

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WEBASTO ROOF SYSTEMS, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

UUSI, LLC
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-_____
Patent 7,579,802

DECLARATION OF HAMID A. TOLIYAT, PH.D.

Attorney Docket: 130163.231151

UUSI, LLC
Exhibit 2009

WEBASTO ROOF SYSTEMS,
INC.
Petitioner
v.
UUSI, LLC
Patent Owner

Case:
IPR2014-00648
Patent: 8,217,612

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WEBASTO ROOF SYSTEMS, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

UUSI, LLC
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-_____
Patent 7,579,802

DECLARATION OF HAMID A. TOLIYAT, PH.D.

Attorney Docket: 130163.231151

1

Webasto Roof Systems, Inc.
Exhibit 1003

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
I. SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS	6
II. UNDERSTANDING OF LEGAL PRINCIPLES	9
A. Anticipation	9
B. Obviousness.....	9
C. Claim Construction	11
D. Priority Date	12
E. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	12
III. BACKGROUND AND STATE OF THE ART OF TECHNOLOGY AT ISSUE	13
IV. SUMMARY OF THE '802 PATENT	21
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	24
VI. BACKGROUND OF THE PRIOR ART	28
A. Itoh.....	28
B. Kinzl	32
C. Lamm.....	34
D. Duhamel.....	37
E. Jones	39
VII. GROUNDS OF INVALIDITY	41
A. Ground 1: Claims 1, 6-9, and 15-16 are Rendered Unpatentable for Obviousness based on Itoh in view of Kinzl.....	41
1. Claim 1	41

2.	Claim 6.....	49
3.	Claim 7.....	50
4.	Claim 8.....	59
5.	Claim 9.....	60
6.	Claim 15.....	61
7.	Claim 16.....	67
8.	Combining Itoh and Kinzl	68
B.	Ground 2: Claim 11 is Rendered Unpatentable for Obviousness based on Itoh in view of Kinzl and Duhome	69
1.	Claim 11	69
2.	Combining Itoh, Kinzl and Jones.....	72
C.	Ground 3: Claims 1, 6-9, and 15-16 are Rendered Unpatentable for Obviousness based on Lamm in view of Itoh	72
1.	Claim 1	72
2.	Claim 6.....	79
3.	Claim 7.....	81
4.	Claim 8.....	89
5.	Claim 9.....	91
6.	Claim 15.....	93
7.	Claim 16.....	99
8.	Combining Lamm and Itoh.....	99
D.	Ground 4: Claim 11 is Rendered Unpatentable for Obviousness based on Lamm, in view of Itoh and Duhome	100
1.	Claim 11	100

2.	Combining Lamm, Itoh and Duhamé	103
E.	Ground 5: Claims 1, 6-9, 11 and 15-16 are Rendered Unpatentable for Obviousness based on Duhamé in view of Kinzl	103
1.	Claim 1	103
2.	Claim 6	111
3.	Claim 7	112
4.	Claim 8	117
5.	Claim 9	117
6.	Claim 11	118
7.	Claim 15	120
8.	Claim 16	124
9.	Combining Duhamé and Kinzl	124

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.