

Filed on behalf of UUSI, LLC
By: Monte L. Falcoff (mfalcoff@hdp.com)
Hemant M. Keskar (hkeskar@hdp.com)
HARNES, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
5445 Corporate Drive, Ste. 200
Troy, MI 48098
Telephone: (248) 641-1600
Facsimile: (248) 641-0270

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WEBASTO ROOF SYSTEMS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

UUSI, LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00650
Patent 7,579,802

DECLARATION OF DR. MARK EHSANI IN SUPPORT
RESPONSE

UUSI, LLC
Exhibit 2001

WEBASTO ROOF SYSTEMS, INC.
Petitioner

v.
UUSI, LLC
Patent Owner

Case:
IPR2014-00650
Patent: 7,579,802

Filed on behalf of UUSI, LLC
By: Monte L. Falcoff (mlfalcoff@hdp.com)
Hemant M. Keskar (hkeskar@hdp.com)
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
5445 Corporate Drive, Ste. 200
Troy, MI 48098
Telephone: (248) 641-1600
Facsimile: (248) 641-0270

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WEBASTO ROOF SYSTEMS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

UUSI, LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00650
Patent 7,579,802

DECLARATION OF DR. MARK EHSANI IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S
RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Education and Background	7
I.A.	Summary	7
I.B.	Education.....	8
I.B.1.	Background	8
II.	Information Relied On.....	10
III.	State of the Art	14
III.A.	Background.....	14
IV.	Legal Standards	19
IV.A.	Overview	19
IV.B.	Anticipation/Novelty	20
IV.C.	Obviousness/Nonobviousness	21
IV.D.	Means Plus Function.....	23
V.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	24
VI.	Cited References	24
VI.A.	Itoh.....	24
VI.B.	Kinzl.....	27
VI.C.	Lamm.....	30
VI.D.	Duhamé.....	35
VI.E.	Jones	44
VII.	Claim 1.....	54
VII.A.	Nonobviousness Over Lamm and Itoh	55
VII.A.1.	Failure of Lamm to Teach or Suggest Measuring Current Magnitude	55
VII.A.2.	Failure of Itoh to Teach or Suggest Measuring Current Magnitude	56
VII.A.3.	Lamm and Itoh Cannot be Combined	56
VII.A.4.	Lamm Requires One or Ordinary Skill in the Art to Perform More Than Ordinary Amount of Experimentation	58

VII.A.5.	Itoh Requires One or Ordinary Skill in the Art to Perform More Than Ordinary Amount of Experimentation	63
VII.A.6.	Lamm and Itoh Cannot Accurately and Timely Detect Obstacles Without Undue False Detections	66
VII.A.7.	Conclusion.....	66
VII.B.	NonObviousness over Duhome and Kinzl	66
VII.B.1.	Failure of Duhome to Teach or Suggest Measuring Current Magnitude	66
VII.B.2.	Failure of Kinzl to Teach or Suggest Measuring Current Magnitude	67
VII.B.3.	Duhome and Kinzl Cannot be Combined	67
VII.B.4.	Kinzl Requires One or Ordinary Skill in the Art to Perform More Than Ordinary Amount of Experimentation	77
VII.B.5.	Conclusion.....	80
VIII.	Claim 6.....	80
VIII.A.	Failure of Lamm to Teach or Suggest a 40 MS Time Interval for Immediate Past Measurements	81
VIII.B.	Failure of Itoh to Teach or Suggest a 40 MS Time Interval for Immediate Past Measurements	85
VIII.C.	40 MS is Not a Design Choice	86
VIII.D.	Lamm and Itoh Cannot be Combined	88
VIII.E.	Conclusion.....	88
IX.	Claim 7	88
IX.A.	NonObviousness Over Lamm and Itoh.....	88
IX.A.1.	Failure of Lamm to Calculate Obstacle Detection Threshold as Claimed	89
IX.A.2.	Failure of Itoh to Calculate Obstacle Detection Threshold as Claimed	96
IX.A.3.	Lamm and Itoh Cannot be Combined	96
IX.A.4.	Lamm and Itoh Cannot Accurately and Timely Detect Obstacles Without Undue False Detections	96

IX.A.5.	Lamm and Itoh Require One or Ordinary Skill in the Art to Perform More Than Ordinary Amount of Experimentation.....	96
IX.A.6.	Conclusion.....	97
IX.B.	Nonobviousness over Duhame and Kinzl	97
IX.B.1.	Failure of Duhame to Teach or Suggest Obstacle Detection Along the Entire Path of Travel	98
IX.B.2.	Failure of Kinzl to Teach or Suggest Obstacle Detection Along the Entire Path of Travel.....	100
IX.B.3.	Duhame and Kinzl Cannot be Combined	101
IX.B.4.	Conclusion.....	101
X.	Claim 11.....	101
X.A.	Nonobviousness Over Itoh, Kinzl, and Jones.....	104
X.A.1.	Itoh and Kinzl do Not Disclose the Claimed Calibration Sequence	104
X.A.2.	Jones Does Not Disclose the Claimed Calibration Sequence	105
X.A.3.	Jones Cannot be Combined with Itoh and Kinzl.....	109
X.A.4.	Conclusion.....	112
X.B.	Nonobviousness Over Lamm, Itoh, and Duhame	113
X.B.1.	Lamm Does Not Disclose the Claimed Calibration Sequence	113
X.B.2.	Itoh Does Not Disclosed the Claimed Calibration Sequence	115
X.B.3.	Duhame Does Not Disclose the Claimed Calibration Sequence .	115
X.B.4.	Duhame Cannot be Combined With Lamm and Itoh	117
X.B.5.	Conclusion.....	123
X.C.	Nonobviousness Over Duhame and Kinzl	123
X.C.1.	Duhame Does Not Disclose the Claimed Calibration Sequence .	123
X.C.2.	Kinzl Does Not Disclose the Claimed Calibration Sequence	123
X.C.3.	Duhame and Kinzl Cannot be Combined	123
X.C.4.	Conclusion.....	124
XI.	Claim 15.....	124
XI.A.	Obstacle Detection Along Entire Travel Path	124

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.