IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

WESTERNGECO L.L.C.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:09-cv-01827
	§	
ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION,	§	Judge Keith P. Ellison
FUGRO-GEOTEAM, INC., FUGRO-	§	
GEOTEAM AS, FUGRO NORWAY	§	
MARINE SERVICES AS, FUGRO, INC.,	§	
FUGRO (USA), INC. and FUGRO	§	
GEOSERVICES, INC.,	§	
	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	§	

ION'S RENEWED MOTION FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW AND <u>ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL REGARDING NON-INFRINGEMENT</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Summ	nary of the Argument	1
II.	Nature	e and Stage of the Proceedings	3
III.	Issues	to be Decided and Standard of Review	3
	A.	JMOL Standard	4
	B.	New Trial Standard	5
IV.	Infring	gement of a Patented System Claim	5
	A.	Literal Infringement Requires a Claim to Read on the Accused System Exactly	5
	В.	Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents Requires Particularized Testimony	6
	C.	Infringement Under Section 271(f)	6
V.	ION's	Towed Streamer System	7
VI.	Claim	s 19 and 23 of the '520 Patent Are Not Infringed	9
	A.	ION Does Not Literally Infringed Claims 19 and 23 of the '520 Patent	9
		1. Claim 19—ION's system does not include a "feather angle mode"	9
		2. Claim 23—ION's system does not include a "feather angle mode" or a "turn control mode."	11
	В.	No Reasonable Jury Would Find ION Infringed Claims 19 and 23 of the '520 Patent Under the Doctrine of Equivalents	12
VII.	Claim	15 of '607 Patent Is Not Infringed	13
	A.	Claim 15 of the '607 Patent Requires Prediction Capability	13
	B.	The Plain and Ordinary Meaning of the Term "Predict" Applies	14
	C.	ION's System Does Not "Predict" Under That Term's Plain and Ordinary Meaning	15
	D.	WG relies on a faulty claim construction	15
	E.	No Proof of Infringement Under Section 271(f)	17
VIII.	Claim	15 of the '967 Patent Is Not Infringed	17
	A.	ION Does Not Literally Infringed Claim 15 of the '967 Patent	17
	B.	ION Does Not Infringe Claim 15 Under the Doctrine of Equivalents	20
	C.	There Was No Intent Or Actual Supply Of Parts Actionable Under Section 271(f)	20
IX.	Claim	1 14 of the '038 Patent	20

E. No Intent or Components Exist to Show Infringement of Claim 14 Under 271(f)25	A.	ION's System Lacks A Master Controller for Issuing Vertical for Maintaining a Specified Array Geometry	21
 D. The DigiFIN and Lateral Controller Are Not Substantial Portions of Claim 14	В.	•	22
14	C.	ION's System Lacks the Claimed ASPD	24
271(f)25	D.		25
Conclusion	E.		25
	Conclu	usion	25

Х.

.

.

•

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES	
Arsement v. Spinnaker Exploration Co., 400 F.3d 238 (5th Cir. 2005)	4
Callicrate v. Wadsworth Mfg., Inc., 427 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	3
Cambridge Toxicology Grp., Inc. v. Exnicios, 495 F.3d 169 (5th Cir. 2007)	3
Central Admixture Pharm. Servs., Inc. v. Advanced Cardiac Solutions, P.C., 482 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	16
Cordis Corp. v. Boston Sci. Corp., 658 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	4, 5
Cordis Corp. v. Boston Scientific Corp., 561 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	17
Engel Indus., Inc. v. Lockformer Co., 96 F.3d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	5
Fenner Inv., Ltd. v. Microsoft Corp., 632 F. Supp. 2d 627 (E.D. Tex. 2009)	17
Gen. Elec. Co. v. Nintendo Co., 179 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	5
Goodner v. Hyundai Motor Co., 650 F.3d 1034 (5th Cir. 2011)	4
<i>Guile v. U.S.</i> , 422 F.3d 221 (5th Cir. 2005)	4
Hewlett–Packard Co. v. Mustek Sys., Inc., 340 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	6, 12
Johnston v. IVAC Corp., 885 F.2d 1574 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	5
Paice LLC v. Toyota Motor Corp., 504 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	

Case 4:09-cv-01827 Document 556 Filed in TXSD on 09/28/12 Page 5 of 32

Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	14
Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Prod., Inc., 530 U.S. 133 (2000)	4
Retractable Techs., Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co., 653 F.3d 1296 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	4, 3
Riles v. Shell Exploration & Prod. Co., 298 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	6, 13
<i>Taylor v. Seton Healthcare</i> , 2012 WL 2396880 (W.D. Tex. 2012)	5
Tech. Licensing Corp. v. Videotek, Inc., 545 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	5
Warner-Jenkinson Co. v. Hilton Davis Chem. Co., 520 U.S. 17 (1997)	6
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 271(f)(1)	passim
35 U.S.C. §§ 271(f)(1) & (f)(2)	3
Other Authorities	
Court's Markman	15
Ex. F, Markman	14
Ex. G, Webster's Third New Inter'l Dict. 1786 (2002)	14
Fed. R. Civ. P. 50, 59	4
FED. R. CIV. P. 50(a)(1)	4
Fed. R. Civ. P. 50(b)	4
Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(A)	5
Rule 59(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	5
Rule 50(a)	3
Rule 59	

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.