UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PETROLEUM GEO-SERVICES INC., Petitioner

v.

WESTERNGECO LLC Patent Owner

Cases

IPR2014-00687 (U.S. Patent No. 7,162,967) IPR2014-00688 (U.S. Patent No. 7,080,607) IPR2014-00689 (U.S. Patent No. 7,293,520)

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL S. TRIANTAFYLLOU



Table of Contents

I.	Introduction				
II.	Legal Standards				
	A.	Claim Construction			
	B.	Anticipation	8		
	C.	Obviousness	8		
	D.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	9		
III.	Summary of Opinions				
	A.	Summary of Opinions Regarding the '607 Patent	14		
	A.	Summary of Opinions Regarding the '967 Patent			
	B.	Summary of Opinions Regarding the '520 Patent	14		
IV.	Background of the Technology				
	A.	Background Technical Principles			
	B.	Dr. Bittleston and Mr. Hillesund's Work	29		
	C.	The Patents At Issue			
		1. '607 Patent			
		2. '967 Patent			
		3. '520 Patent	38		
V.	Claim Construction				
	A.	"Streamer Positioning Device"			
	B.	"Predicting Positions"	42		
	C.	"Calculate Desired Changes"	43		
	D.	"Global Control System"	44		
	E.	"Streamer Separation Mode"	45		
	F.	"Feather Angle Mode"	46		
VI.	The	Alleged Prior Art	47		
	A.	Workman	47		
	B.	Elholm	52		
	C.	Hedberg	56		
	D.	'636 PCT	60		
VII.	WesternGeco's Patents Are Not Anticipated or Obvious61				
	A.	The '607 Patent			
		1. Claims 1 & 15	61		
		2. Claims 1 & 15 Are Not Anticipated or Rendered Obvious Due to			
		Workmana. Workman Does Not Anticipate the '607 Patent			
		i. Missing Elements from Workman			



			11. Workman Does Not Enable a Streamer Positioning	
			Device	67
			b. Workman Does Not Render the '607 Patent Obvious	71
		3.	Claims 1 & 15 Are Not Rendered Obvious Due to Workman in	
			view of Elholm	73
			a. Missing Elements from Elholm	73
			b. The Combination Does Not Make the '607 Patent Obvious	73
	B.	The	'967 Patent	75
		1.	Claims 1 & 15	75
		2.	Claims 1 & 15 Are Not Anticipated or Rendered Obvious by the	
			'636 PCT	75
			a. Missing Elements from the '636 PCT	75
			b. Missing Elements Would Not be Obvious	
	C.	The	'520 Patent	
		1.	Claims 1, 2, 18, 19	78
		2.	Claims 1, 2, 18, and 19 Are Not Anticipated or Rendered Obvious	
			Due to Workman	78
			a. Workman Does Not Anticipate the '520 Patent	79
			b. Workman Does Not Render the '520 Patent Obvious	82
		3.	Claims 1, 2, 18, and 19 Are Not Anticipated by or Obvious Over	
			Hedberg	84
VIII.	Concl	usion		87



I, Dr. Michael S. Triantafyllou, hereby state the following:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. I have over 40 years of research and development experience in the dynamics and control of marine vehicles and structures. I specialize in two fields: (1) control theory; and (2) the interactions between fluids and structures, including fluid mechanics and structural dynamics. A copy of my *curriculum vitae*, including a list of the publications I have authored within the last 10 years, is attached hereto as Exhibit A. I have provided testimony at a deposition and at trial in the past 4 years. These engagements are listed in Exhibit B. I am being compensated at a rate of \$350 per hour for the time I devote to this matter. I have no financial interest in the outcome of this litigation. The information I considered in forming my opinions is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 2. I earned a bachelor's degree in Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering in 1974 from the National Technical University of Athens in Athens, Greece. I have also earned the degrees of Masters of Science in Mechanical Engineering, and Masters of Science in Ocean Engineering, both awarded in 1977 from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology ("MIT"). In 1979, I earned a Doctorate of Science in Ocean Engineering from MIT. During the course of my doctorate studies, I conducted research on the dynamics and control of ships and positioning structures for the oil industry.
- 3. Since 1979, I have been a faculty member first in MIT's Ocean Engineering department and then in MIT's Mechanical Engineering department. I was an Assistant Professor from 1979 to 1983, and Associate Professor without tenure from 1983 to 1986. Much of my research during this time focused on cable mechanics, and specifically on the design of marine cable



lines subject to large forces, such as ocean currents. Many of the principles of cable mechanics are equally applicable to streamers used in marine seismic surveys. In addition to my research, I taught courses in the design of floating structures and the dynamics of ocean structures.

- 4. I earned permanent tenure status in 1986. I continued my research on cable mechanics, focusing specifically on towed cables, including streamers and towed arrays. In conjunction with the United States Navy, I studied the fluid mechanics of towed arrays for use behind submarines for the detection of other vessels. Though the precise nature of my work for the Navy remains confidential, it involved the development of the boundary layer theory around towed cables, as well as their hydrodynamics and maneuverability.
- 5. In 1990, I earned the title of Professor. I continued to work on the development of cables and towed arrays for the United States Navy, specifically focusing on conducting modeling studies for the hydrodynamics of marine cables.
- 6. Since 2004, I have served as the Director of the Center for Ocean Engineering at MIT. In 2008, I was named Associate Department Head of the Mechanical Engineering Department, and I currently serve as the William I. Koch Chair in Marine Technology. During 2007-2014, I conducted research focused on developing steering capabilities for the Navy's acoustic towed arrays. The technology is similar to the steerable streamer concepts employed by the oil exploration industry. Part of my research focused on simulating the overall performance of underwater arrays towed by helicopter at high speed for underwater detection. Arrays towed by helicopter present many of the same challenges as arrays towed by marine seismic vessels, but also present many additional challenges. For example,



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

