	'	
1	JONATHAN T. SUDER (Pro Hac Vice)	
2	sts@fsclaw.com BRETT M. PINKUS (Pro Hac Vice)	
3	pinkus@fsclaw.com GLENN S. ORMAN (Pro Hac Vice)	
4	orman@fsclaw.com FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE	
5	Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1 604 East 4th Street, Suite 200	
6	Fort Worth, TX 76102 T: 817-334-0400	
7	F: 817-334-0401	
8	MATTHEW R. SCHULTZ (SBN 220641)	
9	mschultz@greenfieldsullivan.com GREENFIELD SULLIVAN DRAA & HARRINGTON LLP	
10	150 California Street, Suite 2200 San Francisco CA 94111	
11	Telephone: (415) 283-1776	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff ENDOTACH LLC	
ļ	ENDOTACH LLC	
13		
14	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
16	ENDOTACH LLC,	CASE NO. 5:13-cv-03292-EJD
17	Plaintiff,	
18	VS.	PLAINTIFF'S DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS
19	MEDTRONIC, INC. and MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.,	
20	Defendants.	Jury Trial Demanded
21	Defendants.	July I Har Demanded
22		
23	•	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	DI ADITIEPIO DIGGI O	CLIDE OF ACCEPTED OF A IMAC
	PLAINTIFF'S DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS	



Plaintiff ENDOTACH LLC ("Plaintiff"), pursuant to Patent Local Rules 3-1 and 3-2, provides the following Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions:

Patent L.R. 3-1 Disclosures

(a) Each claim of each patent in suit that is allegedly infringed by each opposing party, including for each claim the applicable statutory subsections of 35 U.S.C. §271 asserted;

Defendants Medtronic, Inc. and Medtronic Vascular, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") infringe various claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,593,417 ("the '417 Patent"). More specifically, and based on presently available information, Plaintiff contends that Defendants directly infringe at least the claims described in the attached as Exhibit A, including claims 1, 2, and 13 of the '417 Patent ("Asserted Claims"), pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

Plaintiff expressly reserves the right to seek leave of Court to amend and/or supplement this disclosure after discovery from Defendants or as permitted under the rules. By way of example only, Plaintiff will be obtaining discovery regarding all technical aspects of Defendants' Accused Instrumentalities. Plaintiff reserves the right to assert additional claims as being directly infringed by Defendants and to assert claims for indirect infringement at a future date after receipt of such discovery.

(b) Separately for each asserted claim, each accused apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality ("Accused Instrumentality") of each opposing party of which the party is aware. This identification shall be as specific as possible. Each product, device, and apparatus shall be identified by name or model number, if known. Each method or process shall be identified by name, if known, or by any product,

-1-

PLAINTIFF'S DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS



device, or apparatus which, when used, allegedly results in the practice of the claimed method or process;

The following products, devices, or instrumentalities infringe claims 1, 2 and 13 of the '417 Patent: Endurant AAA Stent Graft ("Endurant") and Endurant II AAA Stent Graft ("Endurant II), including the aorto-iliac bifurcated, the aorti-uni-iliac, and the aortic extension components – as described in the attached Exhibit A.

Plaintiff expressly reserves the right to seek leave of Court to amend and/or supplement this disclosure after discovery from Defendants or as permitted under the rules.

(c) A chart identifying specifically where each limitation of each asserted claim is found within each Accused Instrumentality, including for each limitation that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in the Accused Instrumentality that performs the claimed function.

Plaintiff's claim chart is attached to these disclosures as Exhibit A pertaining to claims 1, 2 and 13 of the '417 Patent and are hereby incorporated by reference.

Plaintiff does not contend that any claim element in any of the asserted claims is governed by 35 U.S.C. §112(6).

Any citations to Defendants' publicly available documentation in the attached claim charts are exemplary and not exhaustive, as are the examples provided of the ways in which the Accused Instrumentalities satisfy the elements of each asserted claim. Moreover, any and all citations or references to publicly available documentation should be understood to encompass any and all prior editions and versions that incorporate the same or similar functionality.

-2-

Plaintiff expressly reserves the right to seek leave of Court to amend or supplement this disclosure after discovery from Defendants or as permitted under the rules.

(d) For each claim which is alleged to have been indirectly infringed, an identification of any direct infringement and a description of the acts of the alleged indirect infringer that contribute to or are inducing that direct infringement. Insofar as alleged direct infringement is based on joint acts of multiple parties, the role of each such party in the direct infringement must be described.

Plaintiff does not currently allege that Defendants indirectly infringe any of the asserted claims.

Plaintiff expressly reserves the right to seek leave of Court to amend and/or supplement this disclosure after discovery from Defendants or as permitted under the rules. By way of example only, Plaintiff will be obtaining discovery regarding all technical aspects of Defendants' Accused Instrumentalities and similar functioning products, and related to Defendants' knowledge and intent. Plaintiff reserves the right to assert claims for indirect infringement at a future date after receipt of such discovery.

Whether each limitation of each asserted claim is alleged to be (e) literally present or present under the doctrine of equivalents in the Accused Instrumentality;

At this time, Plaintiff contends and reasonably believes that all limitations of the asserted claims are present literally. The chart attached as Exhibit A identifies any element alternatively present under the doctrine of equivalents.

27

28

PLAINTIFF'S DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS

-3-



Plaintiff expressly reserves the right to seek leave of Court to amend or supplement these disclosures after discovery from Defendants or as permitted under the rules.

(f) For any patent that claims priority to an earlier application, the priority date to which each asserted claim allegedly is entitled; and

The '417 Patent does not claim priority to an earlier application.

(g) If a party claiming patent infringement wishes to preserve the right to rely, for any purpose, on the assertion that its own apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality practices the claimed invention, the party shall identify, separately for each asserted claim, each such apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality that incorporates or reflects that particular claim.

Plaintiff does not currently rely, for any purpose, on the assertion that its own apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality practices the claimed invention.

(h) If a party claiming patent infringement alleges willful infringement, the basis for such allegation.

Plaintiff maintains that Defendants had actual knowledge of the '417 Patent at least as early as 2012, and since that time, Defendant willfully infringed and continues to willfully infringe the '417 Patent. At a minimum, Plaintiff maintains that Defendants had actual notice of the '417 Patent no later than November 1, 2012, the filing of the Complaint in *Endotach LLC v. Medtronic Inc.* et al., Civil Action No. 5:13-cv-00452-EJD.

4

PLAINTIFF'S DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

