

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Vascular Solutions, Inc.,

Civil File No. 0:13-cv-01172-JRT-SER

Plaintiff,

v.

Boston Scientific Corp.,

Defendant.

**PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES..... iii

INTRODUCTION 1

STATEMENT OF FACTS..... 2

I. VSI IS A SUCCESSFUL SMALL MEDICAL DEVICE COMPANY, BUT DWARFED BY BOSTON’S RESOURCES..... 2

II. VSI’S PATENTS SOLVED A LONG-STANDING PROBLEM IN INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOLOGY 2

A. Cardiac Catheterization Procedures 2

B. Limitations Of Guide Catheters 3

C. Prior Attempts To Solve Guide Catheter Limitations..... 4

D. Over-The-Wire And Monorail Systems..... 5

E. The GuideLiner And VSI’s Patents 5

III. VSI’S GUIDELINER IS CLINICALLY AND COMMERCIALY SUCCESSFUL 9

IV. BOSTON COPIES VSI’S GUIDELINER AND IFU..... 10

A. VSI Discovers And Boston Denies Development Of Guidezilla..... 10

B. VSI Verifies That Guidezilla Is A Copy Of GuideLiner And Infringes VSI’s Patents..... 11

C. Boston’s DFU Copies GuideLiner’s IFU And Infringes VSI’s Copyright..... 14

D. Boston Asserts One Infringement Defense 15

V. BOSTON WILL CAUSE VSI SUBSTANTIAL AND IRREPARABLE HARM IF ALLOWED TO CONTINUE ITS INFRINGEMENT 15

ARGUMENT..... 18

I. VSI IS LIKELY TO ESTABLISH THAT BOSTON IS INFRINGING THE VSI PATENTS..... 19

A. Boston Is Infringing Multiple Claims Of The VSI Patents..... 19

1.	The Guidezilla Is Designed For Use With A Standard Guide Catheter	20
2.	The Guidezilla Has The Claimed Flexible Tip	20
3.	The Guidezilla Has The Claimed Transition / Collar	21
4.	The Guidezilla Has The Claimed Substantially Rigid Portion	21
	a. A “Lumen” Requires A Passageway For Medical Devices And Contrast Medium.....	22
	b. Guidezilla’s Substantially Rigid Portion Literally Infringes, Because It Does Not Have A Lumen	25
	c. Boston Admits That Guidezilla’s Substantially Rigid Portion Does Not Contain A Lumen.....	26
	d. Alternatively, Boston Infringes Under The Doctrine of Equivalentents	27
B.	Boston Is Inducing and Contributing To Infringement Of The ‘413 And ‘850 Patents	27
C.	The Infringed Claims Are Likely To Withstand Any Validity Challenge.....	29
II.	VSI WILL SUFFER IRREPARABLE HARM IF BOSTON’S INFRINGEMENT IS NOT ENJOINED BEFORE TRIAL.....	20
III.	THE BALANCE OF HARMS FAVORS VSI.....	33
IV.	THE PUBLIC INTEREST FAVORS A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	34
V.	VSI IS ENTITLED TO A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION TO STOP BOSTON’S COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.....	34
VI.	BOND.....	35
	CONCLUSION	35

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Advanced Commc’n Design, Inc. v. Premier Retail Networks, Inc.</i> , 186 F. Supp. 2d 1009 (D. Minn. 2002), <i>rev’d in part on other grounds by</i> 46 Fed. App’x 964 (Fed. Cir.).....	33
<i>AstraZeneca LP v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 623 F. Supp. 2d 579 (D.N.J. 2009), <i>aff’d</i> 633 F.3d 1042 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	29
<i>AstraZeneca LP v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 633 F.3d 1042 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	18, 19, 22
<i>Baxter Healthcare Corp. v. Spectramed, Inc.</i> , 49 F.3d 1575 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	19
<i>Butamax Advanced Biofuels LLC v. Gevo, Inc.</i> , 868 F. Supp. 2d 359 (D. Del. 2012).....	31
<i>C & A Pro, LLC v. Pride Solutions, LLC</i> , 2005 WL 388602 (D. Minn. Jan. 17, 2005).....	34
<i>Capitol Records, Inc. v. Thomas-Rasset</i> , 680 F. Supp. 2d 1045 (D. Minn. 2010).....	34
<i>Celsis In Vitro, Inc. v. CellzDirect, Inc.</i> , 664 F.3d 922 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	29, 31, 32
<i>Cornucopia Products, LLC v. Dyson, Inc.</i> , 2012 WL 3094955 (D. Ariz. July 27, 2012)	31, 32
<i>Decade Indus. v. Wood Tech., Inc.</i> , 100 F. Supp. 2d 979 (D. Minn. 2000).....	34, 35
<i>DF Institute, Inc. v. Marketshare EDS</i> , 84 U.S.P.Q.2d 1206 (D. Minn. 2007)	34
<i>Douglas Dynamics, LLC v. Buyers Prods. Co.</i> , --- F.3d ----, 2013 WL 2158423 (Fed. Cir. May 21, 2013).....	29, 30, 31
<i>DSU Med. Corp. v. JMS Co.</i> , 471 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2006) (<i>en banc</i>).....	28

<i>Econova, Inc. v. DPS Utah</i> , 2012 WL 5944257 (D. Utah Nov. 28, 2012)	31, 32
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995), <i>aff'd</i> by 517 U.S. 370 (1996).....	19, 22
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (<i>en banc</i>).....	22
<i>Polymer Techs., Inc. v. Bridwell</i> , 103 F.3d 970 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	32
<i>Quantronix, Inc. v. Data Trak Techn., Inc.</i> , 536 F. Supp. 2d 1039 (D. Minn. 2008).....	31
<i>Robert Bosch LLC v. Pylon Mfg. Corp.</i> , 659 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	29
<i>Sanifo-Synthelabo v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 470 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	34
<i>Scimed Life Sys., Inc. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys.</i> , 242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	22
<i>Titan Tire Corp v. Case New Holland, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	29
<i>Travelers Express Co., Inc. v. Transaction Tracking Tech.</i> , 305 F. Supp. 2d 1090 (D. Minn. 2003).....	33
<i>Warner-Jenkinson Co. v. Hilton Davis Chem. Co.</i> , 520 U.S. 17 (1997)	19, 27
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 271(c).....	28
35 U.S.C. § 282.....	29

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.