

By: Thomas Engellenner
Pepper Hamilton LLP
125 High Street
19th Floor, High Street Tower
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 204-5100 (telephone)
(617) 204-5150 (facsimile)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,
and
LG ELECTRONICS, INC., LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., and LG
ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.
Petitioners

v.

BLACK HILLS MEDIA, LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2014-00737
Case No. IPR2015-00334
U.S. Patent 8,050,652

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
UPDATED TABLE OF EXHIBITS.....	vi
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. PETITIONER’S BURDEN OF PROOF	1
III. BACKGROUND OF THE ‘652 PATENT	2
A. Summary Of The ‘652 Patent	3
B. Summary Of The Claimed Subject Matter	8
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	11
A. Legal Standard	12
B. A Person Having Ordinary Skill In The Art	13
C. Construction Of “Playlist”	15
1. Plain and ordinary meaning of “playlist” in the media file sharing arts.....	16
2. The specification of the ‘652 patent uses “playlist” consistent with its plain and ordinary meaning	20
D. Construction Of “Assigned To The Electronic Device”	26
V. WHITE DOES NOT RENDER OBVIOUS THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS	26
A. Legal Standard	27
B. Summary Of White	29
C. Neither The Petition Nor The Jeffay Report Apply A Proper Claim Construction To The Obviousness Analysis.....	31

Page

D.	Neither The Petition Nor The Jeffay Report Provide Any Rationale To Modify White To Arrive At The Claimed Inventions	37
E.	White Does Not Disclose At Least One Material Limitation Of Independent Claims 1 And 42	43
1.	No electronic device of White that plays a song receives information from a central system enabling the electronic device to obtain a song.....	44
2.	No electronic device of White receives a playlist	50
F.	White Does Not Render Obvious Claims 13 And 55.....	54
VI.	CONCLUSION	55

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
CASES	
<i>ActiveVideo Networks v. Verizon Comm 's, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	42
<i>CallCopy, Inc. v. Verint Americas, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00486, Paper No. 11 (PTAB, Feb. 5, 2014).....	36
<i>CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.</i> , 288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	13
<i>Crocs, Inc. v. International Trade Commission</i> , 598 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	37
<i>Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc. v. Cordis Corp.</i> , 554 F.3d 982 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	38
<i>Endo Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Depomed, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00656, Paper 12 (PTAB, September 29, 2014)	13
<i>Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Applera Corp.</i> , 599 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	31, 35
<i>Google Inc. v. EveryMD.com LLC</i> , IPR2014-00347, Paper No. 9 (PTAB, May 22, 2014)	52
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	27, 31, 35, 37
<i>Grain Processing Corp. v. American-Maize Prods. Co.</i> , 840 F.2d 902 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	28
<i>In Heart Failure Techs., LLC v. CardioKinetix, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00183, Paper No. 12 (PTAB, July 31, 2013)	28, 39
<i>In re Cortright</i> , 165 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	12
<i>In re Kubin</i> , 561 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	27
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	28
<i>In re Oetiker</i> , 977 F.2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992).....	2

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>In re Paulsen</i> , 30 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	13
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	12
<i>InTouch Tech., Inc. v. VGO Comm 's, Inc.</i> , 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 8745, *58	28
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Medichem, S.A. v. Rolabo, S.L.</i> , 353 F.3d 928 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	31
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , IPR2012-00026, Paper No. 17 (PTAB, December 21, 2012)	35
<i>Motorola Mobility LLC v. Arendi S.A.R.L.</i> , IPR2014-00203, Paper No. 10 (PTAB, June 5, 2014)	29
<i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't Am., LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	13
<i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	27
<i>United States v. Adams</i> , 383 U.S. 39 (1966).....	37
<i>Universal Remote Control, Inc. v. Universal Electronics, Inc.</i> , IPR2013- 00152, Paper No. 8 (PTAB, Aug. 19, 2013)	13
<i>Wowza Media Systems, LLC v. Adobe Systems Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00054, Paper No. 12 (PTAB, April 8, 2013)	29
<i>ZTE Corporation v. ContenGuard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00139, Paper No. 15 (July 9, 2013)	35

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	1
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....	2, 37
35 U.S.C. § 316(e)	1

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c).....	36
---------------------------	----

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.