## The European Cancer Congress 2013







## **Abstract Search - European Cancer Congress 2013**

## Planning your programme? Go to the Searchable Programme

Session title: Breast Cancer - Advanced Disease

Session type: Proffered Papers Session

Track: Breast Cancer - Early and Advanced Disease

Abstract number: 15

Abstract title: LATE BREAKING ABSTRACT: T-DM1 for HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (MBC): Primary result from TH3RESA, a phase 3 study of T-DM1 vs treatment of physician's choice

H. Wildiers<sup>(1)</sup>, S.B. Kim<sup>(2)</sup>, A. Gonzalez-Martin<sup>(3)</sup>, P.M. LoRusso<sup>(4)</sup>, J.M. Ferrero<sup>(5)</sup>, M. Smitt<sup>(6)</sup>, R. Yu<sup>(6)</sup>, A. Leung<sup>(6)</sup>, I.E. Krop<sup>(7)</sup>

**Background**: T-DM1, an antibody-drug conjugate comprising the cytotoxic agent DM1 linked to trastuzumab, is approved in the US for patients (pts) with MBC previously treated with trastuzumab and a taxane. There is no clear standard of care for pts with progressive disease (PD) after  $\geq$ 2 HER2-directed regimens for MBC. TH3RESA is an ongoing phase 3 study evaluating T-DM1 vs treatment of physician's choice (TPC) in this pt population (NCT01419197; Genentech, a member of the Roche Group).

Methods: Pts with centrally confirmed HER2-positive unresectable locally advanced BC or MBC with PD after ≥2 HER2-directed regimens, including trastuzumab and lapatinib, in the unresectable recurrent/metastatic setting and a taxane (any setting) were randomized 2:1 to T-DM1 (3.6 mg/kg IV q3w or TPC. Co-primary endpoints were progression-free survival (PFS) by investigator and overall survival (OS). After EMILIA data were reported, crossover from TPC to T-DM1 was allowed post-progression.

Results: At the 11 Feb 2013 cutoff, 602 pts were randomized; 44 pts in the TPC arm had crossed over to T-DM1. TPC comprised HER2-directed regimens (83.2%) and single-agent chemotherapy (16.8%). Pts had received a median of 4 prior regimens (excluding hormonal therapy) in the recurrent/metastatic setting, and the majority (75.1%) had visceral disease. PFS and objective response rate (ORR) were significantly improved with T-DM1; the interim OS analysis showed a similar trend, but the stopping boundary was not crossed (Table). PFS benefit was consistent across subgroups, including age, visceral involvement, number of prior regimens, and TPC type. The T-DM1 safety profile was consistent with prior studies. Fewer grade  $\geq$ 3 adverse events (AEs) overall were reported for T-DM1 vs TPC (32.3% vs 43.5%). More grade  $\geq$ 3 thrombocytopenia (4.7% vs 1.6%) was reported with T-DM1. More grade  $\geq$ 3 neutropenia (2.5% vs 15.8%), febrile neutropenia (0.2% vs 3.8%), and diarrhea (0.7% vs 4.3%) were reported with TPC.

	T-DM1 n=404	TPC n=198
PFS, median mos	6.2	3.3
HR (95% CI)	0.528 (0.422, 0.661)	



<sup>(1)</sup> University Hospitals Leuven, Department of General Medical Oncology/Multidisciplinary Breast Centre, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>(2)</sup> Asan Medical Center/University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Department of Oncology, Seoul, Korea

<sup>(3)</sup> Centro Oncológico MD Anderson International España, Medical Oncology Department, Madrid, Spain

<sup>(4)</sup>Karmanos Cancer Institute Wayne State University, Department of Internal Medicine, Detroit, USA

<sup>(5)</sup>Centre Antoine Lacassagne, Department of Medical Oncology, Nice, France

<sup>(6)</sup> Genentech Inc., N/A, South San Francisco, USA

<sup>(7)</sup> Dana-Farber Cancer Institute Breast Oncology Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA

Interim OS, median mos	Not reached	14.9
HR (95% CI)	0.552 (0.369, 0.826)	
P value	.0034	
	Stopping boundary: HR=0.363	
	T-DM1 n=345	TPC n=163
ORR, % (95% CI)		n=163
ORR, % (95% CI)  Difference, % (95% CI)	n=345 31.3 (26.5, 36.5)	n=163 8.6 (5.1, 13.8)

Conclusions: T-DM1 resulted in a statistically significant improvement in PFS, with fewer grade  $\geq$ 3 AEs than TPC in pts previously treated with  $\geq$ 2 HER2-directed regimens for HER2-positive MBC.

Amsterdam2013ECCOwebsite

