

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARRIS GROUP, INC.
Petitioner

v.

C-CATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
Patent Owner

CASE IPR2014-00454
Patent 5,563,883

**PATENT OWNER C-CATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.107**

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. STANDARD FOR GRANTING <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	3
III. THE PETITION IS BARRED UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	6
IV. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,563,883	12
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	16
A. Construction of Select Terms in Claim 14	17
1. “Transmitting Means” and “Receiving Means”	17
2. “Modulating Means” and “Demodulating Means”	22
B. Remaining Terms in Claims 1, 3, 4, and 14	25
VI. THE PETITION FAILS TO ADEQUATELY IDENTIFY HOW CLAIMS 1, 3, 4 OR 14 OF THE ’883 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE	26
A. Claim 1	26
1. The system of McNamara does not include the claimed “central controller” and teaches away from centralized systems	28
2. McNamara does not disclose or render obvious the “reassigning” step recited by claim 1(e)	36
B. Claim 3	40
C. Claim 4	41
D. Claim 14	41
1. The structure of Thompson	42
2. Thompson fails to disclose the claimed “modulating means” as recited by claim 14(d)	43
VII. CONCLUSION	45

Table of Authorities

Cases

Atlanta Gas Light Co. v. Bennett Regulator Guards, Inc.,
IPR2013-00453, Paper 31 (PTAB Jan. 22, 2014) 12

August Tech. Corp. v. Camtek Ltd.,
655 F.3d 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2011) 4

Bausch & Lomb, Inc. v. Barnes-Hind/Hydrocurve, Inc.,
796 F.2d 443 (Fed. Cir. 1986) 5, 36, 38

Dell Inc. v. Electronics and Telecom. Res. Inst.,
IPR2014-00152, Paper 12 (PTAB May 16, 2014) 3, 5, 39, 45

Dupuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.,
567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 5, 40

Ex parte Ronald A Katz Tech. Lic.L.P.,
Appl. No. 2008-005127 (BPAI Mar. 15, 2010) 16

Frank’s Casing Crew & Rental Tools, Inc. v. Weatherford Int’l, Inc.,
389 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 24

In re Rambus, Inc.,
694 F.3d 42 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 16

JVW Enters., Inc. v. Interact Accessories, Inc.,
424 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2005) 19

K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC,
751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 40

KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007) 4

Perfect Web Techs., Inc. v. InfoUSA, Inc.,
587 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 3

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) 16

SAS Inst., Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC,
IPR2013-00581, Papers 15, 17
(PTAB Dec. 30, 2013; Feb. 25, 2014).....4, 5, 39, 42, 45

Telecordia Techs., Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.,
612 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 23

Vizio, Inc. v. International Trade Com'n,
605 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 4

Wenger Mfg., Inc. v. Coating Mach. Sys., Inc.,
239 F.3d 1225 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 19

Wowza Media Sys., LLC v. Adobe Sys. Inc.,
IPR2013-00054, Paper 12 (PTAB Apr. 8, 2013) 3, 40, 45

Zetec, Inc. v. Westinghouse Electric Co., LLC,
IPR2014-00384, Paper 10 (PTAB July 23, 2014)..... 28

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 311(b)..... 18

35 U.S.C. § 314(a) 1, 4, 6

35 U.S.C. § 315 (b)..... 6, 12

35 U.S.C. § 315(b)..... 2

35 U.S.C. § 316(e) 4

Other Authorities

77 Fed. Reg. 157 2, 4

Standing Order ¶ 121.5.2 (BPAI Mar. 8, 2011) 42

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.108..... 4

37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22..... 28

42 C.F.R. §42.104..... 28, 45

...

Exhibit List

Exhibit #	Exhibit Description
C-Cation Tech 2012	[REDACTED]
C-Cation Tech 2013	C-Cation Tech's Infringement Contentions Against Comcast, <i>C-Cation Tech., LLC v. Comcast Corp.</i> , No. 2:11-cv-30 (dated December 2, 2011)
C-Cation Tech 2014	[REDACTED]
C-Cation Tech 2015	[REDACTED]
C-Cation Tech 2016	[REDACTED]
C-Cation Tech 2017	Arris Group Inc.'s Form 10-K annual report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.