

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PETROLEUM GEO-SERVICES INC.
and
ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION
AND ION INTERNATIONAL S.A.R.L.
Petitioners

v.

WESTERNGECO LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2014-00688¹
U.S. Patent No. 7,080,607

**PETITIONER PETROLEUM GEO-SERVICES INC.'S REPLY IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXCLUDE**

David I. Berl, Reg. No. 72,751
Jessamyn S. Berniker, Reg. No. 72,328
Thomas S. Fletcher, Reg. No. 72,383
Christopher A. Suarez, Reg. No. 72,553
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
725 12th St., NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: 202-434-5000
Fax: 202-434-5029
Counsel for Petitioner, Petroleum Geo-Services Inc.

¹ Case IPR2015-00567 has been joined with this proceeding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
I. WG’s General Grievances About the Motion Are Misplaced.	1
II. The Walker Statement Does Not Comply with the Law.....	1
III. Application of the Rule Against Hearsay Is Not Optional.....	3
IV. Rule 403 Does Apply in This Proceeding, and with Special Force.	4
V. WG’s Supplemental Evidence Does Not Cure PGS’s Objections.....	5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Bruton v. U.S.</i> , 391 U.S. 123 (1968).....	5
<i>Consol. Rail Corp. v. Grand Trunk Western R.R. Co.</i> , 2011 WL 6004291 (E.D. Mich. Dec. 1, 2011).....	2
<i>Harris v. Rivera</i> , 454 U.S. 339 (1981).....	5
<i>Moore v. U.S.</i> , 429 U.S. 20 (1976)	3
<i>Muldrow ex rel. Estate of Muldrow v. Re-Direct, Inc.</i> , 493 F.3d 160 (D.C. Cir. 2007).....	2
<i>Norman Int’l, Inc. v. Toti Testam. Trust</i> , IPR2014-00283, Paper 29 (Sept. 29, 2014)	3
<i>Turner v. BNSF R. Co.</i> , 338 F.3d 1058 (9th Cir. 2003).....	4
<i>U.S. v. McDaniel</i> , 398 F.3d 540 (6th Cir. 2005).....	4

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.62(a).....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(2)(iii)	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c).....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.123(b)	3
Fed. R. Evid. 403	4, 5
Fed. R. Evid. 602	2
Fed. R. Evid. 703	4
Fed. R. Evid. 801(d).....	4
Fed. R. Evid. 802	2

I. WG's General Grievances About the Motion Are Misplaced.

Revealingly, WG's Opposition does not attempt to defend the admissibility of most of the contested exhibits. Rather, WG spends six pages grumbling about the form of PGS's motion. PGS's motion complies with 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c). Each section identifies by number the exhibits subject to the particular objection, where WG relied on those exhibits, and the grounds for exclusion. And PGS referred the Board to its earlier-served objections, which addressed the exhibits in numerical order. Paper 86 ("Mot.") at 2; *see also* Exs. 1113-15. The first page of the motion included a table (single-spaced per 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(2)(iii)), listing the objectionable exhibits in order, the basis for the objection, and where it is addressed in detail. Having inundated the record with evidence so clearly inadmissible that WG does not even attempt to defend it, WG cannot properly blame PGS for the numerosity of its objections. *See* Paper 90 ("Opp.") at 1.

WG wrongly complains that PGS "completely ignores [WG's] supplemental evidence." Opp. at 3. Not so. PGS considered WG's supplemental evidence and withdrew some of its original objections. *Compare* Mot. with Exs. 1113-15. But, as PGS expressly stated, *see* Mot. at 6-7, 10-11, WG's efforts to cure others through supplemental evidence were insufficient. *See also* § V, *infra*.

II. The Walker Statement Does Not Comply with the Law.

[REDACTED]

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.