UNITED STATES PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

1

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WEBASTO ROOF SYSTEMS, INC.

Petitioner

v.

UUSI, LLC

Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00648

Patent 8,217,612

Case IPR2014-00649

Patent 7,548,037

Case IPR2014-00650

Patent 7,579,802

Deposition of Dr. Mark Ehsani

March 13th, 2015

8:52 a.m.

Reported By: KELLY BRYANT Job No: 38002

DOCKET

Α

LARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

	2		4
1	A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S	1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	FOR THE PETITIONER:	2	(March 13, 2015)
3	Goodwin Procter	3	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record.
4	Exchange Place, 53 State Street	4	The date is March 13th, 2015, the time 8:52 a.m.
	Boston, MA 02109	5	beginning of the deposition of Mark Ehsani.
5	BY: CHARLES H. SANDERS, ESQ.	6	MR. SANDERS: This is Charles Sanders of
6	csanders@goodwinprocter.com	7	Goodwin, Procter, on behalf of the petitioner, Webasto
7	FOR THE PATENT OWNER:	8	Roof Systems.
8	Harman Diakov & Diaroo	9	MR. KESKAR: Hemant Keskar, on behalf of
9	Harness, Dickey & Pierce 5445 Corporate Drive	10	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, on behalf of the patent owner,
	Suite 200	11	UUSI.
10	Troy, MI 48098 BY: HEMANT M. KESKAR, ESQ.	12	VIDEOGRAPHER: And will the court reporter
11	hkeskar@hdp.com	13	please swear in the witness?
12	-	14	DR. MARK EHSANI,
1 2	ALSO PRESENT:	15	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
13	Mr. Bryan Ligon, videographer	16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	17	BY MR. SANDERS:
15		18	Q. Good morning, Dr. Ehsani.
16 17		19	A. Good morning.
18		20	Q. Is there anything that would prevent you from
19		21	testifying truthful today?
20 21		22	A. No.
22		23	Q. And you understand that this will be a two-day
23 24		24	deposition, today and Saturday, tomorrow?
24 25		25	A. It's kind of short, but we'll try to enjoy it as
	3		5
1	INDEX	1	much as we can.
	PAGE	2	Q. Understood. And I instruct you that you can't
2	Appearances	3	discuss overnight the contents of this deposition with
3		4	your counsel.
4	DR. MARK EHSANI	5	Do you understand that?
	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SANDERS 4	6	A. I understand that.
5 6		7	Q. And you've been deposed before, Dr. Ehsani,
_	EXHIBITS	8	correct?
7	NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE	9	A. Yes.
8		10	Q. Dr. Ehsani, one of the patents that you reviewed
9	Exhibit 1001 U. S. Patent '612 6 Exhibit 2001 Declaration IPR 201400648	11	in this case was UUSI '612 patent.
	Exhibit 1009 1009 U.S. Patent Number 5218282, Dubame 73	12	Do you recall that?
10	Exhibit 1008 German Patent Office Patent Applicatio 114 Exhibit 2016 U. S. Patent Kawamura, et al 117	13	A. Yes.
11	Exhibit 2001 IPR 2014 '802 Patent Ehsani Declaration 120	14	Q. Now, the '612 patent does not disclose a working
12	Exhibit 1001 '650 IPR and it is UUSI Patent Number 7579802, the '802 Nartron patent 126	15	example of an algorithm that was implemented, correct?
13	Exhibit 1003 U.S. Patent Narton's 037 Patent 175	16	A. I don't know if that's correct or not.
		110	
10	Exhibit 1005 0.5. Fatent Varion's 057 Fatent	17	We have to look at the patent and you have to
14	Exhibit 1 Ehsani Handwritten document 192		We have to look at the patent and you have to show me where it's not.
	Exhibit 1 Ehsani Handwritten document 192	17	· ·
14 15 16 17	Exhibit 1 Ehsani Handwritten document 192	17 18	show me where it's not.
14 15 16	Exhibit 1 Ehsani Handwritten document 192	17 18 19	show me where it's not. Q. Well, actually, Dr. Ehsani, you're the expert.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 1 Ehsani Handwritten document 192	17 18 19 20	show me where it's not. Q. Well, actually, Dr. Ehsani, you're the expert. So you'll tell me whether it's there or not.
14 15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit 1 Ehsani Handwritten document 192	17 18 19 20 21	 show me where it's not. Q. Well, actually, Dr. Ehsani, you're the expert. So you'll tell me whether it's there or not. MR. SANDERS: Just as a housekeeping issue,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 1 Ehsani Handwritten document 192	17 18 19 20 21 22	 show me where it's not. Q. Well, actually, Dr. Ehsani, you're the expert. So you'll tell me whether it's there or not. MR. SANDERS: Just as a housekeeping issue, these exhibits have been premarked as exhibit with
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 1 Ehsani Handwritten document 192	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 show me where it's not. Q. Well, actually, Dr. Ehsani, you're the expert. So you'll tell me whether it's there or not. MR. SANDERS: Just as a housekeeping issue, these exhibits have been premarked as exhibit with this petition. So unless unless Dr. Ehsani writes on

