From: Berniker, Jessamyn

Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2015 7:08 PM

To: 'Trials'; 'Michael L. Kiklis'

Cc: 'CP Docket Kiklis'; 'CPDocketRicciuti'; 'CP Docket Cappaert'; Berl, David; Suarez,

Christopher; Berniker, Jessamyn; Fletcher, Thomas

Subject: RE: IPR2014-00687; 00688; 00689

Dear Board Members,

Patent Owner's proposed change is not "typographical"; the witness's original statement was not signed under oath. The filing (rather than mere service) of a "corrected" declaration with a newly added oath is a submission of "supplemental information" under 37 C.F.R. 42.123(b). Under that provision, Patent Owner is required to file a motion showing "why the supplemental information reasonably could not have been obtained earlier, and that consideration of the supplemental information would be in the interests-of-justice." Patent Owner has not attempted to make that showing, and Petitioner has no reason to believe it can meet that standard.

Respectfully submitted,

Jessamyn Berniker Counsel for Petitioner

Williams & Connolly LLP 202-434-5474 JBerniker@wc.com

----Original Message----

From: Trials [Trials@USPTO.GOV]

Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2015 03:31 PM Eastern Standard Time

To: Michael L. Kiklis; Trials

Cc: CP Docket Kiklis; CPDocketRicciuti; CP Docket Cappaert; Berl, David; Berniker, Jessamyn; Suarez,

Christopher

Subject: RE: IPR2014-00687; 00688; 00689

Counsel:

Petitioner should explain in an email to the Board and cc'ing Patent Owner, in one paragraph of 100 words or less why they oppose this request.

Thank you,

Maria Vignone
Paralegal Operations Manager
Patent Trial and Appeal Board



From: Michael L. Kiklis [mailto:MKiklis@oblon.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 4:35 PM

To: Trials

Cc: CP Docket Kiklis; CPDocketRicciuti; CP Docket Cappaert; dberl@wc.com; Berniker, Jessamyn (JBerniker@wc.com);

CSuarez@wc.com

Subject: IPR2014-00687; 00688; 00689

Trials,

The Patent Owner noticed a typographical omission in Ex. 2077 of each Patent Owner Response in the above-noted IPRs and now seeks permission from this Board to fix the error by filing corrected declarations with the Board in each case.

The Patent Owner filed its Patent Owner Responses in IPR2014-00687, 00688, and 00689, where each Response included the same declaration from Mr. Robin Walker as Ex. 2077. The Petitioner objected to this exhibit, and in responding to the objections, the Patent Owner noticed that it had inadvertently omitted an oath in the declaration. The Patent Owner timely served a corrected declaration on the Petitioner as supplemental evidence where the only changes were (1) the inclusion of an oath and (2) a change in the title to indicate that the exhibit was a "corrected" declaration. No substantive changes, and indeed, no other changes of any kind were made to the declaration. The Patent Owner now seeks to file this corrected declaration with the Board in each case so that the Board will have an accurate record and to show the Board that this oversight has been corrected.

The Petitioner does not consent to this request.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael L. Kiklis Lead Counsel for Patent Owner, WesternGeco



Oblon, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, L.L.P. www.oblon.com

Michael L. Kiklis

MKiklis@oblon.com

Attorney at Law 1940 Duke Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Main Line: 703.413.3000 Direct Line: 703.413.2707 Mobile: 202.262.5741 Fax: 703.413.2220

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient,

please contact Oblon by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. If you are the intended recipient, please be advised that the content of this message is subject to access, review and disclosure by the sender's Email System Administrator.

