DOCKET NO.: 0107131-00269 US2 Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation

By: Michael A. Diener, Reg. No. 37,122 Yung-Hoon Ha, Reg. No. 56,368 Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 60 State Street, Boston, MA 02109

Tel: (617) 526-6000

Email: Michael.Diener@wilmerhale.com Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION Petitioner

V.

ZOND INC. Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2014-00686

REVISED PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,805,779 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 5, 6, 8, 19, 22, 23, AND 43 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Mandatory Notices1					
	A.	Real Party-in-Interest	1 -			
	B.	Related Matters	1 -			
	C.	Counsel	1 -			
	D.	Service Information	1 -			
II.	Certif	ification of Grounds for Standing				
III.	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested2					
	A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications	2 -			
	B.	Grounds for Challenge	3 -			
IV.	Brief Description of Technology					
	A.	Plasma	4 -			
	B.	Ions, excited atoms, and metastable atoms	5 -			
V.	Overview of the '779 Patent					
	A.	Summary of Alleged Invention of the '779 Patent	6 -			
	B.	Prosecution History	- 10 -			
VI.	Overview of the Primary Prior Art References					
	A.	Summary of the Prior Art	- 13 -			
	B.	Overview of Mozgrin	- 13 -			
	C.	Overview of Kudryavtsev	- 14 -			
	D.	Overview of Iwamura	- 15 -			
	E.	Overview of Pinsley and Angelbeck	- 16 -			
VII.	Claim Construction -					
	A.	"multi-step ionization"	- 17 -			
VIII.	Specific Grounds for Petition					
	A.	Ground I: Claims 5, 6, 8, 19, 22, 23 and 43 would have been obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin, Kudryavtsev				
		and Pinsley				
		1. Independent claim 43	- 18 -			



U.S. Patent No. 6,805,779 Claims 5, 6, 8, 19, 22, 23, and 43 Petition for *Inter Parties* Review

		2.	Independent claim 1	33 -			
		3.	Independent claim 18	35 -			
		4.	Dependent claims 5, 6, 8, 19, 22 and 23	38 -			
	B.	Grou	nd II: Claim 43 is anticipated by Iwamura	41 -			
		1.	Independent claim 43	41 -			
	C.		Ground III: Claims 5, 6, 8, 19, 22 and 23 would have been obvious in view of the combination of Iwamura and Angelbeck 52 -				
		1.	Independent claim 1	52 -			
		2.	Independent claim 18	55 -			
		3.	Dependent claims 5, 6, 8, 19, 22 and 23	58 -			
IX	Conc	clusion		- 60 -			

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Pages
FEDERAL STATUTES	C
35 U.S.C. § 312	Cover Page
REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R. § 42.22	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.100	17
37 C.F.R. § 42.104.	Cover page, 2, 18
CASE LAW	
In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d	1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007)17



I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Intel Corporation ("Petitioner") is the real party-in-interest.

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 6,805,779 ("'779 Patent") (Ex. 1101) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*). Petitioner has filed Petition No. IPR2014-00598 for claims 1-4, 10-15, 17, 18, 24-27, and 29; and is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related to the '779 Patent.

C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: Michael A. Diener (Registration No. 37,122)

Backup Counsel: Yung-Hoon Ha (Registration No. 56,368)

D. Service Information

E-mail: Michael.Diener@wilmerhale.com

Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

¹ The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.



1

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