2 (Pages 2 to 5) Page 2

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	б		8
1	MR. KESKAR: Sure.	1	going to give you one more chance, and then we'll just
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2	move on and mark the transcript that you were unable to
3	(Petitioner's Exhibit 1001 premarked)	3	answer.
4	Q. (BY MR. SANDERS) Dr. Ehsani, I've provided you	4	A. Sir
5	with a copy of the '612 patent, which is Exhibit 1001,	5	Q. My question is: Can you confirm that the '612
6	in the '612 patent IPR.	6	patent does not disclose any working example of an
7	And my question is whether this, excuse me my	7	algorithm that was implemented?
8	question I wanted you to confirm that the '612 patent	8	A. I disagree with that assessment.
9	does not disclose any working example of an algorithm	9	Q. Do you believe the '612 patent does disclose a
10	that was implemented.	10	working example of an algorithm that was implemented?
11	A. Is that something I I recite in my report or	11	A. The '612 shows a series of equations and
12	are you asking something outside of my report?	12	procedures that are the foundations of an algorithm and
13	Q. Actually, I'm just asking the question.	13	it shows the configuration of the hardware that can run
14	A. Is this related to my report, or is it outside of	14	that algorithm, and it gives examples of its results.
15	my report?	15	That, in my mind, is what an algorithm consists
16	Q. Dr. Ehsani, you understand that here today,	16	of, and an algorithm, itself, being a rather vaguely
17	you're you're here to answer my questions. I'm not	17	defined term and not being specific codes of a software
18	here to answer yours.	18	based on an algorithm.
19	So if you can't answer the question, that's okay,	19	With that understanding, it is my belief that
20	just tell me that you you can't answer it; but,	20	this is sufficient disclosure of an algorithm.
21	otherwise, please, confirm that this '612 does not	21	Q. Does the '612 patent disclose that algorithm was
22	disclose any working example of an algorithm that was	22	implemented?
23	implemented.	23	A. My understanding is a patent is not a reporting
24	A. I may be wrong, but my understanding is that I'm	24	of past events, but it is disclosure of a method or
25	here to answer questions related to my report, and I'd	25	apparatus to the level of enablement of the reader to
	7		9
1	be happy to entertain general, unrelated questions, as	1	reproduce it and not a report of whether that was done
2	long as you identify them as such. That's why I'm	2	in the past or not.
3	asking for clarification.	3	It is not the way I read a patent.
4	If I have stated in my report that there's no	4	Q. Okay. You've answered a different question,
5	working algorithm, then I'd be happy to read that and	5	which is how you read a question patent.
6	elaborate.	6	My question is could I please have my question
7	But if you're asking general questions outside of	7	read back?
8	my report, I would like to know that.	8	(Reporter read requested material)
9	Q. Dr. Ehsani, let me be very clear. You're here to	9	A. Again, my understanding is that you're asking me
10	answer my questions. I'm not here to answer yours.	10	that there is the reporting of an event in time.
11	If you can't answer the question, you can let me	11	I have to read the patent over to see if a
12	know that, but, otherwise, I'll give you one more chance	12	reporting was done. I read their patent for the
13	to review this '612 patent and tell me whether you can	13	disclosure of the invention, and its embodiment in the
14	answer my question.	14	specifications.
15	My question is: Can you confirm that the '612	15	I have not read the patent, or at least I don't
16	patent does not disclose any working example of an	16	I have to read it over again to see if it reports
17	algorithm that was implemented?	17	events of the past. It may be there. It may not be
18	A. I believe you are not correct in your general and	18	there.
19	vague assessment of the '612.	19	My understanding is that patents are not written
20	Q. Is it your testimony that the '612 patent does	20	about you don't you don't patent events. You
21	disclose a working example of an algorithm that was	21	patent methods and apparatus.
22	implemented?	22	Q. Let me make this very simple.
23	A. Sorry, that's a different question from the	23	If you believe that the '612 discloses an example
24	previous question.	24	of an algorithm that was implemented, please give me the
25	Q. You didn't answer my previous question. I'm	25	column and line numbers where you believe that
			•

