## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

# MATCH.COM LLC and PEOPLE MEDIA, INC. Petitioner

v.

B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. Patent Owner

> Case IPR2014-00698 Patent 6,628,314

Before Cathy Underwood, Trial Paralegal

## B.E. Technology's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Daniel J. Weinberg Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Mail Stop Patent Board Patent Trial and Appeal Board United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Δ

Patent Owner respectfully requests the Board to recognize Daniel J.

Weinberg as counsel *pro hac vice* during this proceeding.<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 and in response to the authorization provided by the United States Patent and Trademark Office's Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("Board") in the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition (Paper Number 5, entered May 6, 2014) ("Notice"), Patent Owner B.E. Technology, L.L.C. ("Patent Owner") submits this motion for Mr. Weinberg to appear *pro hac vice*.

## I. Time for Filing

Pursuant to the "Order - Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission"

in Case IPR2013-00639<sup>2</sup> ("Order"), this motion for *pro hac vice* admission is being

filed no sooner than twenty-one (21) days after service of the petition.

# II. Good Cause for Additional Back-Up Counsel

Patent Owner respectfully requests admission of Mr. Weinberg as additional

back-up counsel<sup>3</sup> to promote attorney availability for the discovery period and trial.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding motions for *Pro Hac Vice* admission are being concurrently filed in co-pending cases IPR2014-00029, IPR2014-00031, IPR2014-00033, IPR2014-00038, IPR2014-00039, IPR2014-00040, IPR2014-00044, IPR2014-00052, IPR2014-00053, and IPR2014-00699.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Patent Owner notes that while the Notice references the "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission" in Case IPR2013-00010 (MPT), the Order in Case IPR2013-00639 states that the Final Rule regarding Changes to Representation of Others Before the United States Patent and Trademark Office removes part 10 of title 37 C.F.R. referred to in the Order in Case IPR2013-00010 (MPT). Accordingly, for purpose of this proceeding, Patent Owner will refer to the Order in Case IPR2013-00639.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Patent Owner is concurrently filing corresponding motions for *Pro Hac Vice* admission for Jessica N. Leal as additional back-up counsel.

Patent Owner has a sufficient need for the admission of additional back-up counsel. The Board has instituted nine separate *inter partes* review ("IPR") trials against two B.E. Technology, L.L.C. patents. Five more IPR petitions have been filed with motions for joinder, bringing the total to fourteen IPRs. Patent Owner has to conduct discovery, including deposing five experts, three of whom have offered opinions on both patents. In order to efficiently and effectively cover the ground necessary in these IPRs, Patent Owner seeks the *pro hac vice* admission of additional back-up counsel. This request is accompanied by the attached Power of Attorney.

#### III. Statement of Facts

Pursuant to the Order, the following statement of facts, supported by the attached Declaration of Mr. Weinberg, shows that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Weinberg *pro hac vice*.

Lead counsel for this proceeding, Jason S. Angell, is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 51408).

Mr. Weinberg is an experienced litigation attorney, and has served as counsel in numerous complex cases and patent infringement litigations in various district courts. Mr. Weinberg has not been suspended or disbarred from practice, and he has not had any application for admission to practice denied, or had any sanctions or contempt citations imposed against him. Mr. Weinberg is an active member in good standing of the California Bar and is admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, the United States District Court for the Central District of California, and the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee. Mr. Weinberg's mailing address is Freitas Angell & Weinberg LLP, 350 Marine Parkway, Suite 200, Redwood Shores, California 94065, his email address is dweinberg@fawlaw.com, and his direct dial telephone number is (650) 730-5501.

Mr. Weinberg is a member of the litigation team for Patent Owner in *B.E. Technology, L.L.C. v. People Media, Inc.*, No. 2:12-cv-02833, and *B.E. Technology, L.L.C. v. Match.com LLC*, No. 2:12-cv-02834, co-pending litigations in the United States District Court for the Western District of Tennessee. Those litigations involve U.S. Patent No. 6,628,314 ("314 Patent"), the patent at issue in this proceeding. In his role as counsel in the co-pending litigations, Mr. Weinberg is knowledgeable about the '314 Patent and assertions regarding the invalidity of the '314 patent. Further, Mr. Weinberg is familiar with the factual and legal matters at issue in that case, including the claim construction issues likely to be presented there. Mr. Weinberg has thus established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding.

Mr. Weinberg has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Guide and the Board's Rules for Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of the C.F.R., and he agrees to be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). Mr. Weinberg has not applied *pro hac vice* in any other proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the last three years, other than in co-pending *inter partes* review proceedings involving B.E. Technology patents.

Patent Owner has expended significant resources in the co-pending litigation with Mr. Weinberg as counsel, and Patent Owner wishes Mr. Weinberg to represent it in this proceeding.

IV. Affidavit or Declaration of Individual Seeking to Appear

This motion for *pro hac vice* admission is accompanied by a Declaration of Mr. Weinberg as required by the Order.

V. Conclusion

The facts contained in the Statement of Facts above, and contained in the Declaration of Mr. Weinberg, establish that there is good cause to admit Mr.

Weinberg pro hac vice in this proceeding under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

Date: May 29, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Jason S. Angell</u> Jason S. Angell Reg. No. 51408 Counsel for Patent Owner

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

# E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.