UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WEBASTO ROOF SYSTEMS, INC. Petitioner,

v.

UUSI, LLC Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00648 Patent 8,217,612

PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR JOINDER UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 AND 42.122(b)

Attorney Docket: 130163.231151

DOCKE

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Table of Contents

				Page
I.	Intro	duction	and Statement of Requested Relief	1
II.	State	ment o	f Material Facts	2
III.	State	ment o	f Reasons for Requested Relief	4
	A.	Legal	Standard	4
	B.	Argu	ment	5
		1.	Partial Consolidation is Appropriate	5
		2.	WRSI's Joinder Request Does Not Raise Any New Grounds of Unpatentability	7
		3.	Joinder Would Have No Impact or Minimal Impact on the Trial Schedule for the Existing Review	7
		4.	Joinder Would Simplify Briefing and Discovery	8
IV.	Conc	lusion		10

I. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF REQUESTED RELIEF

Petitioner Webasto Roof Systems, Inc. ("WRSI") hereby requests joinder in *Brose North America, Inc. and Brose Fahrzeugteile GmbH & Co. Kg, Hallstadt v. UUSI, LLC,* Case IPR2014-00416 ("the 416 IPR"). *See* 35 U.S.C. § 315(c); 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b). Both WRSI's IPR2014-00648 ("the 648 IPR") and Brose North America, Inc. and Brose Fahrzeugteile GmbH & Co. KG, Hallstadt's (collectively, "Brose's") 416 IPR involve the same patent: US 8,217,612 ("the '612 patent"). WRSI's petition in the present 648 IPR involves some overlap in invalidity positions and prior art with Brose's 416 IPR, which was instituted on August 1, 2014.

Brose's 416 IPR was instituted against claims 1, 2, and 5-8 on multiple grounds involving Itoh (US 4,870,333), Kinzl (US 4,468,596), or both, including obviousness based on Itoh and Kinzl. *See* IPR2014-00416, Paper 12 at 6, 25-26. WRSI's 648 IPR petition similarly asserts obviousness of claims 1, 2, and 5-8 based on Itoh and Kinzl. *See* IPR2014-00648, Paper 4 at 16-31. The remaining grounds in WRSI's petition either do not involve Itoh or Kinzl or involve them in combination with other primary references: anticipation of claims 6-8 based on Bernard (GB 2026723); obviousness of claims 1, 2, and 5-8 based on Lamm (DE 4000730A1), Itoh and Bernard; and obviousness of claims 1, 2, and 5-8 based on Duhame (US 5,218,282) and Kinzl. *See id.* at 8-16, 31-60.

Case IPR2014-00648 U.S. Patent 8,217,612

Atty. Docket: 130163.231151

WRSI requests that its assertion of obviousness of claims 1, 2, and 5-8 based on Itoh and Kinzl be consolidated with Brose's 416 IPR, which has already been instituted on the same grounds against the same claims. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 315(c)-(d); 37 C.F.R. § 42.122. This consolidation would permit the obviousness grounds based on Itoh and Kinzl to be addressed in one proceeding.

Although WRSI would not oppose consolidation of the remaining grounds of its 648 IPR petition with Brose's 416 IPR, WRSI believes that this would not provide as much efficiency because the other grounds involve additional primary references. WRSI is also concerned that full consolidation would result in an excessively large proceeding and lead to scheduling difficulties. WRSI therefore respectfully proposes that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("Board") find that it would not be reasonable to permit those other grounds to be raised in Brose's 416 IPR, and instead address those grounds in WRSI's 648 IPR petition independently.

WRSI has consulted Brose and Patent Owner. Brose and Patent Owner may take a position after reviewing the motion.

II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

 UUSI filed suit against Brose North America alleging infringement of the '612 patent and served the complaint on February 7, 2013. *See* IPR2014-00416, Paper 4 at 1. 2. A little over two months later, UUSI filed suit against WRSI alleging infringement of the '612 patent and served the complaint on April 16, 2013. *See UUSI, LLC v. Webasto Roof Sys., Inc.*, No. 2:13-cv-11704 (E.D. Mich.).

3. On February 7, 2014, Brose filed its original 416 IPR petition. *See* IPR2014-00416, Paper 1.

4. On April 16, 2014, WRSI filed its petition in the present 648 IPR. *See*IPR2014-00648, Paper 2. A chart of the invalidity grounds set forth in WRSI's
648 IPR petition is provided below.

	Grounds	Claims
Α	Bernard (anticipation)	6-8
В	Itoh and Kinzl	1, 2, 5-8
С	Lamm, Itoh, and Bernard	1, 2, 5-8
D	Duhame and Kinzl	1, 2, 5-8

See id., Paper 4 at 8-60.

On July 24, 2014, Patent Owner filed its preliminary response to WRSI's
 648 IPR petition. *See id.*, Paper 9.

6. On August 1, 2014, the Board instituted Brose's 416 IPR. A chart of the grounds instituted by the Board is provided below.

	Grounds	Claims
А	Itoh (anticipation)	1, 2, and 6-8
В	Itoh (obviousness)	1, 2, and 5-8
С	Itoh and Kinzl	1, 2, and 5-8

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.