3 (Pages 6 to 9) Page 3

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	10		12
1	disclosure is in the patent.	1	Q. Just to be clear, what column and lines were you
2	A. As I mentioned to you, the disclosures, as I read	2	speaking about?
3	them, are enabling for the claims that it has listed.	3	A. Patent number U.S. 8217612 B2, column 2, starting
4	My understanding is that it is not necessary for	4	from line 3.
5	someone to have actually built one or not.	5	Q. Continue your review.
6	I have to read the whole patent to I have	6	A. Yeah, I'm not finished.
7	actually never read a patent that said we did this and	7	Another occurrence is in column 2 of the same
8	we did it on such and such date and it was nice or	8	patent, starting from line 9, In one exemplary
9	anything like that. So a description of an event in the	9	embodiment stored empirical parameter characteristics
10	laboratory is probably not in this patent.	10	and algorithms adaptively modify.
11	But if you are asking me the implication that the	11	Stored is an event in time. It implies
12	person was in possession of the patent at the time of	12	implementation, to me, and it goes on to say,
13	filing, I believe the answer is, yes.	13	Empirically Empirical parameter characteristics and
14	Q. Actually, none of that is what I asked. I asked	14	algorithms adaptively modify, obstacle detection
15	you to identify column and line numbers. You have the	15	thresholds, during an ongoing actuation for improved
16	patent in front of you.	16	obstacle detection sensitivity and threshold, resulting
17	If you believe that the '612 patent discloses a	17	in quicker obstacle detection, with lower initial force,
18	working example of an algorithm that was implemented,	18	lower final pinch force, and reduced reduced
19	please identify the column and line numbers where that	19	occurrence of false obstacle detection.
20	is disclosed in the patent.	20	I read that to mean it is an implementation.
21	A. Again, that's a very, very complicated and	21	It's reporting of an event in the past.
22	composite question.	22	(Reading)
23	Q. No, it's not. I asked you to identify the column	23	A. In column 10, line 13, it says, One
24	and line number.	24	representative vehicle sunroof has approximately 3,000
25	A. May I finish my answer	25	commutation pulses over the full actuation range of the
	11		13
1	Q. No, you may not.	1	full open to full closed positions of the sunroof.
2	A. If you interrupt me, sir, you will not get an	2	This again, trying to answer your question
3	answer. You have to let me finish my answer.	3	with as much fidelity as I can, on a brief review of
4	Q. You were asked for column and line numbers and	4	this patent, is clearly an empirical reporting of an
5	you're not even looking at the patent.	5	event that was measured.
6	I'll try this one more time. If you're not	6	And this, obviously, was not measured, in my
7	capable of answering the question, we'll simply mark the	7	mind, out of just a curiosity about geometry, but in
8	transcript and move on, and we'll deal with it down the	8	connection with implementing this methodology.
9	line.	9	Since this is not theoretical and cannot be
10	My question is: If you believe that the '612	10	guessed at, it implies actual measurement of the
11	patent discloses a working example of an algorithm that	11	rotation of a DC motor in a power system, including the
12	was implemented, please identify the column and lines	12	actuation and the movement of the enclosures and all the
13	where that is disclosed.	13	things that had been described here.
14	A. It will take me a while because I have to review	14	That is that's a representative of having
15	the entire patent, with your indulgence.	15	implemented what they're talking about, in some sense.
16	I'm on column 2, I have not finished my entire	16	(Reading)
17	search yet. Line 3 says, An exemplary system built in	17	A. Column 15, line 55, the inventor states, Figure 4
18	accordance with one embodiment of the invention, built,	18	shows typical start-up energization characteristics of
19	implements position and speed sensing is via electronic	19	current and per speed for motor excuse the English.
20	motor control commutation pulse sensing of the motor	20	Start-up obstacle detection is somewhat
21	of the drive motor, and so on and so forth.	21	difficult, because so on and so forth.
22	That, sir, to me says that it was built. It's an	22	This figure, if you look at it, refers to
23	event in time. It was based on the invention. I would	23	something that is not theoretical and it's not
24	like to continue to look for all the other occurrences	24	exemplary. It is typical. You cannot get that from
25	of this event.	25	calculations.

