IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re *Inter Partes* Reexamination of:	)	
	)	
Victor Larson et al.	)	Control No.: 95/001,789
	)	
U.S. Patent No. 7,921,211	)	Group Art Unit: 3992
	)	
Issued: April 5, 2011	)	Examiner: Roland Foster
	)	
For: AGILE NETWORK PROTOCOL FOR SECURE COMMUNICATIONS USING SECURE DOMAIN NAMES	)	Confirmation No.: 6053

Mail Stop *Inter Partes* Reexam
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF JANUARY 18, 2012

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

A. Applicable Legal Standards 2

1. The Law of Anticipation 2

2. The Law of Obviousness 2

3. The Law of Inherency 3

B. Background of the '211 Patent 3

II. CLAIMS 1-60 ARE PATENTABLE 5

A. The Rejections Based on *Solana* and/or *Reed* Are Improper Because Neither Reference Has Been Shown to Be Prior Art (Grounds 1-8, 11, 15, 19, 24, 28, and 33) 5

1. A Reference Is a "Printed Publication" Only When the Requisite Showing Is Made 6

2. Requester Failed to Satisfy Its Duty to Disclose Any Evidence of Publication and Is Presumed to Have None 7

3. Requester's Bare Contention of Publication Is Inadequate 7

B. The Rejections Based on the RFC Documents (Grounds 2, 5-8, 10, 13-20, and 22-35) Are Improper Because the RFC Documents Have Not Been Shown to Be Prior Art 8

C. Independent Claims 1, 36, and 60 Are Patentable over the Cited Art Applied in the Rejections of These Claims (Grounds 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, 21, 25, and 30) 10

1. Independent Claims 1, 36, and 60 Are Patentable over *Solana* (Ground 1) 10

a) Overview of *Solana* 10

b) *Solana* Does Not Disclose the Elements of Independent Claim 1 11

(1) *Solana* Does Not Disclose "a Domain Name Service System Configured and Arranged to . . . Store a Plurality of Domain Names and Corresponding Network Addresses" 11

(2) *Solana* Does Not Disclose "a Domain Name Service System Configured and Arranged to . . . Receive a Query for a Network Address" 13

(3) *Solana* Does Not Teach "a Domain Name Service System Configured and Arranged to . . . Indicate in Response to the Query [for a Network Address] Whether the Domain Name Service System Supports Establishing a Secure Communication Link" 15

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

c) *Solana* Does Not Disclose the Elements of Independent Claims 36 and 60 17

2. Independent Claims 1, 36, and 60 Are Patentable over *Solana* in View of RFC 2504 (Ground 5) 17

3. Independent Claims 1, 36, and 60 Are Patentable over *Provino* (Ground 9) 19

a) Overview of *Provino* 19

b) *Provino* Does Not Disclose Each and Every Element of Independent Claim 1 20

(1) *Provino* Does Not Disclose a Domain Name Service System Configured and Arranged to Indicate Whether the Domain Name Service System Supports Establishing a Secure Communication Link 20

(2) *Provino* Does Not Disclose "a Domain Name Service System Configured and Arranged to . . . Indicate in Response to the Query [for a Network Address] Whether the Domain Name Service System Supports Establishing Secure Communication Link" 22

(3) *Provino* Discloses a Conventional Domain Name Service System Distinguished by the '211 Patent 24

c) *Provino* Does Not Disclose Each and Every Element of Independent Claims 36 and 60 25

4. Independent Claims 1, 36, and 60 Are Patentable over *Provino* in View of RFC 2230 (Ground 13) 25

5. Independent Claims 1, 36, and 60 Are Patentable over *Provino* in View of RFC 2504 (Ground 17) 26

6. Independent Claims 1, 36, and 60 Are Patentable over *Beser* (Ground 21) 27

a) Overview of *Beser* 27

b) *Beser* Does Not Disclose "a Domain Name Service System Configured and Arranged . . . to Indicate in Response to the Query Whether the Domain Name Service System Supports Establishing a Secure Communication Link" 28

7. Independent Claims 1, 36, and 60 Are Patentable over RFC 2230 (Ground 25) 30

a) Overview of RFC 2230 30

b) RFC 2230 Does Not Disclose Each and Every Element of Independent Claim 1 31

(1) A KX Resource Record Does Not Indicate Whether the Domain Name Service System Supports Establishing a Secure Communication Link 32

