

By: Thomas Engellenner
Pepper Hamilton LLP
125 High Street
19th Floor, High Street Tower
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 204-5100 (telephone)
(617) 204-5150 (facsimile)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.;
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.; AND
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC
Petitioner

v.

BLACK HILLS MEDIA, LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2014-00737
Patent 8,050,652

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR
INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,050,652**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Table of Authorities	iii
Table of Exhibits	v
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE PETITION FAILS TO IDENTIFY ALL REAL PARTIES IN INTEREST	1
III. BACKGROUND OF THE '652 PATENT	7
A. Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter	11
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	13
A. Legal Standard	14
B. A Person Having Ordinary Skill In The Art	15
C. Construction of "Playlist"	17
1. Plain and Ordinary Meaning of "Playlist" in the Media File Sharing Arts	18
2. The '652 Patent Uses "Playlist" Consistent with its Plain and Ordinary Meaning	21
D. Construction of "Assigned to the Electronic Device"	28
E. Construction of "Wherein Ones of the Plurality of Songs Are Not Stored On The Electronic Device"	30
V. THE PETITION DOES NOT SATISFY THE STATUTORY THRESHOLD FOR INSTITUTING <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	31
A. There Is No Reasonable Likelihood That White Renders Obvious the Challenged Claims (Ground 1)	32
1. Summary of White	32

	<u>Page</u>
2. White does not render obvious independent claims 1 and 42.....	37
B. There Is No Reasonable Likelihood That Logan and Lipscomb Render Obvious The Challenged Claims (Ground 2).....	46
1. Summary of Logan	47
2. Summary of Lipscomb.....	49
3. Summary of Petitioner’s Arguments	50
4. Logan and Lipscomb do not render obvious independent claims 1 and 42	51
VI. REDUNDANT GROUNDS PRESENTED IN THE PETITION SHOULD BE DISMISSED.....	59
VII. CONCLUSION.....	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
CASES	
<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc 'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	58
<i>Anova Food, LLC v. Leo Sandau and William R. Kowalski</i> , IPR2013-00114 (PTAB, June 25, 2013).....	16, 47
<i>Dominion Dealer Solutions, LLC v. AutoAlert, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00223 (PTAB, Aug. 15, 2013).....	56
<i>Heart Failure Techs., LLC v. CardioKinetix, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00183 (PTAB, July 31, 2013)	55
<i>In re Cortright</i> , 165 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	14
<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	14
<i>In re Buszard</i> , 504 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	14
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	55, 56, 57
<i>Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Progressive Casualty Insurance Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003 (PTAB, Oct. 25, 2012)	54, 59
<i>On-Line Techs., Inc. v. Bodenseewerk Perkin-Elmer GmbH</i> , 386 F.3d 1133 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	30
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	25
<i>RPX Corp. v. VirnetX, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-000171 (PTAB, February 20, 2014)	7
<i>Superguide Corp., v. DirectTV Enters</i> , 358 F.3d 870 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	30
<i>Teleflex, Inc. v. Ficos North America Corp.</i> , 299 F. 3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	26

Pages

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 312 1, 2, 60
35 U.S.C. § 313 1
35 U.S.C. § 314 31
35 U.S.C. § 316 31

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.1 59
37 C.F.R. § 42.8 1, 2
37 C.F.R. § 42.73 2
37 C.F.R. § 42.100 14
37 C.F.R. § 42.107 1, 16
77 Fed. Reg. 48612 2
77 Fed. Reg. 48756 5, 14
MPEP § 2111 (9th Ed., March 2014) 14, 25
MPEP § 2141 16

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.