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	14		16
1	This implies to me that this was obtained	1	there's a reference to stored empirical parameter
2	empirically. And to obtain this, I assume that it's	2	characterizations.
3	reasonable to assume that they did this experiment with	3	A. Are you talking about column 2?
4	their machinery and with their algorithm to get these	4	Q. Yes, that's what I directed you to.
5	things.	5	A. Column 2, line 10, One exemplary embodiment
6	So they are in possession of a running experiment	6	stored parameter characterization and algorithms
7	to get these curves. I could be wrong. This could just	7	adaptively modified obstacle detection thresholds,
8	be an artist rendition. It's got it's too nuanced	8	during an ongoing actuation for improved obstacle
9	beyond what they need to use for their arguments.	9	detection sensitivity and threshold, resulting in a
10	So I'm led to believe that this is an actual	10	quicker obstacle detection, with lower initial force,
11	working system representative curvature.	11	lower final pinch force, and reduced the occurrence of
12	THE WITNESS: Am I going too fast? Can you	12	false detection, correct.
13	keep up?	13	Q. So we established that you have seen it.
14	(Reading)	14	There's no disclosure of any values of the stored
15	A. In column 25, I will read from line 55, because	15	empirical parameter characterizations, correct?
16	it shows the person has done these experiments	16	A. This passage is not about naming numbers. It is
17	physically, Empirical actuation motor load profile	17	about
18	equation and algorithm.	18	Q. Thank you.
19	Nominal operation parameters for obstacle	19	You also read the language adaptively modify
20	detection threshold are empirically characterized as	20	obstacle detection thresholds as part of that sentence.
21	motor current loading versus actuator position.	21	My question is: Whether you see in this passage
22	Alternative empirical characterization	22	any disclosure of how that adaption was done?
23	characterizations include motor current versus time,	23	A. Of course, you understand much better than I do
24	motor speed versus time, motor speed versus position and		that this is a summary of the invention. So it is
25	combinations thereof, as period as per as per period	25	acknowledging what will be disclosed in the
	15		17
1	art references.	1	specifications in detail.
2	In the present embodiment this algebraic	2	Q. There's also notice of disclosure in that section
3	representation has a general, simplified large	3	of what specific algorithm was used, correct?
4	simplified algebraic form for fast commutation via speed	4	A. Again, I don't really agree with your
5	processing, particularly implementing, adding and/or bit	5	characterization of disclosure. This is a summary of a
6	shifting and/or bite shifting operations.	6	disclosure of those algorithms.
7	These types of empirical data manipulation for	7	Q. What specific algorithm was used in the passage
8	conversion to fast computing real time micro controller	8	that you identified, column 2, lines 3 through 15?
9	algorithm have been found to be applicable to various	9	A. Again, I have to read through the whole thing to
10	diverse combinations of vehicle sunroofs.	10	match with that. These are very, very specialize
11	This, to me, implies that this might include the	11	questions. I haven't read these from the perspective of
12	authors they have found through experimentation.	12	that question.
13	(Reading)	13	Would you like me to take time and to try to
14 15	A. On a very quick reading of the patent in response	14	match
15 16	to your questions about whether the inventor actually practiced and experimented the algorithm and the system	15 16	Q. No. If you haven't been able to figure it out
17	that they have claimed, I found the references that I	17	after an hour of review, then that's sufficient for my
18	mentioned to you.	18	purposes. A. No, sir. That's a mischaracterization of my
10 19	Q. I would like to direct your attention to the	19	answer sir, let me finish my answer.
20	first passage that you identified at column 2, starting	20	Q. Actually
21	at line 3, you identified that paragraph as well as the	21	A. You interrupt me, then you're asking the question
22	paragraph starting at line 9.	22	and moving on.
23	Do you have that in front of you?	23	Q. I'm moving on.
24	A. I do.	24	A. Okay. Because I would like to put on the
25	Q. Do you see you read in column 2 about line 9,	25	record that you mischaracterized my answer and the

DOCKET

ALARM

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

Dage 5

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.