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

(2) The Alleged Establishment and Use of an IPsec Security Association Is Not an Indication Whether the Domain Name Service System Supports Establishing a Secure Communication Link 33

(3) RFC 2230 Does Not Disclose "a Domain Name Service System Configured and Arranged to . . . Indicate in Response to the Query [for a Network Address] Whether the Domain Name Service System Supports Establishing a Secure Communication Link" 34

(4) RFC 2230 Discloses a Conventional Domain Name Service System Distinguished by the '211 Patent 35

c) RFC 2230 Does Not Disclose Each and Every Element of Independent Claims 36 and 60 36

8. Independent Claims 1, 36, and 60 Are Patentable over RFC 2538 (Ground 30) 36

a) Overview of RFC 2538 36

b) RFC 2538 Does Not Disclose Each and Every Element of Independent Claim 1 37

(1) RFC 2538 Does Not Disclose a Domain Name Service System Configured and Arranged to Indicate Whether the Domain Name Service System Supports Establishing a Secure Communication Link 37

(2) RFC 2538 Does Not Disclose "a Domain Name Service System Configured and Arranged to Indicate in Response to the Query [for a Network Address] Whether the Domain Name Service System Supports Establishing a Secure Communication Link" 38

(3) RFC 2538 Discloses a Conventional Domain Name Service System Distinguished by the '211 Patent 39

c) RFC 2538 Does Not Disclose Each and Every Element of Independent Claims 36 and 60 40

D. Dependent Claims 2-35 and 37-59 Are Patentable over the Cited References (Grounds 1-35) 40

E. Dependent Claims 5, 23, and 47 Are Patentable over the Cited References 40

1. Rejections Based on *Solana* (Grounds 1, 2, 5, and 6) 41

2. Rejections Based on *Provino* (Grounds 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, and 18) 41

F. Dependent Claims 8 and 9 Are Patentable over the Cited References 42

1. Rejections Based on *Solana* (Grounds 1 and 5) 42

2. Rejections Based on *Provino* (Grounds 9, 13, and 17) 43

3. Rejections Based on *Beser* (Ground 23) 44

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

4. Rejections Based on RFC 2230 (Ground 27) 45
5. Rejections Based on RFC 2538 (Ground 32) 45
G. Dependent Claim 10 Is Patentable over the Cited References (Grounds 3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 24, 28, and 33) 46
H. Dependent Claim 12 Is Patentable over the Cited References (Grounds 3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 24, 28, and 33) 47
I. Dependent Claims 16, 17, 27, 33, 40, 41, 51, and 57 Are Patentable over the Cited References 48
1. Rejections Based on *Solana* (Grounds 1 and 5) 49
2. Rejections Based on *Provino* (Grounds 9, 13, and 17) 50
3. Rejections Based on *Beser* (Ground 21) 51
4. Rejections Based on RFC 2230 (Ground 25) 51
5. Rejections Based on RFC 2538 (Ground 30) 52
J. Dependent Claims 18 and 42 Are Patentable over the Cited References 52
1. Rejections Based on *Solana* (Grounds 1 and 5) 53
2. Rejections Based on *Beser* (Ground 21) 53
3. Rejections Based on RFC 2230 (Ground 25) 54
4. Rejections Based on RFC 2538 (Ground 30) 55
K. Dependent Claims 24 and 48 Are Patentable over the Cited References 55
1. Rejections Based on *Solana* (Grounds 1, 2, 5, and 6) 56
2. Rejections Based on *Provino* (Grounds 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, and 18) 56
3. Rejections Based on *Beser* (Grounds 21 and 22) 58
4. Rejections Based on RFC 2230 (Grounds 25 and 26) 59
5. Rejections Based on RFC 2538 (Grounds 30 and 31) 60
L. Dependent Claims 26 and 50 Are Patentable over the Cited References 61
1. Rejections Based on *Solana* (Grounds 1 and 5) 61
2. Rejections Based on *Provino* (Grounds 9, 13, and 17) 62
3. Rejections Based on *Beser* (Ground 21) 63
4. Rejections Based on RFC 2230 (Ground 25) 63
5. Rejections Based on RFC 2538 (Ground 30) 64
M. A *Prima Facie* Case of Obviousness Has Not Been Established 64
N. Secondary Considerations Demonstrate Nonobviousness 65
III. CONCLUSION 68

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.