### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

| VASCULAR SOLUTIONS, INC.,<br>Plaintiff,                              | )<br>)<br>) 0:13-cv<br>) |
|--|--------------------------|
| V.   | )<br>)                   |
| BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION,                                       | ) JOINT<br>) STATI       |
| Defendant.   | )                        |
| BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION<br>and BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC., | )<br>)<br>)              |
| Counter-Plaintiffs,  | )                        |
| V.   | )                        |
| VASCULAR SOLUTIONS, INC.,  | )                        |
| Counter-Defendant.   | )                        |

0:13-cv-01172-JRT-SER

### JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION STATEMENT

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the parties to this action, Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant Vascular Solutions, Inc. ("VSI"), and Defendant and Counter-Claimants Boston Scientific Corporation and Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc. (collectively "BSC") hereby serve their Joint Claim Construction Statement. Pursuant to the Scheduling Order, set forth in the attached exhibits regarding VSI's Root Patents (Exhibit A), and BSC's Adams patent (Exhibit B), are the claim terms (and their corresponding claims) that the Parties have identified as in dispute and which require construction by the Court, and further contains each Party's proposed construction for the disputed claim terms, along with an identification of all

**R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

#### CASE 0:13-cv-01172-JRT-SER Document 113 Filed 02/21/14 Page 2 of 58

references from the specification and prosecution history to support that construction and any extrinsic evidence known to the Party on which it intends to rely either in support of its proposed construction or to oppose the other Party's proposed construction.

The parties were unable to reach agreement on the construction of any claim term, phrase, or clause proposed for construction by the Court. While all parties believe a claim construction hearing is necessary, no party intends to call witnesses to provide live testimony, unless the Court believes such testimony would be of assistance.

BY:

DOCKE

RM

#### **DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP**

Dated: February 21, 2014.

s/Heather D. Redmond J. Thomas Vitt (# 0183817) Heather D. Redmond (# 0313233) Shannon L. Bjorklund (# 0389932) Forrest Tahdooahnippah (# 0391459) 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: (612) 340-2600 Fax: (612) 340-2600 Fax: (612) 340-8856 Email: vitt.thomas@dorsey.com Email: redmond.heather@dorsey.com Email: bjorklund.shannon@dorsey.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Vascular Solutions, Inc.

### **ARNOLD & PORTER LLP**

Dated: February 21, 2014

DOCKET

ALARM

<u>/s/ Tara Williamson</u> Matthew Wolf (admitted *pro hac vice*) Edward Han (admitted *pro hac vice*) John Nilsson (admitted *pro hac vice*) Tara Williamson (admitted *pro hac vice*)

555 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004 Tel: (202) 942-5000 Fax: (202) 942-5999 Email: matthew.wolf@aporter.com Email: edward.han@aporter.com Email: john.nilsson@aporter.com

Jeffer Ali (# 0247947) Sarah M. Stensland (# 0387051) CARLSON, CASPERS, VANDENBURGH, LINDQUIST & SCHUMAN, P.A. 225 South Sixth Street, Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: (612) 436-9600 Fax: (612) 436-9605 Email: jali@carlsoncaspers.com Email: sstensland@carlsoncaspers.com

Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Plaintiffs Boston Scientific Corporation and Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc.

### **EXHIBIT** A

# <u>U.S. Patent Nos. 8,048,032 ("032 patent"); 8,142,413 (""413 Patent"); 8,292,850 (""850 Patent") (collectively, the "VSI Patents")</u>:

### I. The Parties' Constructions of Disputed Claim Terms in VSI Patents:

| Disputed Claim       | Appears in  | VSI's Proposed          | BSC's Proposed            |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Terms                | Claims      | Construction            | Construction              |
| "a substantially     | '032 patent | The phrase "without a   | BSC proposes that no      |
| rigid portion        | cls. 1, 11  | lumen" modifies the     | construction is necessary |
| defining a rail      |             | "rail structure" or     | for the claim term        |
| structure without a  | '850 patent | "structure," not the    | "defining" within the     |
| lumen"               | cl. 1       | "substantially rigid    | claim phrases "a          |
| /                    |             | portion" in these claim | substantially rigid       |
| "a substantially     | '413 patent | limitations, and the    | portion defining a rail   |
| rigid portion        | cl. 1       | substantially rigid     | structure without a       |
| defining a structure |             | portion and rail        | lumen" and "a             |
| without a lumen"     | '850 patent | structure are not       | substantially rigid       |
|                      | cl. 12      | coextensive.            | portion defining a        |
|                      |             |                         | structure without a       |
|                      |             |                         | lumen." It should be      |
|                      |             |                         | construed in accordance   |
|                      |             |                         | with its plain and        |
|                      |             |                         | ordinary meaning,         |
|                      |             |                         | which is 'coextensive     |
|                      |             |                         | with and describes the    |
|                      |             |                         | exact boundaries of'      |
|                      |             |                         |                           |

### a. VSI's Supporting Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence

VSI proposes that the phrase "without a lumen" modifies the "rail structure" or

"structure," not the "substantially rigid portion" in these claim limitations, and the

substantially rigid portion and rail structure are not coextensive.

### i. Intrinsic Evidence:

In support of VSI's construction, VSI reserves the right to rely upon the entire

intrinsic record to establish the meaning of the claim limitations in the context of the

patented invention. VSI also reserves the right to rely on BSC's proposed intrinsic

evidence. The specific intrinsic evidence on which VSI may rely includes, but is not

limited to:

DOCKE

The claims of VSI's patents, including but not limited to:

- '032 patent, claims 1, 11
- '032 patent, claim 9 ("substantially rigid portion includes from distal to proximal direction, a cross-sectional shape having a full circumference portion, a hemi-cylindrical portion and an arcuate portion").
- '032 patent, claim 13 ("the substantially rigid portion further includes a partially cylindrical portion defining an opening extending for a distance along a side thereof defined transverse to a longitudinal axis that is adapted to receive an interventional cardiology device passed through continuous lumen of the guide catheter . . .").
- '032 patent, claim 18 ("substantially rigid portion includes, starting at a from distal to proximal direction, a cross-sectional shape having a full circumference portion, a hemicylindrical portion and an arcuate portion").
- '413 patent, claim 1
- '413 patent, claim 4 ("selecting the substantially rigid portion of the coaxial guide catheter such that it comprises a cylindrical portion and a partially cylindrical portion defining an opening along a side thereof").
- '413 patent, claim 14 ("further comprising extending the interventional cardiology device through the substantially rigid portion from proximal to distal through a cross-sectional shape having an arcuate portion, a hemicylindrical portion and a full circumference portion").
- '850 patent, claims 1, 12
- '850 patent, claim 9 ("substantially rigid portion includes from distal to proximal direction, a cross-sectional shape having a full circumference portion, a hemicylindrical portion and an arcuate portion").
- '850 patent, claim 14 ("substantially rigid portion further includes a partially cylindrical portion defining an opening extending for a distance along a side thereof defined transverse to a longitudinal axis that is adapted

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

### **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.