.S. Patent App. Pub. US2005/0113946 A9 to Janik</i>	1003
<i>Applicant's Appeal Brief dated November 8, 2010</i>	1004
<i>Office Action dated March 1, 2012</i>	1005
<i>Notice of Allowance dated May 14, 2012</i>	1006
<i>U.S. Patent App. Pub. US2002/0068558 A1 to Janik</i>	1007
<i>U.S. Patent App. Pub. US2002/0065902 A1 to Janik</i>	1008
<i>U.S. Patent App. Pub. US2002/0040255 A1 to Neoh</i>	1009
<i>Cardoza, Take a Look at the Latest Integrated PDA/Cell Phone Devices, TECHREPUBLIC, April 8, 2002</i>	1010
<i>U.S. Patent App. Pub. 2003/0045955 A1 to Janik</i>	1011
<i>U.S. Patent App. Pub. US2002/0087996 A1 to Bi et al.</i>	1012
<i>U.S. Pat. No. 6,622,018 to Erekson</i>	1013
<i>Sony Ericsson P800/P802 White Paper (pages 1-14, 24-25, 36, 70-72, 87-88, 94, and 112)</i>	1014
<i>U.S. Patent No. 6,502,194 to Berman et al.</i>	1015
<i>U.S. Patent No. 6,127,941 to Van Ryzin</i>	1016

Petitioner Yamaha Corporation of America (“Petitioner”) respectfully petitions for *inter partes* review of claims 1, 2, 4-13, 15-31, 33-42, and 44-46 of U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873 (“the '873 patent” (Ex. 1001)) in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

I. NOTICES AND STATEMENTS

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1), Petitioner identifies Yamaha Corporation of America as the real party-in-interest.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2), Petitioner identifies the related matters in Section III.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3), Petitioner identifies the following counsel (and a power of attorney accompanies this Petition).

Lead Counsel	Backup Counsel
David L. Fehrman dfehrman@mofo.com Registration No.: 28,600 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 6000 Los Angeles, California 90017-3543 Tel: (213) 892-5601 Fax: (213) 892-5454	Mehran Arjomand marjomand@mofo.com Registration No.: 48,231 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 6000 Los Angeles, California 90017-3543 Tel: (213) 892-5630 Fax: (323) 210-1329

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4), service information for lead and back-up counsel is provided above.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a), Petitioner certifies that the '873 patent is available for *inter partes* review and that the Petitioner is not barred or estopped

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.