

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and  
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,  
and  
LG ELECTRONICS, INC., LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., and  
LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.,  
Petitioners,

v.

BLACK HILLS MEDIA, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2014-00737  
Case IPR2015-00334  
Patent 8,050,652 B2

---

Before BRIAN J. McNAMARA, FRANCES L. IPPOLITO, and  
TINA E. HULSE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

IPPOLITO, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT  
Termination of the Proceeding  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.73*

IPR2014-00737  
IPR2015-00334  
Patent 8,050,652 B2

## BACKGROUND

On May 8, 2014, Petitioner Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, “Petitioner Samsung”) filed a Petition seeking *inter partes* review of claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 42, 44, 45, 47–50, 52 and 55 of U.S. Patent No. 8,050,652 B2 (“the ’652 patent”). On November 4, 2014, we instituted *inter partes* review of claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 42, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 52, and 55 of the ’652 patent in IPR2014-00737. Paper 7, 22–23.

On December 3, 2014, Petitioner LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., and LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. (collectively, “Petitioner LG”) filed a Petition for *inter partes* review of claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 42, 44, 45, 47–50, 52 and 55 of the ’652 patent (IPR2015-00334, Paper 2), and a Motion for Joinder to IPR2014-00737 (IPR2015-00334, Paper 3). On January 28, 2015, we instituted *inter partes* review of claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 42, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 52, and 55 of the ’652 patent in IPR2015-00334 and granted Petitioner LG’s motion for joinder of IPR2015-00334 with previously instituted IPR2014-00737. IPR2014-00737, Paper 16, 3–4. In that Decision, the ground on which IPR2014-00737 was instituted was unchanged, no other grounds were instituted in the joined proceeding, and the Scheduling Order in place for IPR2014-00737 (Paper 12) was unchanged and applied to the joined proceeding. *Id.* at 4. We also terminated the IPR2015-00334 proceeding. *Id.* at 4.

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order, on February 4, 2015, Patent Owner filed its Response. Paper 17. Patent Owner did not file a motion to amend. On April 3, 2015, Patent Owner filed a Request for Adverse Judgment,

IPR2014-00737  
IPR2015-00334  
Patent 8,050,652 B2

Paper 23, requesting adverse judgment pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b), with respect to the claims that are the subject of this joined proceeding:

Patent Owner . . . hereby requests that the Board cancel claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 42, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 52, and 55 of U.S. Patent No. 8,050,652 (“the ’652 Patent”). These claims are all claims for which the present *inter partes* review has been instituted. *See* Decision – Institution of *Inter Partes* Review, Paper 7, p. 22. *See also* Decision – Institution of *Inter Partes* Review and Grant of Motion for Joinder, Paper 16.

In view of the cancellation of all claims remaining in the trial, Patent Owner requests that the Board enter adverse judgment against Patent Owner in this proceeding pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.73(b)(2).

Paper 23, 1. Petitioner Samsung and Petitioner LG have not filed any reply to the Patent Owner’s Response.

#### DISCUSSION

A party may request entry of adverse judgment against itself at any time during a proceeding. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b). Patent Owner has conceded that the requested judgment effectively will cancel the claims identified for trial and moot this proceeding. Paper 23, 1. There is no pending motion to amend claims, and the deadline for filing such a motion has passed. *See* Paper 12. Patent Owner also has indicated by email to the Board that Petitioners have no objection to the Request for Adverse Judgment. Under these circumstances, the request for entry of adverse judgment is appropriate.

#### ORDER

It is ORDERED that Patent Owner’s request for adverse judgment under 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b) with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 42, 44,

IPR2014-00737  
IPR2015-00334  
Patent 8,050,652 B2

45, 47, 48, 50, 52, and 55 of U.S. Patent No. 8,050,652 B2 is GRANTED;  
and,

ORDERED that judgment is entered herein against Patent Owner with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 42, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 52, and 55 of U.S. Patent No. 8,050,652 B2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 42, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 52, and 55 are unpatentable, and shall be cancelled.

PETITIONER:

Andrea G. Reister  
Gregory S. Discher  
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP  
[areister@cov.com](mailto:areister@cov.com)  
[gdischer@cov.com](mailto:gdischer@cov.com)

Doris Johnson Hines  
Jonathan R.K. Stroud  
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP  
[dori.hines@finnegan.com](mailto:dori.hines@finnegan.com)  
[jonathan.stroud@finnegan.com](mailto:jonathan.stroud@finnegan.com)

PATENT OWNER:

Thomas Engellenner  
Reza Mollaaghababa  
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP  
[engellennert@pepperlaw.com](mailto:engellennert@pepperlaw.com)  
[mollaaghababar@pepperlaw.com](mailto:mollaaghababar@pepperlaw.com)

Christopher Horgan  
CONCERT TECHNOLOGY  
[chris.horgan@concerttechnology.com](mailto:chris.horgan@concerttechnology.com)